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#### Abstract

Orientation-distributions of crystals were determined for 1289 specimens of the Sheeted Dike Complex of the Troodos ophiolite, located on the island of Cyprus. These were inferred from anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS). From these data the dispersion of magmatic flow fabrics with a mild tectonic overprint were recognized.

The study area ( $\sim 400 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) is located to the east of Mt. Olympus, and adjacent to a fossil transform fault (STTFZ) that was responsible for shearing of dikes and a change in their orientation from predominantly north-south to east-west as the fault is approached.

The predominantly magmatic AMS fabrics blend a flow-aligned paramagnetic component from mafic silicates with a ferromagnetic component from titanomagnetites. The inclination of magma-flow axes varies from near vertical to near horizontal throughout the area with predominantly steep magma flow regions separated from regions with predominantly shallow magma-flow. Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) analysis of steep-flow region spacing shows that the magma chambers that fed the dikes were point-source with minimal along-axis extent, and very short lived. FFT wavelength calculations suggest that they may have been spaced approximately every 4 km along the ridge, and every 100,000 to 250,000 years in time. These results imply localized magma chambers, thereby supporting the slow-spreading origin of the Troodos crust, and refine models for slow-spreading ridge processes to include a point-source magma delivery system between magma reservoirs and the sheeted dike complex.
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## 1. Purpose

There has been much discussion in the past regarding the style of magmatism at oceanic spreading centres. Dilek et al. (1998) reviewed the current information on the subject, including comparisons between modern ocean crust and ophiolite sequences. They also considered the different mechanisms thought to control magmatism at both fast and slow-spreading ridges. Fast-spreading ridges are those with full-spreading rates of 9$18 \mathrm{~cm} /$ year, and slow-spreading ridges are those that spread at $1-5 \mathrm{~cm} /$ year (Moores \& Twiss, 1995, p.104). Fast-spreading ridges are thought to be fed by an axial magma chamber that is continuous along the ridge, which causes vertical magma flow within the sheeted dike complex at all points along the ridge. The current model for slow-spreading ridges shows isolated non-continuous axial magma chambers to feed the sheeted dikes and axial volcanics (Dilek et al., 1998; Varga et al., 1998). Figure 1-1 shows how a sheeted dike complex fed by this mechanism would show a variation in flow directions, from vertical above a chamber, to near horizontal in peripheral areas.

The oceanic crust that makes up the Troodos ophiolite complex on the island of Cyprus is inferred to have formed at a slow spreading ridge. Evidence comes mainly from structural data that documents tectonic extension without associated magmatism or volcanism along the spreading axes, which implies that the ridge was intermittently magma-starved (Dilek et al., 1998). This feature of the spreading style of Troodos agrees with the slow-spreading ridge model described above, where segments of the ridge are not underlain by an axial magma chamber and accommodate spreading by means of brittle extension. Further evidence comes from geochemical studies which indicate that
all of the sheeted dikes of Troodos are not of the same provenance, implying that they had more than one penecontemperaneous source.

Many previous studies have used anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) fabrics to study magma flow in granitic rocks, and rocks of volcanic origin (see Varga et al. (1998) for a literature review). Generally, it has been shown that the orientation of the axis of maximum susceptibility, $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$, is closely related to magmatic flow direction, and the plane containing $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ (magnetic foliation) is related to the flow plane. In this study, magmatic flow fabrics will be determined for sheeted dikes of the Troodos ophiolite to attempt to constrain the location of the axial magma chamber that was feeding them. The pattern of spatial variation in magma flow directions, and the conclusions drawn from it will either support or contradict many features of ocean crust generation models, and refine them to include details of the size, stability, and frequency of mid-ocean ridge magma chambers. An understanding of magma transport at ocean ridges has far reaching implications for both the geophysics and geochemistry of oceanic crust, and global tectonic processes.


Figure 1-1. Schematic diagram illustrating slow-spreading ridge magma chamber model, with expected flow directions (bold arrows) in sheeted dike complex.

## 2. Geology of Cyprus

This chapter is intended to describe the regional geology of Cyprus, and establish the idea that the Troodos ophiolite terrane represents obducted oceanic crust and can therefore be used as an analogue for modern oceanic crust.

### 2.1.Terranes

The island of Cyprus is composed of four major geological regions: the Mamonia, Kyrenia, and Troodos tectonic terranes, and the weakly tectonized Circum-Troodos Sedimentary Succession (CTSS) (Figure 2-1). Of these four, the Troodos terrane has received the most interest from geologists, and is most pertinent to this study.

The Kyrenia terrane makes up the northernmost ranges of the island (Figure 2-1), and is a structurally complex assemblage of Late Paleozoic to recent sedimentary and minor metamorphic rocks (Robertson, 1990). The major tectonic terrane of southwestern Cyprus is the Mamonia complex (Figure 2-1). There are two broad groups of Mamonia rocks: 1) The Dhiarizos Group, made up of Triassic-age pillow lavas and intrusives, overlain by Triassic-Cretaceous sediments 2) The Ayios Photios Group, a sedimentary sequence of red mudstones and cherts. The Ayios Photios Group overlies the Dhiarizos Group (Swarbick, 1993). The Circum-Troodos Sedimentary Succession surrounds the Troodos Massif, with the exception of the northwestern most corner of the island (Figure 2-1). Numerous groups and formations have been identified, most of which represent deposition on the sea-floor above the amalgamated terranes during uplift to their present level. Excluding rare allochtonous umbers, the sedimentary facies of the succession vary from pelagic limestones and chalks, to sandstone and mudstone (Swarbick and

Robertson, 1980). The CTSS lies conformably atop rocks of the Troodos Terranes, and seals the tectonic contacts between Troodos and Mamonia, and Troodos and Kyrenia.

### 2.2.Troodos Ophiolite

The Troodos Massif conforms nearly perfectly to the definition of an 'ophiolite' as set out by the Geological Society of America's Penrose Field Conference in 1972, which defined the term as a distinctive sequence of rocks occurring in the following order:

## Table 2-1. Ideal ophiolite stratigraphy, as described by the Penrose Conference (1972)

Stratigraphic Position Rock Type

| top of sequence | - chert, shale, and limestone |
| :--- | :--- |
| - pillowed mafic volcanic complex |  |
| - sheeted dyke complex |  |
| - gabbroic complex (cumulus textures) containing |  |
| base of sequence | pyroxenites and peridotites and less deformed than the <br> ultramafic rocks <br> - ultramafic complex (harzburgite, lherzolite, dunite) <br> with a metamorphic tectonite fabric |

They also noted in their definition that "an ophiolite may be incomplete, dismembered, or metamorphosed", and went on to declare that "use of the term should be independent of its supposed origin", although the latter would no longer be disputed.

Gass (1968) and Moores and Vine (1971) were the first to propose that the Troodos complex represents oceanic crust formed at a mid-ocean ridge. They noted that the 'layer-cake' stratigraphy observed in the Troodos igneous sequences matched the layer cake model oceanic crust determined with geophysics in the ocean basins (Figure 2-2).

Vine and Matthew's (1963) sea-floor spreading models allowed them to link the Troodos 'stratigraphy' directly to an oceanic spreading centre.

As acceptance of this idea spread, each unit in the Troodos sequence could be associated with a specific feature of the mid-ocean ridge model. The ultramafic complex was shown to represent the uppermost mantle, with tectonized harzburgites thought to be refractory (Greenbaum, 1972), and the dunite bodies considered as frozen mantle diapirs (Allen, 1975). The mafic complex was assumed to represent the solidified magma reservoirs that fed the SDC and extrusives, with high level plagiogranites crystallizing from the residual liquids after fractionation of the reservoir magmas. Oceanic spreading centres became the only known geological environment capable of generating sheeted dike complex (Gass, 1968), as well as a thick, laterally extensive, overlying pillowed basalt sequence.

Miyashiro (1973) disagreed with the mid-ocean ridge formation of Troodos, arguing on the basis of geochemical data that the extrusive sequence was erupted above a subduction zone. Major-element geochemistry of the lavas indicated a crustal component in the melt, and therefore an island-arc setting was proposed. Most researchers argued that some type of fore-arc spreading could account for both the indisputable evidence for sea-floor spreading and supra-subduction zone associations found in Troodos rocks.

It is now well documented and accepted that the Troodos ophiolite was formed as oceanic crust, most probably at a supra-subduction zone spreading centre. Nomenclature of many of the igneous units has become genetic: the ultra-mafic complex is now commonly referred to as the 'Mantle sequence', while the mafic sequence, SDC , and extrusive sequence are known as the 'Crustal sequence'. These sequences are separated by a
'petrological moho', at a similar crustal level to the geophysical 'Mohorvicic (moho) discontinuity' observed in present day oceanic crust.

### 2.2.1. Ultramafic/Mantle Complex

Ultramafic rocks of the Troodos ophiolite are exposed at the very centre and highest elevations of the ophiolite massif (approximately $10 \%$ of Troodos exposure) (Figure 2-3). Tectonized harzburgite makes up $85 \%$ of the complex, with the remainder being mostly lherzolite and dunite. All are variably serpentinized. Harzburgite is generally medium to coarse-grained, composed of $70-85 \%$ olivine and $15-20 \%$ orthopyroxene, with accessory chrome spinel and clinopyroxene. Dunite 'intrusions' with sharp igneous contacts appear sporadically throughout the harzburgite unit. They are $>98 \%$ olivine, with accessory chrome spinel.

There exists a penetrative tectonic foliation throughout the complex, manifested by a preferred orientation in orthopyroxene and spinel grains in harzburgite. Metamorphic segregation of the harzburgite into $0.5-5 \mathrm{~cm}$ thick olivine-rich and orthopyroxene rich bands is commonly observed. Metamorphism and deformation apparently occurred at approximately $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The tectonic fabric passes uninterrupted between the harzburgite and dunite bodies.

### 2.2.2. Mafic Complex

The mafic complex, lying structurally above the ultra-mafic complex, but outcropping in a concentric ring around it (approximately 15\% of exposure) (Figure 2-3), has been divided into a lower 'Layered Series' and and upper 'Non-Layered Series'.

### 2.2.2.1. Layered Series

Layered mafic rocks form a unit from 500 m to $>2 \mathrm{~km}$ thick directly above the ultra-mafic complex. The lowest layered rocks are wehrlite, followed up section by dunite, gabbro-norite and gabbro. Rythmic igneous layering is generally $0.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ thick (locally thicker), and flat lying at depth. Up section the layering steepens to near vertical at the contact with the non-layered series. Cumulus phases are generally olivine or clinopyroxene, while plagioclase is rare. Plagioclase, hornblende, orthopyroxene, and titanomagnetite make up the intercumulus phases. Cryptic variation in mineral compositions indicates that there was repeated influx of fresh magma into a fractionating magma chamber. This explains also the presence of the layering.

### 2.2.2.2. Non-Layered Series

Generally only 500 to 700 m thick, non-layered mafic rocks lie above the layered series. Igneous textures are variable throughout the series, however layering is never present. Rock type is generally massive to brecciated amphibole-gabbro with minor plagiogranite intrusions. At the base of the non-layered series there may be a more noritic gabbro, which is transitional into the layered series.

### 2.2.3. Sheeted Dike Complex (SDC)

The majority of the outcrop area ( $>50 \%$ ) of the Troodos terrane is of the Sheeted Dike Complex (SDC) (Figure 2-3). It is approximately 1-1.5km thick and composed entirely of steeply dipping 30 cm - 1 m wide diabase dikes. At its top and bottom the SDC is transitional into adjacent units, with gabbro screens mixed with dikes at the contact with the mafic complex below, and a thick 'Basal Group (BG)' of $50 \%$ dikes and $50 \%$ lava screens marking the transition into the extrusive sequence above. A detailed description of the petrology and structure of the SDC is given in chapter 3.

### 2.2.4. Extrusive Sequence

The Extrusive Sequence volcanic rocks ring the periphery ( $\sim 10 \%$ of exposure) of the Troodos ophiolite (Figure 2-3). It is made up of predominantly pillowed basalts, rarely mixed with deep sea chemical sediments at the upper levels. Flows generally have shallow dips, and are overlain by the Circum Troodos Sedimentary Succession. Two suites of chemically distinct flows have been identified: the Upper pillow lavas (UPL) or depleted suite, and the Lower pillow lavas (LPL) or the non-depleted suite (Robinson et al., 1983). The terms 'depleted' and 'non-depleted' were used by Robinson et al. (1983) to describe the state of the mantle source of the volcanic units, where a depleted mantle source may have already generated a batch of basaltic magma. Most of the volcanic sequence has suffered the effects of low-temperature alteration, and is the host of Cyprustype volcanogenic massive sulfide deposits. These deposits are formed by chemical deposition of material exhaled by black-smoker vents along a mid-ocean ridge.

### 2.2.5. Southern Troodos Transform Fault Zone (STTFZ)

### 2.2.5.1. Arakapas Fault Belt (AFB)

The Arakapas Fault Belt (AFB) is marked by a 35 km east-west oriented valley at the south of the Troodos terrane (Figure 2-4). Lava flows and volcanoclastic sediments from erosion of fault scarps are common within the belt. The basement rock is brecciated dikes of the SDC, with large rotated fault blocks and horst and graben structures common.

### 2.2.5.2. Western Limassol Forest Complex (WLFC)

The western areas of the Limassol Forest Complex (Figure 2-4) are mainly serpentized harzburgite, surrounded by mafic plutonic rocks similar to those described
above from Troodos proper. There is an east-west structural fabric (Murton, 1986) manifest by ductile shear zones and serpentinized mélange zones, both related to block rotations along the AFB. Ophiolitic fragments (ie. sheeted dikes with no pillows or gabbros in contact) are also present, with tectonised ultramafic, mafic plutonic, and dike/volcanic rocks cropping out around the main serpentized areas.

### 2.2.5.3. Eastern Limassol Forest Complex

Tectonically disrupted ophiolite fragments make up much of the Eastern Limassol Forest Complex (ELFC) (Figure 2-4), with rare tectonized harzburgites. The same eastwest trending serpentized melange zones seen in the WLFC are found here, as are minor volumes of volcanic rocks and fault-scarp sediments in areas adjacent to the AFB.

In 1971, Moores and Vine first proposed that the Arakapas fault belt (AFB) was a fossil transform fault. Simonian and Gass (1978) speculated that the AFB was only the northern margin of a wider fault zone, now termed the Southern Troodos Transform Fault Zone (STTFZ). They noted also that the generally ridge-parallel, north-south strikes of dikes in the sheeted dike complex of Troodos underwent a gradual swing to the west into alignment with the AFB as they approached it. Two mechanisms were proposed to explain the swing in dike orientations, a dextral shear model and a primary intrusion into a sigmoidal stress field model. In the first model dextral motion along the transform was proposed, causing horizontal drag of the dikes along the fault. The second model involved intrusion of the dikes into the sigmoidal stress field of a sinistrally slipping transform, the dikes acting as maximum stress trajectories opening parallel to relative tension. Considerable discussion followed, with some authors supporting the sigmoidal
intrusion mechanism (e.g., Dilek et al., 1990) and others supporting the fault-drag model (e.g., Bonnhommet et al., 1988; MacLeod et al., 1990; Morris et al., 1990). MacLeod and Murton (1995) compiled the evidence related to the sense of slip of the STTFZ, and concluded that the majority of evidence from the STTFZ is for dextral motion, which is now generally accepted. Their conclusions were based on paleomagnetic, structural, and kinematic data. MacLeod and Murton (1995) explained the rare but documented sinistral kinematic indicators of the Limassol Forest Complex by considering fault blocks (small size relative to the shear zone) within the STTFZ. These blocks were rotated clockwise with response to dextral shear along the transform, and set up local small-scale sinistral slip along block boundaries.

### 2.2.6. Spreading Structure

Following the establishment of the idea that Troodos was formed at an oceanic spreading centre, many researchers began attempting to document the features of seafloor spreading, using Troodos as an analogue for mid-ocean processes. Early investigations into the SDC found that the complex could be divided into domains based on areas that contain dikes of similar orientation (Versoub and Moores, 1981). They also noted major extensional detachment faults at the base of the SDC, indicating that the upper part of the crust was decoupled from the lower crust during formation. The similarity of dip within dike domains, but differences between domains, was attributed to tilting by listric normal faults, which were thought to coallesce at depth into the detachment surfaces. This showed that extensional faulting plays a role in crustal growth at ocean ridges. Varga and Moores (1985) also noted dike domains, but also found three graben (west to east: Solea, Mitsero, and Larnaca; see Fig. 3-4), with dikes on either side
dipping towards the axis. These grabens were considered to represent fossil ridge-axis valleys, related by ridge-jumping during their formation. Citing evidence for extensive amagmatic spreading around the graben structures, they proposed that the Troodos crust was formed at a slow-spreading ridge.

The first coherent model (and after minor refinements the currently accepted model) to describe the spreading history of Troodos, proposed by Allerton and Vine (1987, 1991), is summarized in the following sequence of events:

1) Magmatic spreading at the Solea axis, with minor normal faulting, related to crustal loading and adjustment (Figure 2-5(A))
2) Magma supply to Solea axis stops, with extension continuing amagmatically through faulting. The Solea graben and Kakopetria detachment form due to extensional faulting at ridge
3) A 'ridge-jump' occurs to the Larnaca axis, which forms a new magmatic spreading ridge (Figure 2-5(B))
4) Off axis extension on the flanks of the Larnaca ridge creates the Mitsero graben (Figure 2-5(C))

This sequence of events was thought to occur at a fast to intermediate spreading ridge, due to what Allerton and Vine (1991) interpret as dike domains throughout the SDC that are neither deformed, rotated, nor faulted. This was an indication that magma supply was only periodically interrupted, and therefore amagmatic extension was only a minor feature of Troodos construction. Off-axis graben formation in the Solea area was supported by subsequent studies (e.g. Hurst et al., 1992). Van Everdingen and Cawood (1995) refined this model following detailed investigations of the dike domain structure
in the Mitsero area. Their model suggests that the Mitsero axis does represent a fossil ridge, with the formation of the graben off axis following an eastward ridge jump. They also proposed a ridge jump to the east for the anti-Troodos crust (ophiolite fragments of the Limassol Forest complexes), requiring that the STTFZ did not have a dextral sense of motion throughout its history (Figure 2-6)

### 2.3. Tectonic evolution of Cyprus

Below is a summary of the tectonic evolution of the geological terranes of Cyprus as presented by Robertson (1990). This synthesis is based on the work of many authors, which are reviewed extensively by Robertson (1990).

### 2.3.1. Permian (286-245 Ma)

Shallow water shelf limestones are deposited within the Paleo-Tethys Sea, separating Gondwanaland to the south and Laurasia to the north. These sediments become part of the Kyrenia terrane.

### 2.3.2. Triassic (245-208 Ma)

Continental rifting of the northern margin of Gondwanaland, at the PermianTriassic boundary, forms the Neo-Tethys Sea. This rifting creates many small microcontinents which separate the Neo- and Paleo-Tethys Seas. Rocks that are now part of the Mamonia Terrane may have been formed on the margin of one of these microcontinents, with rift-related sediments and volcanics.

### 2.3.3. Jurassic/Early Cretaceous (208-125 Ma)

The Neo-Tethys continues to open, while the African plate begins moving east relative to Eurasia. This means that both spreading and strike-slip motion affect the NeoTethys basin. After 119 Ma , Africa begins moving north relative to Eurasia, initiating
subduction in the Neo-Tethys (Figure 2-7). Dilek et al. (1990) claimed that this subduction was south dipping, while more popular models (e.g. Robertson, 1990) indicate a northward dip of the subduction zone.

### 2.3.4. Late Cretaceous (100-65 Ma)

The oceanic crust that will make up the Troodos ophiolite is formed at a spreading centre above the subduction zone. Rotation of the Troodos microplate is initiated, with $>60^{\circ}$ anticlockwise rotation completed by 65 Ma (Moores and Vine, 1971; Clube and Robertson, 1986). Many mechanisms have been proposed for the cause of this rotation: subduction of a microcontinent (Moores et al., 1984; Murton, 1987; Robinson, 1987), offset collision of the Troodos microcontinent with another small island or microcontinent (Murton, 1990), rotation during continued spreading, or by offset collision with the Levant continental margin of northern Africa (Robertson, 1990; Figure 2-8a). Amalgamation and suturing of the Mamonia and Troodos terranes begins during this time (Malpas et al., 1993).

### 2.3.5. Paleocene (66-58 Ma)

The Mamonia and Troodos rocks are sutured and sealed by a shallowing upward sedimentary sequence.

### 2.3.6. Eocene (58-37 Ma)

Final $30^{\circ}$ of anticlockwise rotation of Troodos/Mamonia completed. Rocks of the Kyrenia terrane to the north are uplift and thrust to south, closer to Troodos/Mamonia, possibly due to the collision of the Anatolian microcontinent with the Eurasian margin.

### 2.3.7. Oligocene/Miocene (36-6 Ma)

With continued subduction in the Neo-Tethys area, Africa begins to be underthrust beneath the Eurasian plate. Underthrusting at a shallow angle (no arc magmatism) results in the uplift of Troodos/Mamonia to a shallow sea environment, and causes some subsidence in the Kyrenia terrane. It appears that the Arakapas Fault belt is active at this time, however not necessarily as an oceanic transform, but probably to accommodate stresses in the region. In the late Miocene the Polis Graben forms in west Cyprus. Sudden uplift of Troodos/Mamonia to above sea level takes place at this time.

### 2.3.8. Pliocene (5-1 Ma)

Troodos rocks are locally uplifted, while the Kyrenia terrane is likely a low landmass to the north, fringed by carbonates deposited in the shallow sea separating Kyrenia from Troodos/Mamonia.

### 2.3.9. Pleistocene-Recent (1Ma-present)

During the Pleistocene the terranes of Cyprus are uplifted as a unit, centered on the Troodos Massif at Mt. Olympus. The cause of this uplift is related to both underthrusting of a seamount and serpentinization and dilation of the Troodos mantle sequence rocks (Figure 2-8b). Compression in the Kyrenia range results in uplift, which is also related to underthrusting beneath Cyprus.

Many details of the model of Robertson (1990) presented above have been debated and refined (e.g. Swarbick, 1993; Malpas et al., 1993; Dilek et al., 1990; more recently Morris et al., 1998; Whitchurch et al., 1996; Robertson et al., 1996), however the main features as described here are generally accepted


Figure 2-1. Main geological terranes of Cyprus.


Figure 2-2. Idealized ophiolite stratigraphy, with comparison to modern oceanic seismic layer, and Troodos ophiolite correlation.


Figure 2-3. Geology of the Troodos Ophiolite.


Figure 2-4. Limassol Forest Complex and Arakapas fault belt geology (After Simonian and Gass, 1978).


Figure 2-5. Spreading model for Troodos of Allerton and Vine (1991). A) Spreading centred on Solea axis, with off-axis formation of the Mitsero graben. The Southern Troodos Transform Fault is active with dextral motion. B) Ridge jump to Larnaca axis, while Solea graben forms via off-axis extension. C) Paleo-spreading features as observed in Troodos ophiolite at present


Figure 2-6. Spreading structure model of van Everdingen and Cawood (1995). (a) Magmatic spreading at Solea axis and an anti-Troodos axis south of a dextrally slipping transform fault. (b) Ridge jump from Solea axis to Mitsero axis, which is east of the active anti-Troodos axis, causing the transform to slip sinistrally.
(c) Anti-Troodos ridge jump to the east causing dextral slip along transform.
(d) Troodos ridge jump from Mitsero to Lamaca axis, east of anti-Troodos active axis, leading to sinistral slip on the transform fault.


Figure 2-7. Paleogeographic reconstruction for the Early Cretaceous ( $\sim 140 \mathrm{Ma}$ ). Oval region marked 'Cyprus' indicates the mid-ocean ridge along which the rocks of Cyprus formed/were forming (from Dilek et al., 1990).
a)

b)


Figure 2-8. (a) Map view sketch of model for the rotaion of the Troodos microplate (from Robertson, 1990). (b) N-S cross section sketch of Quaternery tectonics in the Mediterranean region around Cyprus, describing possible causes of localized intense uplift of Troodos rocks, and regional uplift of Cyprus (from Robertson, 1990).

## 3. Study area geology

### 3.1.Present study

During the 1999 field season, Dr. Graham Borradaile (Lakehead University, Canada) collected 82 oriented samples from 79 sites over a $60 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ area located in the Arakapas-Sykopetra-Agios Konstantinos area (Borradaile and Gauthier, 2001). Dike orientations were measured at each site. This pilot-study area is located almost entirely within the Arakapas dike domain of Varga and Moores $(1985,1990)$.

In 2000, 440 oriented samples were obtained from 356 locations, yielding 1289 standard size cores. In addition, 1169 dike orientation measurements were made. The approximately $400 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ area sampled covers most of the SDC exposure on the east half of the Troodos ophiolite, as well as the base of the BG in some cases (Figure 3-1). Two particularly well-exposed locations with relatively fresh and sub-parallel dikes, at Panagea and Konia, were sampled in detail (Borradaile and Gauthier, 2002).

On an outcrop scale, sheeted dikes vary between 30 cm and 3 m thick, and are subparallel and generally steeply dipping. Signs of forceful injection are never observed, whereas dike-intruding-dike tensional infilling structures are common (Baragar et al., 1990). Kidd and Cann (1974) suggested that chilled margins of dikes should be onesided, due to 'half dikes' being separated at the ridge and carried in opposite directions as new dikes are intruded, an idea that at present seems unduly optimistic. Baragar et al. (1987) searched for this phenomenon at a single location in the SDC, but found no statistical preference for directions of chilled margins. The dikes are commonly fractured, with dike parallel cooling joints as well as dike perpendicular columnar joints,
both of which decrease in length and frequency with depth in the sequence (van Everdingen, 1995).

In many outcrops, a steep set of dikes can be found cross-cutting a shallower set (Figure 3-2a). In other places, the age relationship between dike sets is not as clear, however the steeper set is generally considered to be the younger (Moores et al., 1990). More rarely, outcrops with two oppositely dipping sets of steep dikes are found with no obvious age relationship (Figure 3-2b). It is often assumed that all of the dikes of the SDC were originally vertical, however in some locations age relationships between dike sets seem to contradict this idea (Figure 3-2c).

### 3.1.1. Spatial-averaging of dike orientation data

Due to the extensive road-cut exposure and common secondary and tertiary roadways within the study area, the majority of samples were obtained from roadside outcrops. We sampled with the aim of achieving a uniform distribution of samples across the area of interest. A $\chi^{2}$ test of sample distribution (Figure 3-1) showed that it was indistinguishable from a uniform distribution at the $95 \%$ confidence level.

In order to smooth local variations in the dike orientations measured in the field, the data was spatially-averaged. The intent of this procedure is to:

1) Smooth the data, reducing local variations and 'noise' in the data; and
2) To interpolate average dike orientations between sample locations, but avoid extrapolating orientations outside of the study area.

Figure 3-3 shows several test maps that were generated in order to determine the appropriate smoothing parameters. In all tests, averages were calculated at points with 1 km grid spacing, using a moving circle method, with data weighted at each station
according to the inverse of their distance from the station. Thus, data closer to an averaging station contribute more to the average calculated at that station. The radius of the averaging circle was varied between tests and results visually examined to find the appropriate parameters to meet the criteria described above. The orientation data were averaged by using circle-radii of 5 km (Fig. 3-2a), 3.75 km (Fig. 3-2b), 2.5 km (Fig. 3-2c) and 1.25 km (Fig. 3-2d). By comparing the sample distribution of Figure 3-1 with Figure $3-3 \mathrm{a}$, it is obvious that a 5 km radius circle tends to over-smooth the data, and extrapolates data for many points on the periphery of the plot where no data was actually collected. A 3.75 km radius circle (Fig. 3-3b) resolves regional patterns in the data better than the 5 km circle, however the 'edge effect' is still prominent. At 2.5 km (Fig. 3-3c) gaps in the distribution have been filled, regional patterns are recognizable, and the border of the averaged data closely follows the outline of the original data, thereby subduing any edge effects. An averaging circle of 1.25 km (Fig. 3-3d) does a poor job of smoothing the data, regional patterns are only partially observable, and gaps in the original distribution persist in the averaged distribution.

The spatial averaging parameters of 1 km station spacing, inverse distance weighted averaging in 2.5 km radius moving circles (Fig. 3-2c) appears to smooth the data best without subduing regional patterns, or introducing any ambiguous edge effects. Smoothed dike orientation data using the above described parameters are presented relative to the dike domains and graben axes/boundaries of Varga and Moores (1985, 1990) on Figure 3-4. The dike orientations that were used to originally map the domains and grabens match well with those observed in this study, and the gradual swing in orientation described by Simonian and Gass (1978) can be seen as the SSTFZ and the

Arakapas dike domain are approached. This study area is located within the Makheras, Mitsero, and Arakapas dike domains, and samples across the Mitsero graben axis and the Mitsero graben east boundary. Refinements of the Mitsero domain by van Everdingen and Cawood (1993) cannot be resolved with the data presented here, as sample frequency within the domain is too coarse to observe small scale variations in attitude.

### 3.1.2. Faults

Due to the extensional nature of the near-ridge oceanic crust, normal faulting is found throughout the SDC (Figure 3-5). These faults generally dip shallower than the dikes that they cut, and are most often planar in nature. In some locations, they are observed to be listric, shallowing with depth to coalesce into low-angle detachment surfaces near the base of the SDC. This basal detachment may be only $1-5 \mathrm{~m}$ wide, marked by a highly altered brecciation zone. In other locations low angle faults are found to be subparallel, but do not coalesce into a major detachment (Moores et al., 1990). Dietrich and Spencer (1993) performed a very detailed investigation into the patterns and scale of faulting in the SDC. Some of their data seems to contradict previous studies, however their conclusions appear to be valid:

1) common meter-scale planar dike parallel faults show a 'ramp and flat' geometry, following dike margins for the majority of their length and stepping across dikes at a high angle to continue along another margin
2) larger than meter scale dike parallel to sub-parallel faults link with subhorizontal shear zones
3) listric normal faults coalescing at depth (as described above) are not as common as other fault types, however they can accommodate more spreading than other faults.

They also pointed out that some previous extension models must have oversimplified the actual system, as extension is only possible in the hanging walls of listric normal faults.

It is generally assumed that all of the dikes in the SDC were originally intruded vertical and ridge-parallel, and any departure from that orientation seen today must be a result of either sea-floor or obduction related processes. Normal faulting is often cited as the cause of much of the variability in dike orientations (see Figure 3-2).

### 3.2.Petrology

### 3.2.1. Petrography

Robinson et al. (1983) and Baragar et al. (1987) investigated both the primary and secondary minerals in the rocks of the sheeted dike complex. They found that plagioclase is a ubiquitous phenocryst throughout the suite. Dikes with higher proportions of MgO ( $>5.5 \%$ ) were found to be olivine-phyric, while in those with less $\mathrm{MgO}(<5.5 \%)$ magnetite was a phenocryst phase. Quartz is present in most dikes with MgO less than $7 \%$. Baragar et al. (1987) consider the primary mineralogy to have been principally plagioclase + clinopyroxene, $\pm$ quartz/olivine/magnetite depending on MgO concentrations. They found a metamorphic assemblage comprising: plagioclase + actinolite + chlorite + magnetite. The plagioclase is calcic $\left(\mathrm{An}_{>50}\right)$, with altered pyroxenes and (titano)magnetite with sphene alteration rims.

### 3.2.2. Magmatic chemistry

When investigating the chemical characteristics of Troodos volcanic rocks, Robinson et al. (1983) found in their analyses that there is a composition break in the volcanics at between 4 and $5.5 \% \mathrm{MgO}$. Apparently, no petrological process could account for the discontinuity in compositions, leading to the idea that there were in fact two genetically distinct lava suites. These were termed the Upper Pillow Lavas (UPL) and the Lower Pillow Lavas (LPL). Field relationships show that the UPL cross-cuts the LPL, and further analyses showed that the UPL is derived from a depleted suite mantle source, while the LPL is derived from primitive mantle material (Robinson et al., 1983). In the SDC, Baragar et al. (1987) found that while dike compositions generally matched the compositions of the volcanics, there was no gap in the analyses corresponding to the one observed between the LPL and UPL. Furthermore, they observed that depleted dikes do not consistently crosscut primitive dikes in the field. One possibility, they suggested, was that there were two laterally discontinuous magma sources available to the dikes and lavas at the same time.

### 3.2.3. Petrogenesis

Despite the obvious petrogenetic ambiguities introduced by metamorphism and alteration, Thy et al. (1989) put forth a model for generation of the SDC that called for an open-system fractionating magma chamber feeding the dikes. This model could explain most of the petrological features of the SDC, all except for the problematic $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ and rareearth element (REE) abundance (Baragar et al., 1990). It was suggested by Baragar et al. (1990) that $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ and REE abundance could be explained if the magma chambers were replenished from variable sources. Following an example described by Bender et al.
(1984) for the East Pacific Rise (EPR) they also suggested that a 'Transform fault effect' caused the rapid cooling of the crust close to an active transform fault, which can explain the REE pattern in the SDC of Troodos.

More recent studies (Thy and Ebeson, 1993) have disputed previous chemical analyses of the SDC rocks, claiming that $98 \%$ of the dikes can be shown to be related to the LPL 'non-depleted' lava suite. If this is the case, then the UPL 'depleted' suite of lavas and dikes may have been an off-axis phenomenon, unrelated to a major spreading event (Thy and Ebeson, 1993).

As the underlying plutonic complex is thought to represent the solidified magma chambers that were feeding the dikes, it may be instructive to investigate its petrology. Laurent (1990) showed that the parental magma to the plutonic complex found in the CY4 drillhole was a low-alkali basaltic andesite, and that all of the sections that were sampled were of a continuous and co-magmatic suite. Laurent (1990) suggested a closed system-fractionation source, with a crystallization sequence olivine $\rightarrow$ clinopyroxene $\rightarrow$ orthopyroxene $\rightarrow$ plagioclase, similar to that expected for the SDC (Baragar et al., 1987).

### 3.3.Metamorphism and alteration

### 3.3.1. Metamorphism

Metamorphic grade in the SDC is commonly considered greenschist or amphibolite. Baragar et al. (1990), however, state that the mineral assemblage in the dikes is closer to the actinolite facies of Elthon and Stern (1978), indicating metamorphism under low water-rock ratios. Metamorphic conditions vary with depth through the volcanics and SDC. Generally, the volcanics (UPL and LPL) and basal group (BG) are permeable to seawater and far removed from any significant heat source.

Therefore, metamorphism takes place under low-temperature, but high water-rock ratio conditions. At depth in the SDC, vertical and lateral water flow is confined to faults and detachments. Low water-rock ratios therefore prevail, with a much higher temperature due to proximity to a heat source (magma chamber) below (Baragar et al., 1990). The basal detachment surface separating the SDC from plutonic rocks below is often thought to be a physical boundary of seawater circulation in the crust. Gillis and Roberts (1999) suggest that the lower limit of circulation may not be restricted to the detachment surface, but may be related to a convective boundary between magmatic and hydrothermal heating and cooling.

### 3.3.1.1. Hydrothermal alteration of magnetic minerals

The effect of hydrothermal alteration on the primary magnetic mineralogy in the SDC has been studied in detail by Hall et al. (1987). Based on a traverse through the volcanics and SDC to the plutonic suite in the northern part of the current study area, they showed that:

- Within this study section, maximum susceptibilities should be observed in the uppermost and lowermost samples, whereas minimum values of susceptibility should be found in the mid-depth samples.
- The effects of hydrothermal alteration should be at a maximum in higher level samples, and at a minimum in those from the deepest parts of the section.
- In the uppermost samples from this study, primary and secondary magnetite should be in roughly equal proportions, with an increasing dominance of secondary over primary with increasing depth. The lowest samples should have little or no primary magnetite


### 3.3.1.1.1. Magnetite alteration

Primary titanomagnetite ( $\mathrm{TM}_{60} ; \mathrm{Fe}_{2.4} \mathrm{Ti}_{0.6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ ) in seafloor basalts (Butler, 1992) may follow one of two alteration paths (Shau et al., 2000):


Butler (1992) describes the deuteric oxidation of primary titanomagnetite as occurring during cooling of the rock, mainly due to non-equilibrium of temperature and oxygen conditions between the grains and their surroundings. The result of oxidation of primary $\mathrm{TM}_{60}$ grains is the exsolution of ilmenite, leading to a composite grain of $\mathrm{TM}_{660}$ with Ti rich ilmenite lamellae. This process takes place at approximately $750^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Butler (1992) also states that true exsolution also takes place on cooling, a result of the breakdown of the titanomagnetite solid solution between pure magnetite and ulvospinel. Above approximately $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the solid solution is complete, and all compositions between magnetite and ulvospinel are stable. Below $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ however, compositional gaps form causing unstable regions to unmix into Fe and Ti rich regions. The result again is a composite grain. Low-temperature oxidation, or hydrothermal alteration, takes place below $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and results in the weathering of magnetite or $\mathrm{TM}_{60}$ to maghemite or titanomaghemite (TMH), respectively (Butler, 1992). Titano-maghemite is compositionally titano-hematite, but maintains the spinel structure of titano-magnetite.


Figure 3-1. Map of geology of part of the Troodos Ophiolite (legend as per figure 2-3), showing the present study area (thick square), and sampling locations (black circles). A total of 435 sites were visited during the field seasons of 1999 and 2000, with 522 oriented samples retrieved, and 1248 dike orientation measurements recorded.

c)


Figure 3-2. (a) Photograph of a roadcut exposure near Zoopigi with a vertical dike crosscutting a dipping set of dikes. (b) Photograph of a roadcut exposure near Platanistasa showing dikes of same generation with opposing dips, as well as a dike closure. (c) Sketch of a roadcut exposure with dikes of variable dip. Dikes of different ages cannot be uniformly restored to vertical primary orientations, as this would require a complex history of rotations and counter rotations The range of dips may therefore be considered a primary feature, implying that not all dikes were originally vertical.



Figure 3-3. Maps of spatially averaged dike orientation data collected at the locations shown in Figure 3-1 ( 1 km grid spacing, moving circle method, inverse distance weighting). (a) 5 km radius sampling circle for each grid station. (b),(c),(d) 3.75 km , $2.5 \mathrm{~km}, 1.25 \mathrm{~km}$ radius respectively. Edge-effects and interpolation errors are minimized in (c).


Figure 3-4. Spatially averaged dike orientations superimposed on Troodos Geology. Dikes are represented by thin lines (strike) with small hatch marks to indicate dip-direction and amount (shorter hatch = steeper dip). Thin dashed lines represent graben boundaries, thick dashed lines represent paleo-spreading axes, as defined by Varga and Moores (1990). The data presented here agrees well with the existing domain boundaries, and the gradual swing in orientation as the STTFZ is approached can be see clearly.
a)

b)


Figure 3-5. (a) Photograph of a roadcut exposure showing a normal fault cutting rocks of the SDC(b) Photograph of a roadcut exposure near Palekhori showing a low-angle normal fault cutting rocks of the SDC. This location is close to the base of the SDC, near its contact with a window into the plutonic section.

## 4. Magma flow and magnetic fabrics

It is the aim of this study investigate magmatic flow orientations using the anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility. To this length, one to three oriented hand samples were collected from each of the 435 sites (see Figure 3-1) located within a $400 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ area of SDC, east of Mt. Olympus. Each sample was re-oriented in the laboratory, where several cylindrical cores, 25.4 mm in diameter by 21 mm high were drilled. 1289 cores were retrieved from the samples collected in 2000, and 291 from those gathered in 1999. Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) measurements were made on each of these cores, while a limited number were subjected to further analysis of magnetic properties.

There are two main concepts that allow this experiment to work:

1) AMS measurements faithfully records the orientation distribution of grains within a sample
2) Magmatic flow in dikes will tend to cause an alignment of particles within the dike, leading to a flow derived petrofabric.

These two concepts will be reviewed in the following sections in hopes of showing that AMS is a valuable petrofabric tool, and that the results of AMS determinations may be used to determine flow axes in the sheeted dikes of Troodos. The results of this experiment will be presented in Chapter 5.

### 4.1.Magma-flow kinematics

Since Jeffrey (1922) began the study of the motion of ellipsoidal particles in a flowing matrix, a large volume of both theoretical and practical research has been compiled. Nicolas (1992) reviewed many aspects of the kinematics of magmatic rocks,
including the alignment of particles in a flowing matrix. He states that in twodimensional models of magmatic flow the longest axis of particles affected by the flow tend to align with the flow axis. Furthermore, he describes how Passchier (1987) and Fernandez and Laporte (1991) have shown that three-dimensional models have similar implications. It is generally accepted that the long axes of triaxial particles will tend to align with flow direction, while the shortest axes of these particles will align perpendicular to the flow plane. To model the effects of magmatic flow, the situation is often considered in terms of the rotation of a rigid marker (particle) during shear (Fig. 41). A preferred dimensional orientation (PDO) arises due to variability in the angular velocity of the rotating particles in such a way that each spends more time aligned with flow than perpendicular to it (Nicolas, 1992), resulting in a net tendency for particles to be aligned with flow. In geological terms, the orientation of the magmatic lineation in a dike should be close to parallel with the orientation of magmatic flow in that dike, while the magmatic foliation should lie close to parallel with the plane of flow in the dike. In dikes $1-3 \mathrm{~m}$ wide, such as those sampled in this study, flow could only be expected to be within the dike plane, implying that the magmatic foliation should lie parallel with the dike plane itself. The implications of this idea are paramount to the current study, and will be revisited in section 4.3.

### 4.2.Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS)

### 4.2.1. Magnetization of rocks

In the presence of an applied external magnetic field $(\mathrm{H})$, any substance acquires a magnetization (M). Different substances respond differently to the external field (see below). Magnetic susceptibility ( k ) is a measure of how easily the applied field can
induce a magnetization in a given substance. M and H are related by k in all substances: linearly (constant k ) in the diamagnetic and paramagnetic response, and generally nonlinearly in the ferromagnetic response. Susceptibility is dimensionless. It is important to note that, for a given material in a given applied field, the value of susceptibility will be larger in a larger volume sample. Therefore, when a susceptibility determination is made in the laboratory or in the field, it is the susceptibility of the whole sample that is measured, and must be divided by the sample volume (in $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ in the SI system). For convenience, in this thesis volume susceptibility $(\chi)$ is simply referred to as susceptibility (k). A sample of known mass (where mass susceptibility is measured) is multiplied by sample density to normalize the measurement to refer to the susceptibility of a substance, on a volume basis, or SI. All materials can be grouped into the following physical classifications, based on their response to an applied external magnetic field.

All substances respond to an external field by acquiring a very weak magnetization that opposes the applied field (Figure 4-2a). In an isotropic material the strength of the induced field $(\mathrm{M})$ is linearly related to the applied field $(\mathrm{H})$ by: $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{kH}$ (Figure 4-3a)

### 4.2.1.1. Diamagnetic

The diamagnetic response is a result of the effect of the applied field on electron orbital motions in the sample, and is therefore lost when the external field is removed. In elements that have even atomic numbers, or complete electron shells, diamagnetism is the only response. Pure quartz, calcite, and feldspar are examples of common diamagnetic rock forming minerals, which record small negative susceptibilities (Table 4-1). In nature, however, these minerals are rarely (if ever) pure, and commonly contain Fe -oxide
inclusions, which may mask their diamagnetic response. The theoretical diamagnetic susceptibility $\left(\mathrm{k}_{\text {dia }}\right)$ is $-13.6 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{SI}$.

### 4.2.1.2. Paramagnetic

Substances with incomplete electron shells respond to the external field by acquiring a magnetization that is parallel to and supports the applied field (Figure 4-2b). Induced magnetization is linearly related to the applied field by equation 4-1 (Figure 43b). Values of susceptibility are positive and usually much greater than values for diamagnetic materials (Table 4-1). The Curie law of paramagnetic susceptibility, $\chi=\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{NM}^{2} / 3 \mathrm{kT}$
(where $\chi$ is the paramagnetic susceptibility, J is the magnetization, H is the applied field, N is the atomic moments per unit volume of the sample, M is the magnetic moment, k is Boltzmann's constant, and T is the temperature in Kelvin), describes the paramagnetic response of a substance to an applied field, and states that the susceptibility of paramagnetic substances decreases proportionally with increasing temperature. For example, paramagnetic susceptibility decreases $0.34 \%$ per $\mathrm{C}^{\circ}$ at room temperature. Butler (1992) describes how, in a paramagnetic substance, thermal energy causes atomic magnetic moments to oscillate randomly, and the way in which an applied field will tend to exert an aligning torque on the moments. This results in a biased distribution of magnetic moments parallel to the applied field. When the field is removed, the oscillations are returned to random, leaving no net magnetization. Most rock-forming mafic silicates are paramagnetic (Table 4-1), with susceptibilities generally $<2000 \mu \mathrm{SI}$. As with diamagnetic minerals, however, in nature most paramagnetic silicates contain

Fe-oxide inclusions. This can lead to increase in theoretical susceptibility values (Lagroix and Borradaile, 2000; Werner and Borradaile, 1994; Borradaile, 1994).

### 4.2.1.3. Ferromagnetic

Ferromagnetic substances respond to an external field by acquiring a very strong magnetism parallel to the applied field (Table 4-1), and are capable of maintaining their magnetism after the field has been removed. As with all magnetism, this type is related to the spin moments of electrons. Ferromagnetism arises because of an interaction between electrons, such that they are 'coupled' to one another and can affect the spin moments of their neighbours. This coupling allows them to be both easily magnetized in an external field and maintain that magnetism in the absence of the field. Different spin configurations lead to the further classification of ferromagnetic, ferrimagnetic, and antiferrimagnetic responses. Parallel coupling between adjacent layers of atomic moments results in a ferromagnetic response to an applied field (Figure 4-2c), while antiparallel coupling of unequal and equal moments respectively produce the ferrimagnetic and antiferrimagnetic response (Figure 4-2e and d, respectively).

Remanent magnetization, or the magnetization that is maintained after removal of the external field, can be observed by plotting magnetization M versus the applied field H as in Figure 4-3c. At very low fields (up to 5 mT ) for an isotropic medium the relationship is linear ( $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{kH}$, Figure 4-3c), but at higher fields the magnetization becomes a non-linear function of H and eventually saturates. Upon removal of the applied field, the magnetization of a ferromagnetic substance does not return to zero (as in diamagnetic and paramagnetic material), but instead follows an irreversible decrease to $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (remanent magnetization) which thus forms part of a 'hysteresis loop' (Figure 4-3c).

The saturation magnetization for a given substance decreases as temperature is increased, and at the Curie Temperature $\left(T_{c}\right)$ is zero. At any temperature above $T_{c}$ a ferromagnetic mineral behaves paramagnetically

Table 4-1. Examples of susceptibilities of some common rock forming minerals. Note that in most cases the susceptibilities of a given mineral species can vary greatly. $D, P$, and $F$ in parentheses indicate diamagnetic, paramagnetic, and ferromagnetic responses, respectively.

Susceptibility ( $\mu \mathrm{SI}$ ) Reference

| Biotite (P) | 1000-1300 | Borradaile et al. (1987) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chlorites (P) | 70-1500 | Borradaile et al. (1987) |
| Amphiboles (P) | 300-9000 | Borradaile (1987) |
| Pyroxenes ( P ) | 500-5000 | Rochette et al. (1992) |
| Quartz (D) | -13.4 | Hrouda (1986) |
| Feldspars (D) | -14.0 | Borradaile et al. (1987) |
| Magnetite (F) | $2.8 \times 10^{6}$ | Borradaile and Henry (1997) |
| Ilmenite (P) | $0.1-0.2 \times 10^{6}$ | Borradaile and Henry (1997) |
| Titanomagnetite $\left(\mathrm{TM}_{78}\right)(\mathrm{F})$ | 50,000 | Dr. M. Jackson, pers. comm. |
| Chromite (F) | 3000-100000 | Carmichael (1982) |
| Hematite (F) | $<6000$ | Borradaile and Henry (1997) |
| Maghemite (F) | $2.0 \times 10^{6}$ | Borradaile and Henry (1997) |

The magnitude of susceptibility in magnetically isotropic substances is equal in all directions. Any material for which the magnitude of susceptibility varies with orientation of the material is anisotropic with respect to susceptibility. The magnetization and the response now have a direction and a magnitude, meaning that both M and H are vectors, and equation 4-1 must be re-written as:

```
M}=k\vec{H
```

Magnetic susceptibility is generally measured by comparing induction in a coil when a low-field $(\sim 0.1 \mathrm{mT})$ is applied to a sample within the coil, with induction in the coil when the same low-field is applied to air. Several commercial instruments are available, but the most popular systems use the same basic principle of measuring coil induction. Susceptibility measurements for this study were made using a Sapphire Instruments SI2B (Canada) at $19,200 \mathrm{~Hz}$, with an RMS field of $\sim 1$ Oersted.

AMS measurements determine the maximum, intermediate and minimum susceptibility directions ( $k_{\max }, k_{\text {int }}$, and $k_{\text {min }}$ respectively) of each sample, which are orthogonal and define the axes of a magnitude ellipsoid of the second rank tensor of magnetic susceptibility. In order to determine the AMS ellipsoid of a sample, it is necessary to measure the susceptibility of the sample in several different orientations. Borradaile and Stupavsky (1995) describe a 7 -orientation measurement scheme that brings all of the extremities of a sample equally close to the coil during the measurements, subduing the effects of sample heterogeneity. As the AMS ellipsoid is described by a symmetrical second rank tensor, the 7 -orientation scheme of Borradaile and Stupavsky (1995) provides more than the six independent terms needed to solve the tensor for the orientations and magnitudes of the principal susceptibility axes.

### 4.2.2. Magnetic fabrics and orientation-distributions

### 4.2.2.1. AMS specimen fabrics

Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) of a rock sample represents the combined response to the applied field from all minerals in the sample. The specimen's AMS tensor must, therefore, represent the sum of the AMS tensors for the individual
minerals that make up that sample (Jackson, 1991). Each mineral in a sample contributes to the samples overall AMS signal based on:
(1) Its relative abundance in the sample
(2) Its susceptibility compared to other minerals in the sample
(3) Its own AMS, both in terms of shape and intensity
(4) Its orientation distribution or degree of alignment with other grains (Jackson, 1991)

Generally, it is the degree of alignment, or orientation distribution, of crystals in a specimen that is of interest, as with other petrofabric techniques. In the simplest possible case, where a specimen is monomineralic, the orientation-distribution of the crystals is the sole control on the specimen's AMS fabric. For example, if a group of magnetically anisotropic grains of one mineral were randomly oriented, the specimen's AMS fabric would be isotropic (Figure 4-4a). On the other hand, if the orientations of the grains was saturated in a given direction, i.e. all perfectly parallel, the AMS fabric of the specimen would be equivalent to the AMS of the crystal, both in shape and intensity (Figure 4-4b). Orientation distributions in a sample can vary between random and perfectly saturated, and every degree of alignment would produce a corresponding AMS fabric. In the case of diamagnetic and paramagnetic minerals, the AMS response represents the preferred crystallographic orientation ( PCO ), whereas in magnetite grains it is the preferred dimensional orientation (PDO) of grains that defines the AMS. This is because the AMS of individual diamagnetic and paramagnetic grains is a crystalline anisotropy, and a shape anisotropy in magnetite.

### 4.2.2.1.1. Crystalline anisotropy

Crystalline anisotropy is a result of lattice forces allowing spin configurations to align more readily in certain crystallographic directions than others. A crystal's response to an external field is therefore stronger in the 'easy' direction (Tarling and Hrouda, 1993), leading to a direction-dependent difference in susceptibility. The relationship between symmetry-system and susceptibility principal axes is summarized in table 4-2.

Table 4-2 Relationship between crystal and AMS principal axes (Nye, 1957)

| Optical system | Crystal symmetry | Expected principal <br> susceptibilities | Selected examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Isotropic | Cubic | $\mathrm{k}_{\max }=\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}=\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ | Garnet |
| Uniaxial | Tetragonal | $\mathrm{k}_{\max , \text { int,min }} \\| \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ | Anthophyllite |
| Uniaxial | Hexagonal | $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ or $\mathrm{k}_{\min } \\| \mathrm{c}$ | Beryl |
| Uniaxial | Trigonal | $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ or $\mathrm{k}_{\min } \\| \mathrm{c}$ | Calcite, dolomite, quartz, tourmaline |
| Biaxial | Orthorhombic | $\mathrm{k}_{\max , \text { int,min }} \\| \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ | Zoisite, cordierite, olivine, andalusite |
| Biaxial | Monoclinic | one of $\mathrm{k}_{\max , \text { int,min }} \\| \mathrm{b}$ | Micas, chlorite, hornblende, augote, <br> epidote, orthoclase |
| Biaxial | Triclinic | none of $\mathrm{k}_{\max , \text { int,min }} \\| \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ | Plagioclase, microcline, kyanite |

### 4.2.2.1.2. Shape anisotropy

The application of an external field can align spin-moments in such a way that the grain is polarized. The magnetostatic (self-demagnetizing) force is weakest with the poles further apart, so that in a non-symmetric grain the surface poles will disperse to the ends of the long-axis of the grain. The induced field is therefore oriented preferentially parallel to the long-axis (Tarling and Hrouda, 1993). The result is that the AMS signal of a grain of multi-domain magnetite directly corresponds to the shape of the grain, and is not related to any crystallographic controls (Rochette, 1991). The transition between crystallographic and shape control on AMS is related to intrinsic susceptibility ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ). Shape effects are negligible where $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}<100,000 \mu$ SI, while shape control dominates where $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}>2000 \times 10^{6} \mu \mathrm{SI}$. Pure magnetite may have $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values in the hundreds or thousands,
while in $\mathrm{TM}_{78}$ titanomagnetite intrinsic susceptibility may be around $50,000 \mu \mathrm{SI}$. Therefore, shape effects can only be ignored for very titanium rich compositions (pers. comm., Dr. Mike Jackson, IRM, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis). Shape anisotropy should dominate the AMS of both pure magnetite and $\mathrm{TM}_{60}$ found in oceanfloor basaltic rocks.

While the single-mineral example above is useful for illustrative purposes, most often in nature rocks are composed of two (or more) minerals, each of which contributes to the overall AMS of the rock. In this case, the AMS signal of the sample is a combination of the AMS signals of two groups of grains. If both groups have a paramagnetic response and are perfectly aligned with one another, the addition of the two signals is straightforward:
$\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{kd}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Pk}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{Pk}_{\mathrm{z}}$ (after Borradaile \& Henry, 1997)
where K is the overall susceptibility of the sample, kd is the diamagnetic contribution of the two groups, $a$ is the proportion of each mineral x and $\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Pk}$ is the susceptibility of each mineral $x$ and $z$. The slightly more complicated situation with the two groups having distinct but saturated orientation distributions requires similar but much more complicated mathematics, including the use of tensors:
$\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{a}}+\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{b}}$ (after equation 2.6 of Tarling \& Hrouda, 1993)
where $\mathbf{K}$ is the susceptibility tensor of the sample, $\mathbf{p}$ is the proportion of mineral $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ in the sample, and $\mathbf{k}$ is the susceptibility tensor for the minerals $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{z}$. Since each group in this example is perfectly aligned within itself, the tensor for the group is at its maximum and can be represented by the tensor for the mineral (as described above). Tensors are required because the alignment of the two groups is not coaxial, and direction as well as magnitude must be considered when summing their contributions to the overall

AMS of the sample. These equations imply that when more than one mineral is present in a sample their relative abundance or proportions can help define the samples AMS. Both the magnitude of susceptibility and intensity of anisotropy for the minerals remain an important factor, but the amount of each become a factor as well.

Other than quartz and feldspar, which only respond diamagnetically, most of the common rock forming minerals are paramagnetic, and have similar susceptibilities and anisotropies. This means that they will tend to mix (or compete) in the AMS signal of a sample, such that only when there is a significant difference in abundance or degree of preferred orientation does one mineral dominate the overall fabric. The special case is when a ferromagnetic mineral is included in the sample. The most common ferromagnetic minerals found in rocks (magnetite, hematite) rarely occur as more than a trace fraction (Jackson, 1991), but they always contribute greatly to the overall fabric of the rock. While the proportion term for these minerals (equation 4-5) is very small, their tensors are enormous in terms of susceptibility compared to the paramagnetic minerals. In igneous rocks the ferromagnetic minerals often crystallized at high temperature while the rest of the rock was still somewhat fluid (Butler, 1992), commonly resulting in a strong PDO due to magmatic flow. One can imagine how even a few parts per million of strongly oriented magnetite in a sample could completely overwhelm the paramagnetic signal in the sample (Borradaile, 1987).

The AMS tensors for each of the groups of minerals in a sample are equal to the AMS tensor of the minerals only when each has a perfect PDO or PCO. If the degree of alignment within each group is not saturated, then it too must be considered. The AMS for the sample would then be dependent on all four of the factors described by Jackson
(1991): the susceptibilities and anisotropies of the minerals, their orientation distributions, and their proportions in the sample.

Increasing the number of minerals in the sample to any number greater than two simply increases the number of terms in equation 4-5, extending the length of the calculation but not its complexity. Just as any number of combinations of minerals with different susceptibilities and anisotropies can exist in rocks, so too can any combination of degree of alignment and proportion of the minerals exist. It is the way in which these factors interact in equation 4-5 that determines the AMS fabric of any sample. Note that when AMS measurements are made in the laboratory, it is the overall or global AMS fabric of the sample that is determined, and while the aforementioned arguments are still valid, without further investigation neither the contribution nor the individual fabrics of minerals within a sample can be determined.

When examining the AMS response of a homogenous petrofabric, the concepts of shape and crystalline anisotropy lead to the interpretation that $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ may represent a mineral alignment, and $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ may define the pole to a mineral foliation (Borradaile \& Henry, 1997). Jelinek (1981) presented a method of graphically representing the degree of eccentricity and shape of the AMS ellipsoid. The plot compares the parameter Pj , which describes the eccentricity of a fabric ( $\mathrm{Pj}=1$ for a perfect sphere), to the shape parameter $\mathrm{Tj}(\mathrm{Tj}=+1$ for a perfect disk or oblate fabric and $\mathrm{Tj}=-1$ for a perfect rod or prolate fabric).

Rochette et al. (1992) reviewed various aspects of the interpretation of AMS data, including the possibility of encountering 'inverse' fabrics. This situation may arise if a sample contains minerals that have $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ parallel to their long axis, opposite to that
predicted by shape anisotropy. A sample whose magnetism is carried solely by minerals such as Fe -bearing carbonates, cordierite, goethite, or SD-magnetite will have an inverse AMS fabric, with the $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ axes interchanged. Intermediate fabrics are possible in situations where both normal and inverse minerals contribute to a sample's susceptibility. It is, therefore, important to determine the mineralogical sources of magnetism in samples with AMS fabrics that do not correlate with fabric orientations (Rochette et al., 1992). Non-correspondence of AARM (Section 4.4 .2 below) and AMS principle axes characterizes an inverse fabric, whereas in normal fabrics the principal axes of AMS and AARM correspond, e.g. $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ with AARM max (Borradaile \& Henry, 1997).

### 4.2.2.2. AARM specimen fabrics

Remanent magnetism is that which ferromagnetic substances retain in the absence of an external field ( $M_{R}$ in Figure 4-3c). The causes of AARM are similar to those outlined for AMS, however the technique for measuring AARM is quite different. The first step is to erase any previously acquired remanence, or NRM, from the sample. This is accomplished using a Sapphire Instruments SI-4 AF demagnetizer. In this study a peak AF field of 200 mT in three orientations was required to ensure complete demagnetization of the samples. An anhysteretic remanent magnetism (ARM) is then applied to the sample in the seven directions of the Borradaile and Stupavsky (1995) scheme. The ARM was applied over a window of 60 mT to zero, with a constant DC bias field of 0.1 mT superimposed on a decaying AF with a peak field of 200 mT . Remanence was measured after ARM is applied in each orientation, using a Molspin spinner magnetometer. Werner and Borradaile (1996) showed that AF demagnetization
is not always necessary between each orientation. The AARM fabric can then be calculated by comparison of the strength of the remanence in each direction.

AARM was determined for 40 cores collected from two sites, Panagea and Konia (Borradaile and Gauthier, 2002). AARM isolates the ferromagnetic response to an applied field, which makes it possible to distinguish (qualitatively at least) between the ferromagnetic and paramagnetic/diamagnetic contributions to the overall magnetic fabric of the rock. Borradaile and Gauthier (2002) compared AARM fabrics of the two sites mentioned above with AMS and other rock magnetic data in order to aid in interpretation of AMS data. Care must be taken to recognize inverse fabrics or other anomalous fabrics before reliable interpretations can be made (Borradaile et al., 1999).

### 4.2.2.3. Spatial variation of magnetic fabrics

Generally speaking, magnetic fabrics are homogenous on an outcrop scale, such that in this study the orientations of the three principal susceptibility axes of the 3 to 6 oriented cores recovered from each outcrop visited show little variation. However, the magnetic fabrics tend to vary between outcrops and structural domains. It is this spatial variation that is of interest here; some areas may be expected to have generally steeply inclined maximum susceptibility axes, while other areas may have dominantly shallow maximum susceptibility axes.

The characterization of the orientation distribution of a group of sample fabrics requires tensor-statistics, as traditional density-contoured stereonets may yield false means for the principal axes (Borradaile, 2001). The tensor-statistical approach requires that the means of each axis remain mutually perpendicular, and allows for the calculation of cones of confidence about each mean axis. Traditionally, it is the $95 \%$ confidence
limit that is plotted (Figure $4-4 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ ). The sense of symmetry of these confidence regions, when plotted on a stereonet, may allow the fabric to be characterized as oblate ( $\mathrm{S} \gg \mathrm{L}$; Figure $4-4 \mathrm{c}$ ) or prolate ( $\mathrm{L} \gg$ S; Figure $4-4 \mathrm{~d}$ ). In these cases, it is the directional uncertainty in the principle axes that may define the shape of the fabric. For example, if a given sample of prolate shaped specimen fabrics have strongly aligned minimum susceptibility axes, yet some freedom in the orientation of the maximum and intermediate axes, an oblate shaped fabric would result (Figure 4-4c).

Due to the high-variability in intensity of bulk susceptibilities between specimens, it may be useful to normalize the specimens according to their bulk susceptibility (Borradaile, 2001). This process ensures that each specimen's principal axes contribute equally to the mean orientation of the principal axes, based strictly on orientation. Neglecting this step could result in a poorly defined but highly susceptible sub-fabric masking a well-defined and pertinent petrofabric.

### 4.3. Requirements for primary flow determination

In the preceding sections it has been shown that the longest axes of particles (mineral grains) suspended in flowing magma in a dike will tend to become aligned with the flow direction. Also, their shortest axes will tend to align perpendicular to the flow plane. Furthermore, the idea that AMS fabrics can faithfully detect the preferred orientation distribution of flow-aligned grains has been established. In the simplest terms, $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ (magnetic lineation) is expected to correspond to the magmatic lineation, while $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ may be parallel to the pole of the magmatic foliation. The simple thought experiment, which requires magma flow, and therefore flow lineation, to be within the dike plane (section 4.1 ), also requires that $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ lie within the flow (dike) plane. $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ may
(depending on the shape of the fabric) be parallel to the dike pole, in which case the magnetic foliation ( $\mathrm{k}_{\max }-\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ plane) should be co-planar with the dike. Some departure from these theoretical requirements may be expected, however a simple test of whether or not AMS fabrics are successfully recording flow-alignment is this:

- if $k_{\max }$ does not lie within the dike plane, or convincingly close to it, then it must be assumed that a primary flow fabric has not been determined, and therefore may not be used to infer the location of magma source
- a mineral lineation ( $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ ) that lies within or convincingly close to the dike plane is assumed to represent a primary flow fabric, as in the absence of penetrative deformation there are no geologically reasonable causes of a mineral lineation within the dike plane other than flow.

Many previous authors have used AMS fabrics to determine the orientations of magmatic flow in igneous rocks (see Varga et al., 1998 for a literature review). Three main conclusions that are particularily pertinent to this study can be drawn from the previous research:
(1) AMS is a useful technique for determining magma and solid state flow orientations in the sheeted dike complex, gabbro, and mantle sequence rocks of ophiolites. Many authors, such as Rochette et al. (1991, 1992), Studigel et al. (1992) and Varga et al. (1998) have shown that, in sheeted dike rocks, $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ is convincingly close to parallel with the magma flow axis. Abelson et al. (2001) and Borradaile and Lagroix (2001) determined petrofabrics using AMS in the gabbro and mantle suites respectively, and found a strong agreement between AMS and macroscopic and microscopic magmatic and tectonic fabrics.
(2) Rochette et al. $(1991,1992)$ were able to prove that where a pattern in magma flow directions exists, it can be discovered by determining the AMS of many samples on a scale appropriate to the scale of the mechanism that created the pattern. They were able to observe a strong preference for vertical magma flow in sheeted dikes from the Semail ophiolite, Oman, supporting the fast-spread origin of the Semail crust
(3) In the sheeted dike complex of the Troodos ophiolite, Cyprus, Staudigel et al. (1992, 1999), Varga et al. (1998), and Tauxe et al. (1998) observed both shallow and steep magma flow, indicating that centralized magma sources and along ridge lateral magma transport may have been a feature of the Troodos oceanic crust, supporting the slow-spread origin of the ophiolite. No regional pattern in flow directions could be determined however, due to the highly focussed and/or the broad extent of the studies.


Figure 4-1. Model of rigid particle rotation due to shear of Nicolas (1987). Arrows around particles indicate sense of rotation, and the length of the arrows are proportional to angular velocity. Note that a particle perpindicular to shear (flow) rotates more quickly than one parallel to shear.
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Figure 4-2. Schematic diagram relating applied field to induced field for (a) diamagnetic, (b) paramagnetic, and (c),(d),(e) ferromagnetic (sensu lato) responses.


Figure 4-3. Plots of applied field (H) versus induced field (M) for (a) diamagnetic, (b) paramagnetic, and (c) ferromagnetic responses.


Figure 4-4. Block diagrams (i) and resulting fabric (ii) of (a) random mineral orientations in a sample, (b) saturated alignment of minerals in a sample, (c) $S \gg \mathrm{~L}$ orientation distribution of sample fabrics, and (d) L>>S orientation distribution of sample fabrics. Shaded regions represent density contours, and thick lines represent possible $95 \%$ confidence regions about mean-tensors. (After Borradaile, 2001)

## 5. Results

This chapter describes the results of AMS measurements made on 1289 oriented cores recovered from 440 hand samples collected in 2000. The AMS and AARM results of the 291 cores collected in 1999 can be found in Borradaile and Gauthier (2001). As the intent of this study is to recognize patterns in the orientation-distributions of the principal susceptibility axes of the specimens, the data will spatially analyzed and grouped into homogenous sub-areas. . The orientation of dikes and magnetic fabrics will be described for each sub-area individually, and each will be evaluated in terms of the criteria described for primary magmatic flow (Section 4.3).

### 5.1.Statistics

The descriptive statistics for bulk susceptibility of specimens, which is given by the average susceptibility of the 7 measurements made during AMS determinations, are listed below, in Table 5-1, and plotted graphically in Figure 5-1i. The frequency distribution of $k_{\text {mean }}$ results (Figure 5-1) shows that the sample has a positively skewed distribution, similar to a log-normal distribution (Figure 5-1ii). A log-normal distribution commonly arises where a rare component, such as a sub-population of high-susceptibility specimens, may be combined with a population of lower susceptibility specimens. In this case, a high-susceptibility ferromagnetic accessory mineral may be competing with a paramagnetic matrix.

Table 5-1. Descriptive statistics of bulk susceptibility data; see also Figure 5-1

| Descriptive Statistic | Value $(\mu \mathbf{S I})$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Count $(\mathrm{n})$ | 1289 |
| Mean | 41461 |
| Median | 37801 |
| Mode | 42500 |
| Range | 165207 |
| Maximum | 165590 |
| Minimum | 383 |
| Standard error | 783 |
| Standard deviation | 28126 |
| 95\% Confidence Level (about mean) | 1537 |

### 5.1.1. Jelinek plot

Jelinek (1981) presented a method of graphically representing the degree of eccentricity and shape of the AMS ellipsoid. The plot compares $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}$, which describes the eccentricity of a fabric ( $P_{j}=1$ for a perfect sphere), to the shape parameter $T_{j}\left(T_{j}=+1\right.$ for a perfect disk or oblate fabric and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{j}}=-1$ for a perfect rod or prolate fabric). A density contoured (count per 1\% area) Jelinek plot of the AMS fabrics measured in this study is presented in Figure 5-2. As seen in Table 5-2, fabric shapes vary from nearly perfectly prolate to nearly perfectly oblate ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{j}}$ range from 0.992 to -0.961 ). Interestingly, the more anisotropic specimens tend to be preferably oblate in shape (Figure 5-2).

Table 5-2. Descriptive statistics of Jelinek (1981) parameters $P_{j}$ and $T_{j}$ for AMS fabrics in this study; se also Figure 5-2.

| Descriptive Statistic | Value ( $\mu \mathrm{SI}$ ) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Pj | Tj |
| Count (n) | 1289 | 1289 |
| Mean | 1.022 | 0.097 |
| Median | 1.017 | 0.123 |
| Mode | 1.012 | 0.102 |
| Range | 0.131 | 1.953 |
| Maximum | 1.133 | 0.992 |
| Minimum | 1.002 | -0.961 |
| Standard error | 0.0005 | 0.012 |
| Standard deviation | 0.016 | 0.416 |
| 95\% Confidence Level (about mean) | 0.0009 | 0.023 |

### 5.2. Spatial-averaging of $k_{\text {max }}$

In order to identify spatial patterns in the orientation of the maximum susceptibility axes, $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ orientations were spatially averaged over the study area. To ensure that meaningful comparisons with dike orientations (Section 3.1.2) could be made, the same averaging parameters were used to smooth $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ data as were used to smooth dike orientations. As expected, the moving circle method ( 5 km radius, 1 km spacing) weighted by nearest neighbour smoothing parameters provided the best balance between smoothing and undesired edge-effects (Figure 5-3). At first inspection, a spatial pattern in $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ orientations is obvious, in both trend and plunge. There are clear regions of steeply inclined $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ (short arrows on Fig. 5-3), and adjacent areas of shallowly inclined $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ axes (longer arrows). The trend of steeply inclined axes is difficult to observe (or indeterminate when vertical), whereas there is trend variation in the shallowly inclined axes. There are regions of generally north-south oriented $k_{\max }$ axes, as well as areas with east-west trends dominating.

### 5.2.1. Sub-area classification

When dealing with a large number of specimens spread over a large areal extent, it is important to evaluate AMS data in structurally homogenous groups. This is especially important in this study, as the intent is to differentiate between areas of generally steep $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ inclinations and areas of generally shallow $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ inclinations. In addition, filtering AMS data into sub-areas with similar $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ orientations and similar dike orientations will facilitate the evaluation of AMS as an indicator of magmatic flow as described in Section 4.3. An axial magma chamber may have underlain areas with both steep $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ and AMS fabrics that satisfy the criteria laid out in Section 4.3. Conversely,
areas with both shallow $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ and AMS fabrics that meet the criteria for primary flow may have been located at some distance from an axial magma chamber.

By plotting spatially averaged $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ orientations (Figure 5-3) and dike orientations (Figure 3-2c) on the same map, it is possible to simultaneously observe patterns in the AMS and dike data, and assign the data to corresponding sub-areas. First, regions of predominantly steep $k_{\text {max }}$ are filtered out of the entire data set (coloured boxes in Figure 5-4). These areas are then sub-divided further to contain both consistent AMS data and consistent dike orientation, and are designated as primarily steep $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ sub-areas with the letters A through F (Figure 5-4). Regions of the study area not included in the steep $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ sub-areas are also grouped according to fabric and dike orientation. As dike orientation tends to vary systematically east to west and north to south (with proximity to the STTFZ), the most logical grouping scheme follows this pattern. The study area is therefore divided into four north-south columns (designated I through IV) and four eastwest rows (designated 1 through 4). Boundaries of each column and row are conveniently placed so that within each intersection (e.g. I-1, II-2, III-3 etc.) dike and fabric orientations are consistent (Figure 5-4). Some variability in both parameters is permitted at this point, as the actual (not spatially averaged) AMS data and dike orientations within each sub-area will be evaluated further.

In the following sections, both the sub-areas with predominantly steep $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ (A to F) and those with predominantly shallow $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ (I-1 to IV-4) will be evaluated in terms of the flow-kinematic model described in section 4.3. The dike and magnetic fabric orientations within each will be described, followed by a brief discussion of the potential for each
sub-area's AMS fabrics to faithfully represent the orientation of primary magmatic flow, and if applicable, the orientation of that flow-axis.

### 5.3.AMS results

Stereonets: All of the density contoured stereonets presented here are equal-area lower hemisphere projections, contoured at $0 \mathrm{E}, 2 \mathrm{E}, 4 \mathrm{E} .$. etc., where E is the expected uniform density, i.e. the density expected for an isotropic orientation-distribution of the points. Because $k_{\min }$ may define the pole to foliation, and $k_{\text {max }}$ may define a mineral alignment (Borradaile \& Henry, 1997), minimum axes are commonly interpreted as poles to foliation, and maxima as lineations. Of course, for perfectly prolate fabric ellipsoids there could be no foliation, and for perfect oblate ellipsoids there is no lineation.

Tensor statistics: The stereonets presented here for tensor data are equal-area, lower hemisphere projections of $95 \%$ confidence limits about the mean tensors of the principal AMS axes. The mean tensor is calculated in such a way as to take both the orthogonal nature of the axes and the magnitude of each sample's principal axes into account during the calculation (Jelinek, 1978; using SI201.exe program algorithm). To account for the considerable range in anisotropy values, the tensors have been normalized by dividing the value for each axis by the mean value of that sample. This treatment ensures that each sample's anisotropy contributes equally to the orientation of the mean tensors, regardless of the magnitude of the sample's susceptibility. The foliation plane included in each stereonet represents the plane that contains the maximum and intermediate principal axes. For prolate $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{j}}<0\right)$ or weakly oblate $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{j}}\right.$ slightly $\left.>0\right)$ the foliation plane is not geologically meaningful (i.e. the rock may not show a welldeveloped foliation).

### 5.3.1. Primarily steep $k_{\text {max }}$ sub-areas

### 5.3.1.1. Sub-area A

At $\sim 13 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ in area, sub-area $A$ is the most northwesterly of the steep $-\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ regions identified (Figure 5-4). Specimens were collected from both the Sheeted Dike Complex and the Basal Group, in the vicinity of the town of Laghoudera (UTM ref. 501000E 3869000 N ). Twelve dike orientations were measured, and AMS determinations made on 21 individual cores. Dikes in this subarea have a relatively constant N/NW strike and $\sim 45^{\circ}$ dips to the northeast (Figure 5-5a). A slight smear towards the south and steeper dip in the contoured dike poles is present, and indicates that a small number of dikes in this area strike to the west and dip shallowly to the north. A strong clustering of $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ axes plunging to the east and lying close to the dike plane is present, with any variability in the data tracing a very weak plane that is coplanar with the mean dike plane (Figure 5$5 b$ ). A similarly strong cluster of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}$ axes plunges very shallowly to the north, and lies close to the mean dike plane (Figure 5-5c). Some anomalous axes plot steeper and easterly along the dike plane, while a small number of points plot close to the cluster of mean dike poles, close to where $k_{\text {min }}$ axes would be expected. A strong cluster of $k_{\text {min }}$ data does in fact plot near the cluster of mean dike poles, however a small number of points plunge shallowly to the north, close to the main cluster of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}$ axes (Figure 5-5d). Mean tensors of the principal susceptibility axes plot close to the main clusters observed in Figures 5-5b-d. The $95 \%$ confidence regions about the principal axes are small, and show a slightly oblate symmetry (maximum and intermediate cones elongated towards each other) (Figure 5-5e). The confidence cones are not perfectly orthogonal, possibly indicating the existence of multiple competing sub-fabrics (Borradaile, 2001). In general, while only a small number of data are included, the AMS results of sub-area A fulfill the
theoretical requirements for magma-flow described in Section 4.3. $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ lie close to the dike plane, and $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ lies close to the pole to the mean dike. The magnetic lineations, and therefore the magmatic (or flow) lineations, show a definite preference for steep inclination.

### 5.3.1.2. Sub-area B

Sub-area B is located adjacent to and south of sub-area A, and is $\sim 30 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ in area (Figure 5-4). Fifty-one dike orientation measurements were made at exposures within this sub-area, and 91 oriented cores were obtained. The mean dike plane strikes northsouth, and dips to the east at $\sim 60^{\circ}$. A very strong clustering of dike poles is evident for this orientation (Figure 5-6a). There is a slight smearing of dike poles towards the south, indicating that some of dikes in this subarea have a more northwesterly strike, and dip to the northeast. Maximum susceptibility axes are well clustered around an east trend and $\sim 60^{\circ}$ plunge, and lie within the dike plane (Figure $5-6 \mathrm{~b}$ ). A portion of the distribution of $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ data seems to trace a plane perpendicular to the mean dike plane, however the majority of the data do coincide with the mean dike. A very weak cluster in $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}$ axes is present, although in general the data is widely scattered (Figure 5-6c). A small number of $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ axes plot close to the mean dike pole, however the data is scattered (Figure 5-6d). The strongest clustering of points actually lies within the dike plane, close to the weak $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ cluster. It appears that while $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ axes are well constrained, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ are not confined to either the mean dike plane, or parallelism with the mean dike pole, respectively. The mean tensor confidence cones about the three principal axes show a strong prolate ( $\mathrm{L} \gg \mathrm{S}$ ) symmetry, explaining the high variability in intermediate and minimum axes compared to maximum (Figure 5-6e). As with sub-area A, the tightly constrained $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$
axes that plunge steeply within the dike plane meet the requirements for indicating primary magmatic flow, and as such sub-area $B$ is considered to be a region of steep magmatic flow.

### 5.3.1.3. Sub-area $C$

Sub-area $C$ is $\sim 18 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ in area (Figure $5-4$ ), and is centred around the town of Palekhori (UTM ref 508000 E 3865000 N ). 118 oriented cores suitable for AMS measurements were recovered. The 131 dike orientations measured in this sub-area have a bimodal distribution, with one set of dikes striking almost north-south, and another north-northeast (Figure 5-7a). The stronger cluster of dike poles is related to the northnortheast set, resulting in the mean dike for the sub-area having this orientation. Maximum susceptibility axes show similar bi-modality, with a strong cluster of points plunging north at around $60^{\circ}$ (related to the north-south vertical dikes) and a dispersed but significant group plunging steeply within the mean dike plane (Figure $5-7 \mathrm{~b}$ ). $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}$ axes are widely scattered, however a weak cluster exists that is close to the cluster of dike poles for the north-northeast striking dike set (Figure 5-7c). The remaining intermediate axes trace a crude plane perpendicular to the mean dike plane. Strongly clustered $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ axes plunge gently north and south. The only obvious variability in $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ data relates to the bimodality of dike orientations, with a smear in minimum axes between the northsouth set and the north-northeast set (Figure 5-7d). Confidence cones about mean tensors of AMS data are small, and have a moderate oblate symmetry ( $\mathrm{S}>\mathrm{L}$ ) with intermediate and maximum confidence cones extending towards each other (Figure 5-7e). The mean tensor of $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ plunges near vertical, and close to both the mean dike plane and the northsouth striking subset. Mean tensors of $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ axes depart significantly from
expected, appearing to 'swap' orientations with $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ lying within the dike plane and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{kint}}$ co-linear with the pole to the mean dike. This fact does not exclude the AMS of sub-area C from consideration as a magmatic flow indicator, as the axes of maximum susceptibility meet the requirements laid out for primary flow (Section 4.3).

### 5.3.1.4. Sub-area D

Sub-area D covers $\sim 16 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, and includes 112 oriented core samples. This subarea is directly south of sub-area C , and from Figure 5-4 appears to have similar AMS fabrics to C. There is a significant difference, however, in the orientations of dikes between the two. Sub-area D includes 133 dikes from within a few kilometers of the Arakapas Fault Belt (AFB), meaning that the mean strike of dikes is closer to east-west than in areas further from the fault (Figure 5-4). Nearly all of the dikes measured in this area dip close to vertical, while a slight smear in strike from east-west towards northeastsouthwest is evident (Figure 5-8a). $\quad \mathrm{k}_{\max }$ axes cluster strongly close to vertical (Figure 5$8 b)$, and as most dikes are close to vertical $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ must lie within them. There is significant variability (unrelated to dike-strike) in the data, with a poorly defined distribution tracing a plane perpendicular to that of the mean dike plane. Intermediate susceptibility axes are highly variable, with a scatter of points between north/horizontal (close to the mean dike pole cluster) and east-northeast shallow (within the mean dike plane)(Figure 5-8c). As with previously described sub-areas, $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ axes show a similar pattern to $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$. A weak cluster of $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ axes close to the group of mean dike poles combined with a stronger cluster plunging shallowly to the west (close to the mean dike plane) makes up the distribution (Figure 5-8d). Again, like other subareas, the mean tensor maximum axis is close to vertical and close the mean and secondary dike planes, while intermediate and
minimum mean tensors are in unexpected orientations (Figure 5-8e). In this case, the apparent 'swapping' of minimum and intermediate axes seen previously is incomplete, with both lying between their expected and swapped orientations. Nonetheless, the magnetic lineation ( $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ ) likely records the primary magmatic lineation.

### 5.3.1.5. Sub-area $E$

With the town of Odhou at it's centre (UTM ref 515000 E 3860000 N ), this $\sim 20 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ sub-area includes sites from within $\sim 100 \mathrm{~m}$ of the AFB (Figure $5-4$ ). Of the 87 dike orientations measured in the field, those closest to the AFB strike almost east-west and are approximately vertical. In the northern part of this sub-area dikes strike northeastsouthwest and are approximately vertical. The stronger cluster of dike poles marks the northeast-southwest striking dikes, with a smearing of the remaining data towards poles to east-west striking dikes (Figure 5-9a). The mean dike plane is nearly vertical and strikes east-northeast, between the east-west and northeast-southwest groups. Eighty-six oriented cores were obtained form sub-area E. Maximum susceptibility axes cluster within the mean dike plane, plunging very steeply to the southwest (Figure 5-9b). There is some variability in $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ orientations, which weakly trace a plane perpendicular to the mean dike plane. $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}$ axes are widely scattered, however they do seem to weakly trace a plane similar to that which $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ data traces (Figure 5-9c). Minimum susceptibility axes are less scattered than $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}$, and have a good clustering of points within the dike plane, trending east and plunging close to horizontal. Scattering of minimum axes defines a horizontal girdle, with a poor cluster close to the pole to the mean dike (Figure 5-9d). The elongation of the maximum and intermediate tensor confidence regions towards one another, and the very small confidence region around the minimum mean tensor axis
indicate a strong oblate symmetry ( $\mathrm{S} \gg \mathrm{L}$ ) for this sub-area (Figure $5-9 \mathrm{e}$ ). The magnetic foliation described by the symmetry of the tensor confidence cones is oriented perpendicular to the mean dike plane. As the mean tensor for $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ lies within the dike plane and is close to vertical, the assumption that it represents alignment by magmatic flow is valid.

### 5.3.1.6. Sub-area F

Sub-area $F$ is relatively large at $\sim 30 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ( 107 oriented cores), and occupies much of the northeastern portion of the study area (Figure 5-4). The 37 dikes measured here have an almost constant northeast strike and $\sim 60^{\circ}$ dip to the southeast (Figure 5-10a). While the distribution of $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ axes traces a plane almost perpendicular to the mean dike plane, there is a good clustering of points within the dike plane, plunging $>45^{\circ}$ to the east-northeast (Figure 5-10b). $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}$ axes are poorly grouped around the dike plane, with a generally shallow plunge to the southwest (Figure 5-10c). A further pattern in the distribution of intermediate axes may be the tracing of a similar plane to that which $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ data traces, however this is weak at best. The distribution of minimum susceptibility axes roughly traces a horizontal girdle, with two weak clusters of data (Figure 5-10d). One of these clusters lies within the dike plane (shallow plunge to the southwest, as per $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}$ ), while the other groups close to the pole to the mean dike. Confidence regions about the mean tensors of the principal susceptibility axes are small, and mean tensor axes lie close to the theoretical case described in section 4.3 (Figure 5-10e). The maximum and intermediate mean axes lie within the mean dike plane, and the minimum close to the dike pole. A slight clockwise offset of the dike plane from the magnetic foliation plane is within error limits of measurement, or may be related to brittle re-orientation of dikes as
described by Borradaile and Gauthier (2002). Sub-area F is interpreted here to be a region of generally steep magma-flow.

### 5.3.2. Primarily shallow $\mathbf{k}_{\text {max }}$ sub-areas

### 5.3.2.1. Sub-area I-1

Located in the extreme northwest corner of the study area, sub-area I-1 contains only 2 sites (Figure 5-4). A total of 6 dike orientation measurements were made, and 6 oriented cores were recovered for AMS determinations. The small number of sites (and specimens) in this sub-area preclude it's data from being meaningfully interpreted. The six dikes included in this area are all close to vertical, with strikes ranging from NW-SE to N/NW-S/SE. AMS principal axes show a relationship to the mean dike plane which is opposite to that expected from magma kinematics, with $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ corresponding to the dike poles, and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ lying in the dike plane (Figure 5-11a). As such, AMS data from this sub-area may not represent magma-flow within the dikes.

### 5.3.2.2. Sub-area I-2

Geographically, much of sub-area I-2 has been included in sub-areas A and B (Figure 5-4). Fifty-one oriented cores make up the shallow $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ set from this area, and are therefore part of this sub-area. Fifty-three dike orientations were measured in the field, and a relatively constant north-south strike and $\sim 60^{\circ}$ dip was recorded. While inclinations are generally shallow, $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ axes trend east and west, and rarely lie convincingly close to the mean dike plane. In cases where $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ is close to the dike plane, it trends to the east and plunges $\sim 60^{\circ}$, appearing to be a steep axis rather than a shallow one. The axes of intermediate susceptibility have an interesting distribution that is masked by the location of the mean tensor. Some points group to trace the mean dike
plane, while others cluster around the main group of dike poles. $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ axes, however, rarely correspond to the dike poles, grouping instead within the dike plane, trending south and plunging close to horizontal. This fabric appears to be blended, with each principal AMS axis in an unexpected orientation relative to the mean dike plane. Some of the data included in this sub-area may faithfully record magmatic flow (if so these specimens should be included in sub-area A), however the relationship between AMS and flowalignment is ambiguous at best.

### 5.3.2.3. Sub-area I-3

Variability is the rule for sub-area I-3, which is located along the western side of the study area. The 51 dikes are generally steeply dipping, but their strike varies between north-south and almost east-west. The mean dike plane is therefore almost vertical and strikes slightly north of northeast. 44 oriented cores were collected from this area. $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ orientations are highly scattered, with a weak grouping of data plunging shallowly to the south, and scattered throughout the dike plane. The trend of intermediate axes are scattered as well, however they generally have a shallow plunge. $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ group weakly around a west trend with moderate plunge, close to the poles to north-south dikes. Large $95 \%$ confidence cones about the mean tensors reflect the high variability in the data. The mean tensor maximum susceptibility axis lies close to the dike plane, plunging $\sim 45^{\circ}$ to the southeast, with its confidence cone elongated parallel to the mean dike plane. The intermediate mean tensor axis is horizontal and trends southwest-northeast, close to the dike plane. Plunging $\sim 30^{\circ}$ to the northwest is the mean tensor for $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$. The confidence region around $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ encloses the majority of the dike poles. Despite variable dike orientations and high variability in the AMS data, the magnetic fabric of this subarea may
be regarded to approximate magmatic flow, as $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ mean orientations are generally related to the mean dike plane, and $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ axes plot close to dike poles.

### 5.3.2.4. Sub-area I-4

As with sub-area I-3, the dike orientations measured in I-4 are highly variable, however in this sub-area the scatter is clearly related to proximity to the AFB, and the gradual swing in dike-strikes to parallelism with it as described first by Simonian and Gass (1978). Dips are generally greater than $60^{\circ}$, and strikes vary between north-south and east-west. Only northeast dipping dikes are absent. Despite the variability, a strong cluster of dike poles relate to a northeast-southwest striking mean dike plane for the area that dips very steeply. The extent of variability in dike orientations is not present in the distributions of principal susceptibility axes. $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ axes group strongly, with $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ axes plunging gently to the south (and north) and $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ axes gently to the west (and east). The intermediate susceptibility axes do show high scatter, with only a weak clustering of points plunging $\sim 45^{\circ}$ to the north. Mean tensors of principal susceptibility axes confirm this. $95 \%$ confidence regions are generally small, and show a slightly non-orthogonal but prolate ( $\mathrm{L}>\mathrm{S}$ ) symmetry. The magnetic fabrics of this sub-area fit very well the criteria described in Section 4.3 for primary magmatic flow, however only in the case of a northsouth vertical dike. As stated previously, dike orientations vary between north-south and east-west, with a mean dike plane that is near vertical and strikes northeast-southwest. It would appear that that magnetic fabrics that originated in north-south dikes have become misaligned by cataclastic shearing of some dikes to a more east-west orientation. This can be explained by considering dike trends that may shear on an outcrop scale, whereas on the specimen scale the rotation is accomplished by the formation of a cataclastic
foliation. This process has been shown to lead to the loss of parallelism between dike planes and magnetic fabrics, without the disruption of the primary nature of the fabric (Borradaile and Gauthier, 2002; see Chapter 6). As magmatic flow ceased prior to dike rotation (Bonhommet et al., 1988), the AMS fabrics of this sub-area may be used as a flow indicator. Shallowly plunging $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ axes within the dike plane indicate lateral magma-flow.

### 5.3.2.5. Sub-area II-1

Sub-area II-1 is located along the northern margin of the study area. 39 dike orientations were measured and 52 oriented core samples were collected from this area. The dikes are of generally constant orientation, dipping steeply and striking to the northnortheast. Contrary to the high scatter seen in the I-group sub-areas (above), AMS principal axes in this sub-area are well constrained. A strong grouping in $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ axes plunges moderately to the south. The remainder of the distribution traces a north-south vertical plane, with minor groupings of points shallow to the north. Intermediate susceptibility axes are distributed along the trace of the mean dike plane for the area, with weak clusters of data dipping moderately/steeply to the north and south. A very strong grouping of $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ axes trending east-west and plunging close to horizontal is offset anticlockwise from the dike pole groups by less than $15^{\circ}$. A strongly oblate ( $\mathrm{S} \gg \mathrm{L}$ ) symmetry of the fabrics is evident from the tightly constrained $95 \%$ confidence region around the mean tensor minimum axis and high elongation, within the magnetic foliation plane, of $k_{\text {max }}$ and $k_{\text {min }}$ confidence regions. The mean tensor of $k_{\text {max }}$ plunges very shallowly to the north, and lies within $15^{\circ}$ of the mean dike plane, indicating that magmatic flow was likely the main control on generating these magnetic fabrics. The
clockwise offset of the mean dike plane from the magnetic foliation plane is similar to that expected as a result of cataclastic shear close to the AFB (see sub-area I-4 above). The generally northeast strike of dikes in this sub-area, however, may not be related to shear along the STTFZ.

### 5.3.2.6. Sub-area II-2

Sub-area II-2 includes specimens from both the Basal Group and Sheeted Dike Complex, and is located in the north-central portion of the study area. Many of the specimens within the boundaries of this sub-area are included in sub-areas A, B, and C. Sampling density here is high, leaving 181 oriented cores and 134 dike orientation measurements within II-2. Dikes are of very constant orientation, striking north-south and dipping approximately $75^{\circ}$ to the east. Rare dikes in this area dip to the west or strike north-northeast or north-northwest. The orientation-distribution of $k_{\max }$ axes in this sub-area traces the mean dike plane, with strong groupings of points dipping very shallowly to the north and south. The distribution of intermediate susceptibility axes weakly traces the mean dike plane, however the data is scattered compared with $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$. A moderate grouping of data occurs dipping steeply to the east, within the mean dike plane. $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ axes show a similar variability in orientation as $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$, however group strongly around the dike pole cluster for the area. $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ orientations tend to scatter around the periphery of the stereonet, with a weak group occurring at south/horizontal, within the dike plane and close to a group of $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ points. Inspection of the mean tensor axes and $95 \%$ confidence regions shows that the AMS data are clearly related to the mean dike orientation in this sub-area. The mean tensor $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ axes lie within the mean dike plane, and their confidence regions are elongated within the dike plane. This means that the magnetic
foliation is co-planar with the mean dike, and as such the mean tensor of the minimum susceptibility axis lies parallel to the pole to the mean dike. Despite some scatter in $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ data, the confidence cone about its mean tensor axis is very small. The symmetry of the confidence regions of the three principal susceptibility axes indicates a strongly oblate ( $\mathrm{S} \gg \mathrm{L}$ ) regional fabric. There is little departure in this data from the theoretical case described in section 4.3, and therefore the magnetic fabrics of sub-area II-2 must represent a primary magmatic flow fabric. The strong grouping of $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ axes plunging very shallowly to the north indicates nearly horizontal magma-flow.

### 5.3.2.7. Sub-area II-3

As with II-2, many of the sites within II-3 have been included in previous sub-areas (C and D). 42 sites with 128 dike measurements and 135 oriented cores are included here. Dike orientations are extremely consistent. The mean dike plane for the area strikes northeast-southwest and dips very steeply to the northwest. Only a small number of dikes in this subarea depart from the mean dike. AMS axes are scattered, however a pattern is detected in their orientation-distribution. $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ axes trace weakly a northsouth/steep plane, with several weak clusters dipping both steeply and shallowly. Despite slightly more scatter in $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ axes than $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$, they tend to trace a plane similar in orientation to the mean dike plane. One moderate grouping of $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ axes occurs dipping shallowly on a southwest trend, within the mean dike plane. Scatter is high in the distribution of $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ axes, however two patterns are recognized: a moderate grouping of points at east/horizontal and the trace of an east-west vertical plane. The shallowly dipping cluster may be related to the cluster of dike poles for the area as there is a smear in the density contours towards the southeast and the dike poles, and the main groups are offset by less
than $45^{\circ}$ trend. The trace of an east-west striking plane may be related to the existence of multiple sub-fabrics, which tend to cause an apparent axis swapping, and lead to the nonorthogonal nature of mean tensor confidence regions. While the $95 \%$ confidence region about the mean tensor minimum susceptibility axis is very small (and offset $\sim 30^{\circ}$ anticlockwise from the dike pole group), the confidence regions about the maximum and intermediate axes are large and elongated toward each other. The elongation is not perfectly co-planar, however, with some offset in each from the magnetic foliation plane. This may be the effect of multiple sub-fabrics contributing to the fabric for the subarea, indicating a slight heterogeneity within the sub-area. As observed in other sub-areas, there is a clockwise offset of the dike plane form the AMS fabric that may be due proximity to the AFB (see above). In fact, the main departure of the data from that expected for a primary flow fabric is related to shear of dike orientations along the STTFZ with no disruption to the magnetic fabric. The AMS data for sub-area II-3 is considered to represent an area with a preference for lateral magma-flow.

### 5.3.2.8. Sub-area II-4

Only 5 oriented cores were recovered from this sub- area, which is located in the very south of the study area adjacent to the AFB. The east-west strike of the 30 dikes measured, the fact that $k_{\max }$ axes are parallel with dike poles for much of the area, and the very low number of specimens make this area unsuitable for interpretations or speculations in terms of magmatic flow.

### 5.3.2.9. Sub-area III-1

Due to minor edge-effects in the spatial-averaging process, one smoothed point lies within this area, however no data was actually collected here.

### 5.3.2.10. Sub-area III-2

Sub-area III-2 covers a large area of the northeastern part of the study area, and contains samples of the Basal Group and Sheeted Dike Complex. 71 dike orientations were measured, which, while steeply dipping, vary between east-west and north-south strike. A mean dike plane with a vertical dip and northeast-southwest strike is supported by a moderate grouping of dike poles trending northwest and dipping very shallowly. $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ axes, while scattered, are generally confined to broad groupings that plunge shallowly to the north and south. Points that are not part of these groups dip shallowly at various orientations, weakly tracing an horizontal girdle around the periphery of the stereonet. Intermediate susceptibility axes do not group together, however their distribution weakly traces a vertical north-south plane. A weak cluster of points occurs with a vertical plunge, within the dike plane. $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ axes are generally shallow-dipping, with groups of data trending east and west. A small number of points cluster around a vertical plunge, close to the strongest grouping of $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ axes. This leads to the $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ data weakly tracing an east-west vertical plane. The main shallow dipping groups may be related to the strongest dike pole clusters, with the difference being a small $\left(<45^{\circ}\right)$ anticlockwise offset. Mean tensor axes and their confidence regions show a weak prolate symmetry ( $\mathrm{L}>\mathrm{S}$ ). The mean tensor of $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ plunges near horizontal to the north, with $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ vertical and east/horizontal, respectively. The general northeast-southwest strike of dikes in this sub-area relates to shear along the STTFZ, and as such the mean dike plane is offset $\sim 45^{\circ}$ clockwise from the magnetic fabric. Otherwise, the magnetic fabrics appear to be primary, as described in section 4.3 , with a preference for lateral flow (shallow $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ ) assumed for the area.

### 5.3.2.11. Sub-area III-3

Much of sub-area III-3 has been included in sub-areas E and F (above). The 21 remaining sites that are within III-3 have predominantly east-northeast striking dikes that dip steeply to the south-southeast. A small number of the 60 dikes measured in the field dip to the north-northwest. $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ axes of the 96 oriented cores from this sub-area form a steeply dipping group, that is part of an overall distribution that weakly traces a plane perpendicular to the mean dike plane. Intermediate axes are quite scattered, with a distribution that very weakly traces a nearly vertical southwest striking plane. Two poorly defined groups of points occur, one having a shallow plunge to the northeast, the other close to vertical. $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ axes are scattered as well, with a bimodal distribution pattern. The data can be seen to group close to the main group of dike poles for the area, and can be seen to trace an east-west striking and $\sim 30^{\circ}$ dipping plane. The strongest grouping of points occurs at east/horizontal, within the mean dike plane. The orientations of the mean tensor principal susceptibility axes are as expected for the data described above, however a prolate symmetry ( $\mathrm{L}>\mathrm{S}$ ) is observed based on the elongation of their confidence regions. With $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ dipping steeply within the dike plane, this sub-area seems more suited to inclusion in an area of generally steeply inclined $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$. In terms of the primary (flow derived) nature of the magnetic fabric, this has a problem; $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ is expected to coincide with the pole to the mean dike, and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ is expected to lie within the dike plane. In this case, $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ lies within the dike plane and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ close to the pole to the mean dike. Regardless, the magnetic fabric is clearly related in some way to the mean dike plane. The magnetic fabrics may be reconciled with magmatic origin (see Chapter 6), and it can be seen from Figure 5-4 that steep- $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ sub-areas E and F nearly overlap within III-3. This implies that predominantly steep $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$, and therefore an extension of the steep magmatic flow regions
of E and F is not unreasonable for this area. The most conservative interpretation would be that III-3 has ambiguous magnetic fabrics and cannot be considered to represent an area of shallow magma-flow.

### 5.3.2.12. Sub-area III-4

Located directly adjacent to the AFB, much of this area is included in sub-area $F$ (above). The 20 dikes included in III-4 have strikes ranging from north-south to eastwest, and may dip between $90^{\circ}$ and $30^{\circ}$. The mean dike for the area is vertical and oriented almost east-west. A bimodal distribution describes $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ axes ( $\mathrm{n}=24$ ), with a group of points plunging shallowly northeast and southwest, and a second weaker grouping lying close to the dike plane through the NW quadrant of the stereonet. $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ axes almost evenly distributed throughout the stereonet, as implied by the fact that the highest density of points is only twice the expected for an isotropic distribution. Nonetheless, there is a weak preference for shallow dips in the distribution. $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ orientations are somewhat scattered, with a strong grouping of points close to the dike plane and plunging close to horizontal. A second group occurs plunging northeast at $\sim 45^{\circ}$. Large confidence regions about the mean tensor maximum and intermediate axis is clear, as is an oblate ( $\mathrm{S} \gg \mathrm{L}$ ) symmetry of the fabrics. While in this case $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ does lie within the dike plane and dip shallowly, the mean tensor $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ axis lies within the dike plane and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ lies nearly collinear with the pole to the mean dike plane. This is not necessarily the configuration expected for primary flow, however there is a clear relationship between AMS and the mean dike plane. As mentioned previously (and described below), multiple sub-fabrics competing for the orientation-distribution of principal axes can cause an apparent swapping of $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ axes. If this is the case here, then $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ represents the magmatic
flow axis and flow was indeed shallow. One further source of ambiguity relates to how the magnetic fabric and dike plane remained aligned after dike rotation due to shear along the STTFZ.

### 5.3.2.13. Sub-area IV-1

Due to minor edge-effects in the spatial-averaging process, smoothed points lie within this area, however no data was actually collected here.

### 5.3.2.14. Sub-area IV-2

Due to minor edge-effects in the spatial-averaging process, smoothed points lie within this area, however no data was actually collected here.

### 5.3.2.15. Sub-area IV-3

This sub-area is located within the Sheeted Dike Complex along the western boundary of the study area. Of the 31 dikes included in this sub-area ( 28 oriented cores), the majority strike northeast-southwest and dip very steeply. A small group of dikes strike east-west and dip $\sim 60^{\circ}$ to the south. $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ axes group with northeast and southwest trends, plunging shallowly and close to the mean dike plane. Data that does not group tends to be scattered throughout the stereonet. Intermediate axes are generally shallowly dipping, and form a horizontal girdle around the periphery of the stereonet, with a weak grouping trending southeast. Despite some scatter, $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ axes tend to cluster around vertical, and within the mean dike plane. Mean tensors for this data tell much the same story, with a tightly constrained maximum axis trending southwest and plunging very shallowly. Elongation of the confidence regions about the mean tensor $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ axes indicate a strong prolate symmetry ( $\mathrm{L} \gg \mathrm{S}$ ). The intermediate and minimum axes do not correspond to any particular relationship with the mean dike plane (such as $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ colinear
with the dike pole). Despite this, $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ is horizontal and within the dike plane, and the prolate symmetry of the fabric does not require that $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}$ lie within or perpendicular to the dike plane. In fact, the minimum and intermediate confidence regions together trace a plane perpendicular to the mean dike plane. This data, therefore, meets the requirements for magmatic origin. In this area flow may have been close to horizontal.

### 5.3.2.16. Sub-area IV-4

With only 4 oriented cores recovered from this subarea, and its proximity to the AFB, no interpretations can be based on it. The magnetic fabrics found here match those determined for sub-area IV-3. Therefore, by extension, any interpretations made for IV-3 will apply to IV-4 as well.


Figure 5-1. Histogram of $k_{\text {Bur }}$ data, with insets of (i) 'box and whiskers' plot of data, where lower and upper 'whiskers mark the 5th and 95th percentiles, respectively, the upper and lower borders of the 'box' mark the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively, and the thin and thick vertical line mark the median and mean, respectively; and (ii) histogram of $\log \left(k_{\text {влик }}\right)$, showing a log-normal distribution. See text for discussion.


Figure 5-2. a) Density contoured Jelinek plotfor all data ( $\mathrm{n}=1289$ ). Note that higher Pj specimens generally have $\mathrm{Tj}>0$. Data is contoured at count per $1 \%$ area. $\mathrm{Tj}=+1$ for a perfect oblate or disk shape, $\mathrm{Tj}=-1$ for a perfect prolate or rod shape, $\mathrm{Pj}=1$ for a sphere or isotropic fabric. b) 'box and whiskers' plot of Pj (i) and Tj (ii) distribution. Plot parameters as per Fig. 5-1i.


Figure5-3. Spatially averaged $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ data for entire study area. Raw data was smoothed using a moving circle method ( 5 km radius, 1 km spacing) weighted by inverse distance (nearest neighbour) to station. Longer arrows indicate shallower plunge, shorter arrows indicate steeper plunge.


Figure 5-4. Spatially averaged dike orientation and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ data. Nubered (I-I, I-2, etc.) regions are sub-areas of shallowly plunging $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ axes and homogenous dike orientations. Lettered (A, B, etc ) regions are sub-areas of generally steeply plunging $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ axes and homogenous dike orientations.


Figure 5-5. AMS data for sub-area A. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\max }(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathrm{c}), \mathrm{k}_{\min }(\mathrm{d})$, and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).

a) dike orientations

b) $k_{\text {max }}$

e) mean tensors for AMS data


Figure 5-6. AMS data for sub-area B. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathrm{c}), \mathrm{k}_{\min }(\mathrm{d})$, and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).


Figure 5-7. AMS data for sub-area C. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathrm{c}), \mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}(\mathrm{d})$, and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).


Figure 5-8. AMS data for sub-area D. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $k_{\text {max }}(b), k_{\text {int }}(c), k_{\text {min }}(d)$, and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).


Figure 5-9. AMS data for sub-area E. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}(\mathrm{c}), \mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ (d), and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).


Figure 5-10. AMS data for sub-area F. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $k_{\text {max }}(b), k_{\text {int }}(c), k_{\text {min }}(d)$, and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).


Figure 5-11. AMS data for sub-area I-1. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathrm{c}), \mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}(\mathrm{d})$, and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).


Figure 5-16. AMS data for sub-area I-2. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}(\mathrm{c}), \mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}(\mathrm{d})$, and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).

c) $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}$

e) mean tensors for AMS data


Figure 5-13. AMS data for sub-area l-3. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{im}}(\mathrm{c}), \mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}(\mathrm{d})$, and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).


Figure 5-14. AMS data for sub-area I-4. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathrm{c}), \mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}(\mathrm{d})$, and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).

a) dike orientations

c) $k_{\text {int }}$

e) mean tensors for AMS data


Figure 5-15. AMS data for sub-area II-1. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}(\mathrm{c}), \mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}(\mathrm{d})$, and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).


Figure 5-12. AMS data for sub-area II-2. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\max }(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathrm{c}), \mathrm{k}_{\min }(\mathrm{d})$, and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).

a) dike orientations

b) $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$

c) $\mathrm{k}_{\text {in }}$

e) mean tensors for AMS data


Figure 5-17. AMS data for sub-area II-3. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}(\mathrm{c}), \mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}(\mathrm{d})$, and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).


Figure 5-18. AMS data for sub-area II-4. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\max }(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathrm{c}), \mathrm{k}_{\min }(\mathrm{d})$, and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).


Figure 5-19. AMS data for sub-area III-2. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{in}}$ (c), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}(\mathrm{d})$, and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).


Figure 5-20. AMS data for sub-area III-3. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ (c), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}(\mathrm{d})$, and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).


Figure 5-21. AMS data for sub-area III-4. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathrm{c}), \mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}(\mathrm{d})$, and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).


Figure 5-22. AMS data for sub-area IV-3. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\max }(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}$ (c), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ (d), and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).


Figure 5-23. AMS data for sub-area IV-4. Density contoured stereonets for dike orientations (a), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}$ (c), $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ (d), and mean tensors and $95 \%$ confidence regions (e). Fine dashed plane represents the mean dike for this sub-area, coarse dashed plane (d) and solid plane (e) represent magnetic foliation plane. Solid squares, triangles, and circles represent mean tensor maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes, respectively (e).

## 6. Interpretation guidelines

This chapter describes the AMS, AARM, and rock magnetic investigations of specimens collected at two structurally homogenous sites within the present study area (Figure 6-1). Based on the results of this small-scale but detailed study, guidelines for the interpretation of the AMS fabrics described in Chapter 5 will be proposed. The results of this detailed study are presented in Borradaile and Gauthier (2002).

### 6.1. Purpose and study areas

While the AMS fabrics of many of the sub-areas described in Chapter 5 conform nearly perfectly to those expected on kinematic grounds (Section 4.3), others have fabrics that completely or partially depart from this theoretical, flow-derived fabric. In both the cases of flow-compatible and anomalous fabrics, it may be instructive to have more information regarding the mineralogical source of the fabric, the (non-) existence of competing sub-fabrics, and the effect of post-emplacement dike shear on the AMS fabrics. In order to assess these factors, two structurally homogenous sites were selected. They are considered representative of the orientations of the dikes and of their mineralogy and alteration. At a road-cut exposure near the forest station at Panagea, 20 samples were taken from 7 dikes with an average orientation of north strike and $60^{\circ}$ dip to the east (Figure 6-1a). At another site, close to the Konia observation post, 7 dikes with a consistent northeast strike and $60^{\circ}$ dip to the southeast (Figure 6-1b) yielded a further 23 oriented hand samples.

### 6.2.AMS and AARM results

### 6.2.1. Panagea site

AMS was measured on 63 core-samples from this site, 19 of which were also suitable for AARM determination. As this site is $\sim 13 \mathrm{~km}$ north of the STTFZ, no obvious dike rotation related to shear along the transform is evident (Figure 6-1a), nor are the dikes pervasively deformed. Therefore, any departure of the magnetic fabrics from that expected based on the arguments in section 4.3 cannot be related to shear or deformation. Fortunately, the AMS fabrics of these samples agree perfectly with the flow-kinematic model: $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ axes are distributed along the trace of the dike plane, with a strong grouping and mean tensor axis that plunges shallowly to the north, within the dike plane (Figure 62a). The intermediate mean tensor axis also lies within the dike plane, while $\mathrm{k}_{\min }$ axes cluster strongly around the group of dike poles for this outcrop (Figure 6-2c). As expected for a group of specimens from within meters of each other and from similar dikes, there is little scatter in the AMS results with all axes having tightly constrained mean tensor orientations and small $95 \%$ confidence regions. These results strongly suggest that a preferred orientation caused by flowing magma exists, and that flow may have been very shallow and consistently oriented in this area.

While AMS fabrics describe the orientation-distribution of all grains of a specimen, AARM fabrics successfully isolate the ferromagnetic component. Interestingly, the AARM fabrics do not correspond to the AMS fabrics, except in that they have roughly parallel symmetry planes (Figure 6-2c,d). AARM int is close to parallel with $k_{\text {min }}$, and the AARM foliation is perpendicular to the AMS foliation and mean dike planes. In most cases where there are two distinct paramagnetic and ferromagnetic subfabrics, the high-susceptibility of the ferromagnetic fabric swamps the paramagnetic
contribution to AMS. In this case, a poorly defined orientation-distribution of a complex mixture of primary and secondary ferromagnetics has too low an anisotropy to disturb significantly the well-defined and strongly oriented (by magmatic flow) paramagnetic AMS.

### 6.2.2. Konia site

Seventy-four core samples were recovered form this site. AMS was measured on all, while 21 of these were found suitable for AARM determination. At less than 7 km north of the STTFZ, and with a mean dike strike of northeast-southwest (Figure 6-1b), these dikes were clearly affected by shear along the transform, causing their clockwise rotation. While the exact sequence of rotations affecting these dikes remains elusive, it is clear that they are at least rotated $45^{\circ}$ clockwise. This is due to their proximity to the transform and is observed in their departure from the north-south strike which is considered primary for the SDC. They remain free from penetrative deformation, however. Despite the difference in dike orientation, AMS fabrics from Konia are very similar in orientation to those described for Panagea. $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ axes are distributed along the trace of the dike plane, with a strong grouping, and mean tensor axis for $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ plunging moderately to the east/northeast, within the dike plane (Figure 6-3a). $\mathrm{k}_{\text {int }}$ axes group with a shallow plunge to the south, displaced anti-clockwise from the mean dike plane. Minimum axes and their mean tensor cluster close to but with anti-clockwise displacement from the group of dike poles for the area (Figure 6-3b). In contrast to the oblate symmetry of the mean tensor confidence regions of the Panagea samples, those for Konia show a distinct prolate symmetry. Clearly, the clockwise rotation of the dikes of this area due to shear along the STTFZ is responsible for the misalignment of the AMS principal axes and the dike plane.

Removing this offset, the magnetic fabrics appear compatible with magma flow described in Section 4.3, meaning that $k_{\text {max }}$ may indicate magma flow that was relatively steep in this area. As at Panagea, AARM fabrics for Konia share symmetry planes with AMS fabrics, but show perpendicular magnetic foliation planes (Fig 6-3c,d). Again, a lowanisotropy ferromagnetic oxide subfabric may be present which, while highly susceptible, is insufficiently anisotropic to disturb the paramagnetic-orientation distribution expressed in the AMS fabric.

### 6.3.Interpretation of magnetic fabrics

It has been shown that in both sheared and non-sheared area, AMS may be a useful magma-flow indicator as described in previous chapters. The correspondence of AMS axes and magnetic foliation with those expected for magma-flow based on kinematic arguments (Section 4.3) leaves little room for doubt as to the origin of the orientation distribution of grains found in rocks of the SDC. Despite the apparent simplicity in interpreting AMS data alone in terms of magma-flow, AARM fabrics are somewhat ambiguous and difficult to reconcile with a simple kinematic model. Interestingly, the $k_{\text {max }}$ and $A A R M_{\text {max }}$ mean tensors are similarly oriented in both areas described above, whereas $k_{\text {min }}$ roughly corresponds to $A A R M_{i n t}$, and $k_{\text {int }}$ roughly corresponds to $A A R M_{\text {min }}$. The most noticeable disparity between the AMS and AARM fabrics is the difference in variability within the data. The $95 \%$ confidence regions about the mean tensors for AMS principal axes are very small and well constrained, whereas those for AARM principal axes are large. Clearly, there exist at least two petrofabrics in these rocks; a 'primary' preferred orientation of paramagnetic silicates (AMS), and a feeble, low-anisotropy
orientation distribution in late crystallizing and altered primary ferromagnetic oxides. With the data presented thus far in this chapter, the following may be concluded:

1) That AMS fabrics may proxy for magmatic flow in areas where 'primary' dikestrikes persist
2) Despite the misalignment of AMS fabrics and dike planes related to shear along the STTFZ in areas of rotated dikes, magma flow may still be inferred by qualitatively restoring the dike strike and then evaluating the fabrics as described in Section 4-5 (Figure 6-4)
3) There may be an oxide sub-fabric that, while overwhelming the bulk susceptibility of the samples, is of low-anisotropy and therefore is unable to swamp the strong preferred orientation of the paramagnetic fabric described by AMS results.

In general, a ferromagnetic sub-fabric that is oriented differently from that of the paramagnetic silicates will dominate both the magnitude and orientation of the resulting AMS fabric (Borradaile and Henry, 1997). The late, low-temperature sea-floor alteration and topotactic recrystallization of oxides in ophiolitic and oceanic basalt and diabase is a well known process (Section 3.3.2). This process does not implicitly retain any features of the orientation-distribution of primary oxides that may have been aligned by magmatic flow. Fortunately, in this study the oxide sub-fabric due to sea-floor alteration appears to be unable to affect the flow-derived orientation-distribution of the paramagnetic silicates. Nonetheless, in light of the potential for confusion resulting from a alteration oxide fabric masking a flow-aligned AMS, the nature of the oxides should be investigated further. The main question that must be addressed is; what is the nature of the oxide sub-fabric
revealed by AARM? Moreover, why is its orientation not expressed in the AMS fabric? It is also possible that there are multiple oxide sub-fabrics, an early one corresponding to the AMS signal, and an alteration fabric as suggested.

### 6.4.Rock magnetism tests

To answer the questions above, and to further understand the nature of the AMS results presented in Chapter 5, several rock magnetic properties were evaluated for the Panagea and Konia sites.

### 6.4.1. Thermomagnetic experiments

As described in section 3.3.2, different oxides occur in oceanic basalt and diabase at different stages of alteration, and at different depths in the crust. Each of these oxides has a distinct Curie temperature $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$, and as such may be identified via thermomagnetic tests. For this study, a Sapphire Instruments (Canada) horizontal-translation Curie Balance was used. With this instrument, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ of ferromagnetic grains is determined by heating a specimen from room temperature to some predetermined maximum, and measuring the displacement of the specimen relative to a large solid-state neodyniumcobalt magnet. When a given oxide reaches its Curie temperature, the specimen instantly loses some attraction to the magnet. Results of this experiment are shown in Figure 6-5. Inflexion points occur in most samples between $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In $50 \%$ of samples tested, all ferro-magnetism is lost at temperatures between $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $550^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Weak inflexion points in the remaining samples occur at $560^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. However, $>30 \%$ of original magnetization persists beyond that temperature in these specimens. The primary oxides in sea-floor basaltic rocks, $\mathrm{TM}_{60}$ and magnetite, have Curie temperatures of $150-200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $560^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. Only weak inflexion points are found at these temperatures,
indicating that while these phases are present in the specimens, much of the magnetization is carried elsewhere. Oxidised $\mathrm{TM}_{60}$, or titanomaghemite, has Curie temperatures in the $300-400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ range, corresponding better to the inflexion points observed in this study (Figure 6-5). Also, the Curie temperature of oxidized magnetite, or maghemite, is $>600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and presumably those specimens in this study that were not fully demagnetized at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ contain some proportion of it.

These tests reveal that the following oxides are present within the tested specimens:

- small amounts of magnetite, possibly both primary and exsolved from $\mathrm{TM}_{60}$
- small amounts of primary $\mathrm{TM}_{60}$
- oxidised $\mathrm{TM}_{60}$, or titanomaghemite
- oxidised magnetite, or maghemite


### 6.4.2. AF Demagnetization of SIRM

In order to rule out the single domain effect of inverse fabrics (Section 4.4.1.2), oxide grain-size was inferred from domain-response studied by AF-demagnetization of saturation isothermal remanence (SIRM). An SIRM was imposed at 1.0 Tesla using a Sapphire Instruments (Canada) SI-6 pulse-magnetiser. The SIRM intensity was measured following each step of AF-demagnetization in a Sapphire Instruments SI-4 demagnetizer. SIRM was removed completely at a peak AF demagnetising field of 130 mT (Figure 6-6a). Differentiating the cumulative curve gives a remanence-coercivity spectrum (Figure 6-6a inset), which indicates the proportions of IRM carried in different ranges of the AF -field. Most remanence is carried in the $0-15 \mathrm{mT}$ range, slightly less between 15 and 30 mT . These ranges correspond to multi-domain (MD) and pseudo-
single domain magnetite, respectively. Therefore, it appears that single-domain magnetite does not contribute to the AMS fabrics in these specimens.

### 6.4.3. ARM Acquisition

A second test of coercivity of remanence is accomplished by investigating the acquisition of anhysteretic remanent magnetism (ARM). Following complete demagnetization, ARM is acquired using a DC bias field of 0.1 mT and a peak alternating field of 180 mT . The DC field was applied over AF-windows of different sizes, in 2 mT steps. After each step, remanence intensity was measured. Differentiating the cumulative acquisition curves (Figure 6-6b) yields a remanence coercivity spectrum (Figure 6-6b inset). The majority of ARM was acquired over remanence-coercivity windows corresponding to MD-magnetite, and to a slightly lesser extent by PSDmagnetite. These results confirm those found via demagnetization of SIRM (Section 6.4.1).

### 6.5.Discussion and conclusions

Three main conclusions may be drawn from the AMS, AARM, and rock magnetic results presented here for samples collected at Panagea and Konia, and by extension the Troodos SDC:

1) The topotactic growth of secondary oxides (magnetite, maghemite, titanomaghemite) at the expense of primary oxides (magnetite, $\mathrm{TM}_{60}$ ) may occur without orientation-bias, resulting in weakly anisotropic oxide sub-fabrics. The secondary oxide sub-fabric may dominate the bulk susceptibility of the rock. However, it cannot suppress the strongly aligned and anisotropic paramagnetic (and residual primary oxide) flow fabric because it is nearly isotropic. This is
especially clear where AMS tensors of individual specimens have been normalized to equivalent volumes (thus the magnitude of susceptibility of each is not considered), and evaluated in structurally homogenous subareas.
2) When interpreting anomalous magnetic fabrics where an oxide subfabric is suspected, it is common practice to conclude that a mixing of aligned, paramagnetic and ferromagnetic anisotropic fabrics is present. The resulting AMS in that case may be controlled more by the relative susceptibilities of two (or more) sub-fabrics. In this study, mixing of subfabrics of differing anisotropies is suspected. Fortuitously, in this study the oxide sub-fabric is of low-anisotropy and poor alignment, so it is not able to mask the strong flow-alignment and high anisotropy of the paramagnetic fabric.

Rotation of dikes due to shear along the STTFZ may misalign the AMS fabric and dike plane, potentially leading to kinematically ambiguous fabrics. Clearly, in many cases, offset dikes and fabrics may be rectified in terms of magmatic flow within the dike plane, even where $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ does not lie within the dike plane.


Figure 6-1. Generalized geological map of Cyprus, showing the location and dike orientations of the Panagea (a) and Konia (b) dike study sites. (From Borradaile and Gauthier, 2002)


Figure 6-2. AMS and AARM results for specimens collected at the Panagea site. Mean AMS tensors and confidence ellipses are plotted over density contoured stereonets of maximum (a) and minimum (b) AMS axes, and AARM mean tensors and confidence regions (c). In (d) only the 19 specimens for which AARM was performed are included in the AMS mean tensors, for comparison. (From Borradaile and Gauthier, 2002)
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|  | $\max$ | int | min |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AMS | $\bullet$ | $\Delta$ | $\bullet$ |
| AARM | $\square$ | $\Delta$ | 0 |

Figure 6-3. AMS and AARM results for specimens collected at the Konia site. Mean AMS tensors and confidence ellipses are plotted over density contoured stereonets of maximum (a) and minimum (b) AMS axes, and AARM mean tensors and confidence regions (c). In (d) only the 21 specimens for which AARM was performed are included in the AMS mean tensors, for comparison. (From Borradaile and Gauthier, 2002)


Figure 6-4. (a) Plan view sketch idealizong macroscopic or megascopic cataclastic shear on E-W fractures. The internal penetrative flow fabric, defined magnetically, retains its orientation, despite cataclasis (from Borradaile and Gauthier, 2002). (b) Example of a theoretical AMS fabric resulting from the process described in (a).


Figure 6-5. Curie temperatures on heating typical dike-samples in this study. Inflection points near $\sim 250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ may be due to $\mathrm{TM}_{60}$, but the most prominent inflection points $\sim 300-350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ suggest more oxidised versions such as titanomaghemite. Traces of magnetite are indicated by Curie points $\sim 560-580^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (Inset diagram after Dunlop and Ozdemir, 1997)(From Borradaile and Gauthier, 2002)
a)

b)

ARM/ARM


Figure 6-6. (a) Alternating field (AF) demagnetization of isothermal remanence (IRM) acquired at 1 Tesla. Inset of spectrum of coercivity of remanence ( Bcr ) and, for comparison only, domain -state ranges commonly reported for magnetite ( $\mathrm{MD}=$-multi-domain, PSD -pseudo-single domain, $\mathrm{SD}=$ single-domain). (b) Intensity of anhysteretic remanence (ARM) acquired with successively higher upper window-frame for the AF over which the DC bias field was applied. In all cases, the peak AF was 180 mT and the DC bias field was 0.1 mT , as in the experiments where anisotropy of ARM was measured. (From Borradaile and Gauthier, 2002)

## 7. Interpretation and discussion

This chapter attempts to use the magnetic fabrics described in Chapter 5 to infer the magma-flow patterns in the sheeted dikes of the study-area. In turn, these are used to infer the location and dimensions of mid-ocean ridge magma-chambers. A brief review of the popular models of mid-ocean ridge magma-chambers prefaces the discussion. The implications of these interpretations on the spreading structure of the Troodos oceanic crust are discussed.

### 7.1.Magma-chambers and magnetic fabrics

The terms magma-chamber, magma resevoir, and melt-lens must be qualified when they are used, as they describe different features of the crust depending on the model being discussed. In this study, the magma-chamber and magma reservoir will be used to refer to the small melt lens that is thought to develop at the top of the crystal mush zone from which sheeted dikes are injected.

### 7.1.1. Review of magma-chamber models

Geophysical studies of the East Pacific Rise (EPR) fast-spreading mid-ocean ridge (e.g. Detrick et al., 1987) have confirmed the presence of a 1 km wide, $\sim 100 \mathrm{~m}$ thick magma-chamber that may be continuous along the ridge. The presence of the large, steady state or permanent magma-chambers of Cann (1974) have never been observed. Along slow-spreading ridges no geophysical evidence for large or small magmachambers was observed until relatively recently; Calvert (1995) and Sinha et al. (1998) reported a 4 km wide, 100 m thick magma pocket extending approximately for 30 km along the slow-spreading Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR) at one location.

Sinton and Detrick (1992) reviewed the expected features of mid-ocean ridge magma-chambers in popular models, which have been subsequently confirmed by geophysical evidence. Fast-spreading ridges are expected to have a three-part chamber. A large tent shaped (wide at the base) crystalline transition zone between partially molten material to hot surrounding rock tapers upwards to a volume of partially solidified crystal mush above and within the transition zone, capped by a small sill shaped melt lens. Magma resevoirs along slow-spreading ridges are believed to be ephemeral. Where an eruptable magma supply exists along a slow-spreading ridge, it should differ somewhat from the fast-spreading reservoir. The crystal mush zone may be more dike-like, surrounded by a transition zone of mostly crystalline material. The uppermost part of the crystal mush 'dike' may pool molten material which both passively supplies the ridge and crystallizes into sill-like bodies that form the lower crust. Seismic velocity data and theoretical models predict, for both fast and slow-spreading ridges, that the crystal mush zone is $\sim 25 \%$ crystalline, while the transition zone should contain up to $80 \%$ crystals (Nicolas et al., 1993).

The existence of magma reservoirs at mid-ocean ridges as described above reconciles the current geophysical and petrological data obtained from both in situ crust and ophiolite analogues. In terms of the current study, the specific features of the transition and crystal mush zones are of little significance. It is the existence and extent of the upper magma-lens that is of interest.

At fast-spreading ridges with abundant magma supply, most areas along the ridge axis would be expected to be underlain by an axial magma reservoir or chamber. This implies that magma flow in the sheeted dikes should be preferentially vertical, as all
dikes are fed from directly below. Along slow-spreading ridges, however, there are intermittently spaced magma-rich and magma-starved regions. Magma-rich regions are fed by a magma-chamber, allowing for magmatic extension and crustal growth, while magma-starved regions lack an axial magma-chamber and spread via tectonic or mechanical extension. Sheeted dikes forming directly above a slow-spread axial magmachamber may also show preferentially vertical flow. Areas further from the chamber are more likely to be intruded laterally, with magmatic flow shallowing with distance from the source. Following the cessation of magmatic extension and a switch in a particular area from magma-rich to magma-starved, tectonic extension takes over and the crust formed by dike intrusion may be faulted and stretched to accommodate plate separation (Figure 7-1).

### 7.1.2. Magnetic fabrics

As described in Chapter 5, the majority of the magnetic fabrics throughout this study area may be used as magma-flow indicators. By reducing the data into sub-areas of steep $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ and shallow $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ with similar dike orientations, regions of steep magma flow and shallow magma flow were delineated (Figure 5-4). Four of the shallow- $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ subareas were found to have an insufficient number of specimens to interpret magnetic fabrics in terms of magma-flow. In most of these cases the magnetic fabrics were similar in orientation to adjacent sub-areas. Two sub-areas originally identified as 'shallow' $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ sub-areas (I-2 and III-3) may be better suited to designation as steep-flow sub-areas.

Based on a detailed study of magnetic fabrics and other properties of samples from two outcrops (Chapter 6), it was suggested that the magnetic fabrics presented in Chapter 5 may be influenced by two distinct populations of ferromagnetic minerals. To
test this hypothesis for the entire study area, the mixing model of Henry (1983) is presented in Figure 7-2. By plotting $k_{i}$ (where $i=\max$, int, min) against $k_{\text {mean }}$ for each specimen, a linear relationship of principal axes may occur, and the mutual intersection of these trend lines may represent a theoretical, isotropic, paramagnetic matrix, provided that Henry's assumptions about the magnetic mineralogy of the specimens are met. These assumptions are that there is one group of paramagnetic matrix minerals and one group of ferromagnetic minerals, and that their respective orientation distributions are coaxial. The intersection of the principal lines for the entire data set at $\mathrm{k}_{\text {mean }}=6482 \mu \mathrm{SI}$ is greater than the theoretical maximum susceptibility for a pure paramagnetic silicate (Borradaile and Henry, 1997), indicating the presence of ferromagnetic inclusions in the matrix paramagnetics. The same test for samples with $\mathrm{k}_{\text {mean }}<20000 \mu \mathrm{SI}$ and $<2000$ $\mu \mathrm{SI}$ (insets of Figure 7-2) show intersections at $\mathrm{k}_{\text {mean }}=486 \mu \mathrm{SI}$ and $210 \mu \mathrm{SI}$ respectively, proving that the ferromagnetic inclusions in paramagnetic matrix minerals may dominate in the higher susceptibility samples. The assumption of a single ferromagnetic population may not apply here, thereby confirming the conclusion of Chapter 6 that suggested that multiple oxide sub-fabrics may be present. One of these fabrics is co-axial with the flow-aligned paramagnetic fabric, while the other may be of such low alignment as not to disrupt the primary fabric.

It was also shown in Chapter 6 that in many cases the mean dike plane and magnetic fabrics may become misaligned by shear along the STTFZ, implying that trend variation in $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ (flow) axes may be less important than plunge variation. In light of this, Figure 73 only maps spatially averaged $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ inclinations. Inclination data was smoothed using similar parameters to previously described spatial-averaging of dike and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ orientations,
however in this case the smoothed inclinations are colour contoured. Regions of steep and shallow flow axes are easily identified by inspection of the map.

### 7.2.Size, location, and scale of Troodos magma-chambers

In terms of the original aim of this study, regions of steep $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$, and therefore steep magma-flow, are thought to have been underlain by an axial magma-chamber. Conversely, regions of shallow flow may have been further from the magma supply. In the models for slow-spreading ridges described above, the existence of active magmachambers, and therefore regions of steep and shallow flow must vary along the spreading-axis. The temporal stability of these features, however, must be evaluated perpendicular to the axis. In order to evaluate the along-axis and axis-perpendicular variation in the results of this study, the position of lines of equal time (as in Figure 6-1) must be determined for the study area. These lines do not necessarily represent the position of a paleo-spreading centre, but do tie together portions of the crust that resided along a spreading-axis at the same time. The trend of this 'ridge-chron' can be defined by the average orientation of its extensional structures, the most obvious of which are the dikes of the sheeted complex (Nicolas, 1989) (Figure 7-4). The spatially averaged dike orientations shown in Figure 7-3 may therefore be used to approximate the orientation of the ridge-chrons for the current study area. Also, the axis of the Mitsero Graben, which may represent a paleo-spreading axis (Van Everdingen and Cawood, 1995), supports the trend of the ridge-chron as defined by dike orientation (Figure 7-3).

### 7.2.1. Along-axis length

Evaluation of the magnetic fabrics presented in Chapter 5 in terms of the requirements for magmatic origin have shown that each of the steep $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ (flow) sub-areas
may be used to approximately indicate magma flow. As such, these areas may have been underlain by, or were close to, an axial magma-chamber when they were intruded. In each of these sub-areas, the ridge-chron may be defined by the average dike-orientation within the sub-area. The along-axis extent of the regions of steep flow, and therefore of the magma-chambers themselves, must be evaluated in this direction (Figure 7-5). To accomplish this, seven representative traverses along dike-orientation defined ridgechrons were selected, including one traverse along the Mitsero Graben axis. From west to east, the traverses are labeled W1, W2, W3, Mitsero, E3, E2, E1. Six of the seven terminate in the south at northern boundary of the Arakapas dike domain (Figure 3-4). This southern termination is considered the starting point of each south to north traverse and is assigned a position of 0 m in the distance scale on a plot of $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ plunge versus distance (Figure 7-6a).

One important observation based on Figure 7-6a is that the region of very steep flow (ie. $>80^{\circ}$ inclination) along each line is narrow, indicating a point source of magma supply to the ridge. On a plot of flow-inclination versus distance, such as Figure 7-6a, a traverse along a line that had a magma source with some along-axis extent would yield constant steep inclinations above the source, as very-steep flow would be expected to exist along the length of the source. Each of curves plotted for this study area have narrow peaks with very-steep flow, but these regions do not continue along the traverse (ridge). Regions of generally shallow flow tend to be flat on Figure 7-6a, meaning that the space between magma-chambers must be larger than the chambers themselves.

The along-axis spacing of the magma sources identified by very-steep flow in Figure 7-6a was investigated using Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) analysis of the
waveforms of each traverse. The power of each peak (normalized to the power of the first peak) is plotted against the wavelength (1/frequency) of the peak (Figure 7-6b). The highest power (most significant) peaks of each traverse (relative power $=1$ ) occur at a wavelength of between 2000 m and $>8000 \mathrm{~m}$ (Figure $7-6 \mathrm{bi}$ ), with a mean wavelength of $\sim 4000 \mathrm{~m}$. The majority of the lesser-power peaks have wavelengths of less than 4000 m (Figure 7-6bii), with a mean of $\sim 1200 \mathrm{~m}$. Clearly, there is a bimodal distribution in the peaks (Figure 7-6b): a high-power group representing the spacing of areas of very steep $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ seen in Figure 7-6a, and a set of lesser-power peaks corresponding to the small peaks in the distance vs. inclination curves (Figure 7-6a). The short wavelength of $\sim 4000 \mathrm{~m}$ (high frequency) of steep $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$, and therefore magma sources, means that the diameter of the sources themselves must be less than $2000 \mathrm{~m}(<1 / 2 \times 4000 \mathrm{~m})$.

### 7.2.2. Temporal stability of magma-chambers

The scale of the magma sources described in Section 7.2.1 perpendicular to the spreading axis will allow for an estimate to be made on their temporal stability. Simply put, the wider the region of steep flow, the longer the magma source was in operation. Similar to the analysis described above for axis-parallel traverses, spatially averaged $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ inclinations were collected along five traverses perpendicular to dike strike, therefore representing temporal variations in magma supply at a given point along the ridge. These traverses are plotted on a distance versus inclination plot (Figure 7-7a). The traverses are numbered N1 to N5, where N1 is the northernmost and N5 the southernmost. Each traverse begins at the far west side of the study area, and as such this is considered the starting point of each and assigned a position of 0 m on the distance scale. Inspection of the graph shows that while the peaks in flow inclination are not as narrow as those on the
along-axis plot (but are nonetheless not plateaus), the change from shallow to steep flow tends to take place over a short distance (steep curves on Fig. 7-7a)).

FFT analysis was performed on these waveforms as well, with the relative powers of peaks (steep flow) compared with their wavelength (1/frequency) (Figure 7-7b). There exists a large range in the wavelength of the maximum-power peaks, with values from $\sim 2000 \mathrm{~m}$ to $>12000 \mathrm{~m}$, and a mean at $\sim 6000 \mathrm{~m}$ (Figure $7-7 \mathrm{bi}$ ). The lesser-power peaks are consistently around a wavelength $<2000 \mathrm{~m}$ (Figure $7-7 \mathrm{bii}$ ). The spacing, or wavelength, of these peaks is a measure of the across-axis distance between regions of steep-flow, which in turn is directly related to the spacing in time between active magmatism at a given location along the ridge.

Before making any firm interpretations based on the magma-source spacing described above, it is necessary to discuss the role of the Mitsero Graben as a spreading-centre. As the graben axis passes through the present study area, it is important to understand if the graben axis does represent a paleo-spreading axis. Also, it is important to recognize the areas of crust within the study area that were formed at the Solea or Mitsero axes. Without that knowledge it will be impossible to make interpretations with confidence based on spreading-rate or ridge processes, as crust formed along different ridge systems may not be directly comparable.

### 7.3.Mitsero Graben axis as a spreading-ridge

As described in Chapter 2, there has been some debate in the literature regarding the role of the Mitsero Graben in the spreading structure and evolution of the Troodos ophiolite. For example, some models claimed that the graben was formed 'off-axis', possibly related to tectonic (amagmatic) crustal growth along the Solea spreading axis
(Allerton and Vine, 1991). A more recent model regards the Mitsero Graben and axis to represent a fossil spreading centre (Van Everdingen and Cawood, 1995; Figure 2-6).

Interestingly, the Mitsero Graben axis cuts across the steep-flow sub-areas C and D (Chapter 5). In fact, inspection of Figure 7-5 shows that the region of steep flow associated with these subareas is almost perfectly symmetrical about the axis, while Figure 7-6a shows that magma-flow reaches $>85^{\circ}$ inclination at the axis' south end. This data alone is sufficient to consider the Mitsero graben axis to represent a fossil spreading centre and support the model of Van Everdingen and Cawood (1995). In that model, all of the dikes that are part of the current study area are thought to have formed at the Mitsero ridge. Therefore, the current study area must encompass a complete mid-ocean ridge system: the spreading axis itself, and portions of crust formed on both sides of it.

Dilek et al. (1998) compare the constructional features of several ophiolite complexes with modern oceanic crust, and conclude that Troodos matches best the crust along the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR). The MAR is slow-spreading at a full spreading-rate of between 2.5 and $4.6 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{a}$ (Moores and Twiss, 1995). This probably gives the best estimate of the spreading rate of the Troodos crust formed along the Mitsero spreading axis, provided of course that it was, in fact, a slow-spreading ridge. The evidence presented by Dilek et al. (1998) is compelling, and as the data presented here does not exclude the slow-spread origin for Troodos, a full spreading rate of $2.5-5 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{a}$ will be assumed for the Mitsero crust.

### 7.4.Troodos as slow-spreading crust

### 7.4.1. Along-axis processes

The point-source magma-chamber interpretation described above contradicts popular models for both fast and slow-spreading oceanic crust, which call for a magma source that is continuous along the ridge on at least a scale of a few kilometers (Section 7.1). Certainly, the data does exclude the fast-spreading models entirely, as there are regions of lateral magma flow in the SDC of Troodos.

Based on the along-axis size and spacing of magma sources described above, one of two-conclusions may be drawn:

1) While magmatism along slow-spreading ridges is thought to be highly focused (Dilek et al., 1998), the point source magma-chambers that fed the Troodos SDC seem to contradict to the popular magma chamber models and geophysical evidence (Sihna et al., 1998), which require magma-chambers with some along-axis extent.
or,
2) The results presented here may only apply to the plumbing system and magma-delivery systems from magma-chamber to mid-ocean ridge, which may be more complicated than previously thought. The popular models and geophysical evidence for magma-chamber dimensions may not be contradicted by this data, however these results would be inconsistent with a direct delivery from the chambers to the dikes.

Analysis of the along-axis spacing of these small magma sources indicates that their mean separation may be approximately 4 km . Again, it is possible that geophysical and other ophiolite evidence for a much longer magma-chamber can be reconciled with
these results. These results only require that the SDC be directly fed by point source chambers spread every 4 km along the ridge, while no comment can be made regarding the magma source or delivery to the point-source chambers.

### 7.4.2. Time-dependant processes

As with the along axis extent of magma sources, the axis-perpendicular traverse data suggests two main ideas: that the magma sources do not persist for any great length of time (ie. point-source in time as well as space); and that the change from magma rich to magma starved at a given point on the ridge takes place over a short time.

Across-axis distance between regions of steep flow may be directly correlated with time via a given spreading rate, following Table 7-1.

| Table 7-1. Seafloor spreading rates and corresponding time-distance factors |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| distance/time (spreading rate) <br> (cm/year) | distance/million years <br> (km/Ma) | time/distance <br> (years/km) |
|  | 10 | 100,000 |
|  | 20 | 50,000 |
| 3 | 30 | 33,300 |
| 4 | 40 | 25,000 |
| 5 | 50 | 20,000 |
| 10 | 100 | 10,000 |
| 15 | 150 | 7,500 |
| 20 | 200 | 5,000 |

It is important, however, to realize that the crust on each side of the ridge is moving away from that ridge at half of the full spreading rate. In this study, across-axis spacing of features which only occur on one side of the Mitsero axis must be related to time by a half-spreading rate, while those that traverse the ridge must be evaluated in terms of full spreading rate. For example, the mean maximum power peak spacing (Figure 7-7bi) of around 6000 m , as well as the individual 8000 m and 12000 m spaced peaks, clearly extend across the Mitsero axis and represent double-sided features. Regions of steep magma
flow, and therefore magma-chambers, spaced 6 km across axis would represent a time interval of between 120,000 and 240,000 years, at a full-spreading rate of between 2.5 and $5 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{a}$. Lesser-power peaks, which have a mean spacing of $<2000 \mathrm{~m}$ (Figure 7-7bii) and appear to be one sided relative to the Mitsero axis, may be 100,000 to 200,000 years of sea-floor spreading apart.


Figure 7-1. Schematic representation of axial processes at slow-spreading ridges with time. Relative time scale of $t=0$ (present), $-1,-2$ etc. Shaded areas show the location of magma chambers, vertical planes are dikes with arrows showing magma flow direction, coloured insets represent magma generations vs. tectonic and magmatic extension.


Figure 7-2. Plot of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ vs. $\mathrm{k}_{\text {mam }}(\mathrm{i}=\max$, int, min) as per the mixing model of Henry (1983) for all specimens, and those with kmean $<20000 \mu \mathrm{SI}$ and $<2000 \mu \mathrm{SI}$ (insets). The simultaneous intersections of the linear functions for maximum, intermediate, and minimum axes are indicated. See text for discussion.


Figure 7-3. Spatially averaged and colour contoured $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ inclinations, with spatially averaged dike orientations (strike/dip symbols) and trace of Mitsero Graben axis (thick dashed line).


Figure 7-4. a) plan-view schematic of a mid-ocean ridge and one side of its associated crust, showing the orientation of the 'ridge referential' of Nicolas (1989), here referred to as the 'ridge-chron'. b) The concept of (a) modified for the eastern portion of the Troodos ophiolite, showing the effect of shear along the Southern Troodos Transform Fault Zone (STTFZ).


Figure 7-6. a) $k_{\text {max }}$ inclination vs. distance plot for several representative along axis traverses through the study area. b) Results of Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) analysis of magma chamber spacing, with statistics of maximum power (i) and lesser power (ii) peaks. For clarity, only the maximum-power peaks are labelled.


Figure 7-6. a) $k_{\text {max }}$ inclination vs. distance plot for several representative along axis traverses through the study area. b) Results of Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) analysis of magma chamber spacing, with statistics of maximum power (i) and lesser power (ii) peaks.
For clarity, only the maximum-power peaks are labelled.


Figure 7-7. a) $k_{\text {max }}$ inclination vs. distance plot for several representative across axis traverses through the study area. b) Results of Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) analysis of magma chamber spacing, with statistics of maximum power (i) and lesser power (ii) peaks. For clarity only the maximum power peaks are labelled.

## 8. Conclusions

The intent of this study was to determine the pattern of spatial variation in magma flow directions in the Sheeted Dike Complex (SDC), Troodos ophiolite, Cyprus. This pattern, as defined by AMS fabrics, made it possible to infer the location, size, stability, and frequency of the mid-ocean ridge magma chambers feeding the Troodos crust. Using Troodos as an analogue for modern ocean crust allowed the application of these ideas to mid-ocean ridges in general.

In Chapter 2 it was established that rocks of the Troodos ophiolite represent a relatively undeformed and uninterrupted sample of oceanic crust that was formed $\sim 90 \mathrm{Ma}$ within the Paleo-Tethys Sea. The simple tectonic history and well preserved nature of the Troodos ophiolite make it an ideal location to study mid-ocean ridge processes and the details of the creation of new oceanic crust. The sheeted dike complex is the most and best exposed of the Troodos units, and is the focus of this study (Chapter 3). The 1289 standard-size cores used in this study were recovered from 356 locations spread over a $400 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ area east of Mt. Olympus. The orientation of 1169 dikes were measured and recorded at these locations. The three principal susceptibility axes ( $\mathrm{k}_{\max }, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{int}}, \mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}$ ) were determined for each specimen, and it was shown that the orientation $\mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ may faithfully represent the orientation of the magmatic-flow axis of each specimen (Chapter 4).

AMS results were grouped into sub-areas, containing both homogenous dike orientations and magnetic fabric orientations. Six of these were deemed to have predominantly steeply inclined $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ axes, were shown to approximate the magma-flow
axis of the area. The remainder had predominantly shallowly inclined $\mathrm{k}_{\max }$ axes. Overall, the majority of the AMS fabrics determined in this study were shown to be reliable indicators of magma-flow (Chapter 5).

To aid in the interpretation of magnetic fabrics, two sites were selected, one at Panagea and the other at Konia, to be studied in detail. AMS and AARM were determined for specimens collected at these sites. In addition, several rock magnetism experiments were performed on these samples to determine the specific minerals, phases, and grain-sizes contributing to the AMS fabrics (Chapter 6). The results of the detailed study showed that both a flow-aligned paramagnetic and blended ferromagnetic component contribute the AMS. The ferromagnetic component was shown to consist of a flow-aligned primary fabric combined with a poorly oriented alteration fabric of secondary minerals, which together are unable to mask the flow-aligned paramagnetic fabric. It was also observed that shear along the Southern Troodos Transform Fault Zone (STTFZ) may cause the magnetic foliation plane and the dike plane to become misaligned. Despite this, the AMS axes and flow-axis retain their primary orientation (Chapter 6; Borradaile and Gauthier, 2002).

Analysis of the spacing of the regions of very steep magma flow was described in Chapter 7. The data was analyzed in traverses that were both parallel and perpendicular to the paleo-spreading axis. This allowed the following observations to be made:

1) There exists a variation on magma-flow directions in the SDC from steep in some areas to shallow in others. This means that was never a continuous and stable magma supply to the Troodos SDC , and as such it must have been formed along a slow-spreading ridge.
2) The magma supply to the Troodos ridge may have been point-source in that there appears to be a very limited along-axis extent to regions of very steep flow. These point-source magma chambers may also have been short-lived, as the regions of steep-flow are very narrow when observed in traverses perpendicular to the spreading axis.
3) Statistical analysis indicates that magma-chambers were spaced in $\sim 4 \mathrm{~km}$ intervals along the spreading axis. At a given location, the change from magma-rich to magma starved may have taken place rapidly, and 100,000 to 250,000 years may have elapsed before the onset of another magma-rich episode.

While these observations are incompatible with a fast-spread origin for Troodos, they do not necessarily contradict the popular models for slow-spreading processes. Geophysical and theoretical evidence suggests that magma-chambers along slowspreading ridges have some along-axis extent, at least on the scale of a few kilometers, and are spread intermittently along a mid-ocean ridge. The data presented here seems to contradict this idea, however the observations described above can only apply to the direct source of magma to the SDC. It is entirely possible that the point-source and short lived magma-chambers may simply deliver magma to the SDC from some lower reservoir that matches the size and scale predicted by the popular models. In that case, this study may have provided some insight on the plumbing system in operation at slowspreading ridges to deliver magma from chamber to dike.
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## Appendix A: Outcrop Data

| Outcrop | Easting | Northing | Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999-001 | 0510787 | 3856244 | n/a |
| 1999-002 | 0510841 | 3856340 | n/a |
| 1999-003 | 0511006 | 3856445 | n/a |
| 1999-004 | 0510917 | 3856583 | n/a |
| 1999-005 | 0510905 | 3856955 | n/a |
| 1999-006 | 0510863 | 3856974 | n/a |
| 1999-007 | 0510708 | 3857245 | n/a |
| 1999-008 | 0510623 | 3857341 | n/a |
| 1999-009 | 0510698 | 3857530 | n/a |
| 1999-010 | 0510623 | 3857614 | n/a |
| 1999-011 | 0510804 | 3857620 | n/a |
| 1999-012 | 0510814 | 3857839 | n/a |
| 1999-013 | 0510886 | 3857876 | n/a |
| 1999-014 | 0510872 | 3858011 | n/a |
| 1999-015 | 0510876 | 3858183 | n/a |
| 1999-016 | 0510772 | 3858246 | n/a |
| 1999-017 | 0510620 | 3859249 | n/a |
| 1999-018 | 0510858 | 3859141 | n/a |
| 1999-019 | 0511019 | 3858979 | n/a |
| 1999-020 | 0510777 | 3858766 | n/a |
| 1999-021 | 0510809 | 3858417 | n/a |
| 1999-022 | 0510187 | 3859634 | n/a |
| 1999-023 | 0510370 | 3859540 | n/a |
| 1999-024 | 0509857 | 3859641 | n/a |
| 1999-025 | 0509904 | 3859856 | n/a |
| 1999-026 | 0509871 | 3859991 | n/a |
| 1999-027 | 0509326 | 3860452 | n/a |
| 1999-028 | 0510066 | 3860706 | n/a |
| 1999-029 | 0509681 | 3860768 | n/a |
| 1999-030 | 0509613 | 3861129 | n/a |
| 1999-031 | 0509577 | 3861501 | n/a |
| 1999-032 | 0509518 | 3861939 | n/a |
| 1999-033 | 0509114 | 3862142 | n/a |
| 1999-034 | 0508958 | 3862232 | n/a |
| 1999-035 | 0508688 | 3862563 | n/a |


| Outcrop | Easting | Northing | Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999-036 | 0508726 | 3862936 | n/a |
| 1999-037 | 0508518 | 3862802 | n/a |
| 1999-038 | 0508189 | 3862656 | n/a |
| 1999-039 | 0507518 | 3862515 | n/a |
| 1999-040 | 0508231 | 3863131 | n/a |
| 1999-041 | 0508476 | 3863341 | n/a |
| 1999-042 | 0508830 | 3864775 | n/a |
| 1999-043 | 0510139 | 3858883 | n/a |
| 1999-044 | 0509805 | 3859494 | n/a |
| 1999-045 | 0509496 | 3859544 | n/a |
| 1999-046 | 0509310 | 3859376 | n/a |
| 1999-047 | 0509145 | 3859579 | n/a |
| 1999-048 | 0508779 | 3859346 | n/a |
| 1999-049 | 0508638 | 3858953 | $n / \mathbf{a}$ |
| 1999-050 | 0507908 | 3858957 | n/a |
| 1999-051 | 0506842 | 3858699 | n/a |
| 1999-052 | 0506412 | 3858477 | n/a |
| 1999-053 | 0505370 | 3858211 | n/a |
| 1999-054 | 0504993 | 3857968 | n/a |
| 1999-055 | 0504872 | 3857896 | n/a |
| 1999-056 | 0504779 | 3857486 | n/a |
| 1999-057 | 0504566 | 3857374 | n/a |
| 1999-058 | 0504334 | 3857594 | n/a |
| 1999-059 | 0504255 | 3857308 | n/a |
| 1999-060 | 0504209 | 3857046 | n/a |
| 1999-061 | 0504069 | 3856851 | n/a |
| 1999-062 | 0503812 | 3856538 | n/a |
| 1999-063 | 0504180 | 3856888 | n/a |
| 1999-064 | 0504690 | 3856636 | n/a |
| 2000-001 | 0509646 | 3861105 |  |
| 2000-002 | 0510006 | 3860933 |  |
| 2000-003 | 0510438 | 3861225 |  |
| 2000-004 | 0510839 | 3861273 |  |
| 2000-005 | 0511365 | 3861617 |  |
| 2000-006 | 0511914 | 3861433 |  |
| 2000-007 | 0512109 | 3862539 |  |
| 2000-008 | 0512129 | 3863831 |  |


| Outcrop | Easting | Northing | Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000-009 | 0511927 | 3863354 |  |
| 2000-010 | 0511887 | 3863322 |  |
| 2000-011 | 0512220 | 3864189 |  |
| 2000-012 | 0512128 | 3865007 |  |
| 2000-013 | 0511640 | 3865642 |  |
| 2000-014 | 0503255 | 3860303 |  |
| 2000-015 | 0503131 | 3860110 |  |
| 2000-016 | 0502816 | 3860037 |  |
| 2000-017 | 0502766 | 3859388 |  |
| 2000-018 | 0502746 | 3859155 |  |
| 2000-019 | 0502667 | 3858920 |  |
| 2000-020 | 0502640 | 3858500 |  |
| 2000-021 | 0502665 | 3857887 | 948 |
| 2000-022 | 0502176 | 3857510 | 877 |
| 2000-023 | 0501044 | 3857609 | n/a |
| 2000-024 | 0501029 | 3858278 | n/a |
| 2000-025 | 0500922 | 3858746 | n/a |
| 2000-026 | 0510627 | 3865524 | n/a |
| 2000-027 | 0510338 | 3865561 | n/a |
| 2000-028 | 0510100 | 3865425 | n/a |
| 2000-029 | 0509557 | 3865147 | n/a |
| 2000-030 | 0509221 | 3864905 | $n / \mathbf{a}$ |
| 2000-031 | 0504228 | 3866256 | n/a |
| 2000-032 | 0504497 | 3866378 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2000-033 | 0504918 | 3866312 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2000-034 | 0505198 | 3865913 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2000-035 | 0505495 | 3866274 | n/a |
| 2000-036 | 0506464 | 3866343 | n/a |
| 2000-037 | 0506716 | 3866096 | n/a |
| 2000-038 | 0507127 | 3865970 | n/a |
| 2000-039 | 0506776 | 3865599 | n/a |
| 2000-040 | 0500723 | 3861226 | n/a |
| 2000-041 | 0500104 | 3860903 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2000-042 | 0500265 | 3860388 | $n / \mathbf{a}$ |
| 2000-043 | 0499589 | 3859990 | n/a |
| 2000-044 | 0501140 | 3858489 | n/a |
| 2000-045 | 0501145 | 3858485 | n/a |


| Outcrop | Easting | Northing | Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000-046 | 0500290 | 3858203 | n/a |
| 2000-047 | 0499964 | 3858390 | n/a |
| 2000-048 | 0505219 | 3862988 | n/a |
| 2000-049 | 0505103 | 3863264 | n/a |
| 2000-050 | 0504783 | 3863323 | n/a |
| 2000-051 | 0504740 | 3863583 | n/a |
| 2000-052 | 0504425 | 3864041 | n/a |
| 2000-053 | 0504451 | 3864849 | n/a |
| 2000-054 | 0504557 | 3865181 | n/a |
| 2000-055 | 0504183 | 3864892 | n/a |
| 2000-056 | 0503647 | 3864991 | n/a |
| 2000-057 | 0508441 | 3871638 | n/a |
| 2000-058 | 0508264 | 3870912 | n/a |
| 2000-059 | 0508050 | 3870182 | n/a |
| 2000-060 | 0507607 | 3869764 | n/a |
| 2000-061 | 0507105 | 3869393 | n/a |
| 2000-062 | 0506857 | 3868960 | n/a |
| 2000-063 | 0508004 | 3873905 | 470 |
| 2000-064 | 0508670 | 3872070 | 511 |
| 2000-065 | 0506442 | 3868098 | 720 |
| 2000-066 | 0506315 | 3867680 | 803 |
| 2000-067 | 0508048 | 3864865 | 960 |
| 2000-068 | 0508105 | 3864236 | 970 |
| 2000-069 | 0507850 | 3863568 | 927 |
| 2000-070 | 0506125 | 3863746 | 1074 |
| 2000-071 | 0505458 | 3863345 | 1123 |
| 2000-072 | 0504741 | 3862616 | 1225 |
| 2000-073 | 0503929 | 3863411 | 1182 |
| 2000-074 | 0503114 | 3863089 | 1135 |
| 2000-075 | 0507947 | 3866227 | 851 |
| 2000-076 | 0507598 | 3866041 | 816 |
| 2000-077 | 0507406 | 3866580 | 800 |
| 2000-078 | 0507373 | 3867130 | 857 |
| 2000-079 | 0504328 | 3862091 | 1204 |
| 2000-080 | 0503663 | 3861885 | 1182 |
| 2000-081 | 0503274 | 3863683 | 1207 |
| 2000-082 | 0503048 | 3864202 | 1260 |


| Outcrop | Easting | Northing | Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000-083 | 0503572 | 3864014 | 1315 |
| 2000-084 | 0504343 | 3864090 | 1391 |
| 2000-085 | 0514461 | 3866948 | 1020 |
| 2000-086 | 0515144 | 3866214 | 1030 |
| 2000-087 | 0515472 | 3865558 | 1030 |
| 2000-088 | 0514808 | 3865293 | 1080 |
| 2000-089 | 0514680 | 3864326 | 1050 |
| 2000-090 | 0514816 | 3863700 | 1070 |
| 2000-091 | 0514241 | 3863469 | 1065 |
| 2000-092 | 0513879 | 3863934 | 1060 |
| 2000-093 | 0513360 | 3864411 | 1050 |
| 2000-094 | 0517577 | 3866075 | 985 |
| 2000-095 | 0517994 | 3865098 | 1112 |
| 2000-096 | 0517992 | 3863293 | 1231 |
| 2000-097 | 0517683 | 3863203 | 1206 |
| 2000-098 | 0517843 | 3862130 | 1120 |
| 2000-099 | 0518331 | 3861207 | 1040 |
| 2000-100 | 0518340 | 3860400 | 999 |
| 2000-101 | 0509820 | 3859506 | 745 |
| 2000-102 | 0515707 | 3864390 | 949 |
| 2000-103 | 0515520 | 3862905 | 1015 |
| 2000-104 | 0515744 | 3863227 | 1071 |
| 2000-105 | 0515511 | 3862650 | 1105 |
| 2000-106 | 0515393 | 3862308 | 1146 |
| 2000-107 | 0514786 | 3861945 | 1153 |
| 2000-108 | 0514083 | 3862058 | 1114 |
| 2000-109 | 0514601 | 3868548 | 779 |
| 2000-110 | 0515296 | 3868509 | 860 |
| 2000-111 | 0515824 | 3868808 | 854 |
| 2000-112 | 0516103 | 3869477 | 780 |
| 2000-113 | 0519487 | 3868186 | 695 |
| 2000-114 | 0519115 | 3867459 | 735 |
| 2000-115 | 0518675 | 3866145 | 813 |
| 2000-116 | 0517550 | 3865725 | 922 |
| 2000-117 | 0517571 | 3866341 | 915 |
| 2000-118 | 0516989 | 3865404 | 840 |
| 2000-119 | 0516328 | 3866550 | 855 |


| Outcrop | Easting | Northing | Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000-120 | 0515018 | 3867086 | 890 |
| 2000-121 | 0515559 | 3867144 | 980 |
| 2000-122 | 0515085 | 3867382 | 900 |
| 2000-123 | 0514002 | 3868297 | 700 |
| 2000-124 | 0513713 | 3868249 | 690 |
| 2000-125 | 0513320 | 3867204 | 693 |
| 2000-126 | 0513530 | 3867429 | 690 |
| 2000-127 | 0513199 | 3866800 | 705 |
| 2000-128 | 0512938 | 3865957 | 740 |
| 2000-129 | 0512760 | 3865201 | 784 |
| 2000-130 | 0512546 | 3864960 | 810 |
| 2000-131 | 0512392 | 3864619 | 842 |
| 2000-132 | 0512351 | 3864180 | 875 |
| 2000-133 | 0515170 | 3859419 | 700 |
| 2000-134 | 0521720 | 3864152 | 667 |
| 2000-135 | 0522084 | 3863733 | 675 |
| 2000-136 | 0522390 | 3863295 | 708 |
| 2000-137 | 0522192 | 3862838 | 680 |
| 2000-138 | 0521745 | 3862266 | 744 |
| 2000-139 | 0521670 | 3861395 | 830 |
| 2000-140 | 0520634 | 3860620 | 850 |
| 2000-141 | 0519700 | 3860844 | 804 |
| 2000-142 | 0519753 | 3860255 | 820 |
| 2000-143 | 0519036 | 3859921 | 901 |
| 2000-144 | 0518250 | 3860055 | 812 |
| 2000-145 | 0518083 | 3863345 | 1185 |
| 2000-146 | 0518420 | 3862544 | 1153 |
| 2000-147 | 0519058 | 3862490 | 1199 |
| 2000-148 | 0520551 | 3862155 | 1069 |
| 2000-149 | 0520207 | 3861714 | 1001 |
| 2000-150 | 0521025 | 3861650 | 915 |
| 2000-151 | 0521841 | 3860902 | 82.5 |
| 2000-152 | 0522530 | 3860750 | 855 |
| 2000-153 | 0523060 | 3859957 | 796 |
| 2000-154 | 0517650 | 3859278 | 689 |
| 2000-155 | 0517832 | 3859102 | 667 |
| 2000-156 | 0517838 | 3858860 | 630 |
|  | . |  |  |
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| Outcrop | Easting | Northing | Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000-157 | 0517676 | 3858714 | 573 |
| 2000-158 | 0517504 | 3857760 | 505 |
| 2000-159 | 0516981 | 3858085 | 553 |
| 2000-160 | 0516420 | 3858430 | 530 |
| 2000-161 | 0515355 | 3858014 | 530 |
| 2000-162 | 0515201 | 3858755 | 575 |
| 2000-163 | 0515181 | 3859385 | 608 |
| 2000-164 | 0514713 | 3859286 | 626 |
| 2000-165 | 0514573 | 3859680 | 665 |
| 2000-166 | 0514492 | 3859746 | 715 |
| 2000-167 | 0514077 | 3859994 | 800 |
| 2000-168 | 0512549 | 3862801 | 1027 |
| 2000-169 | 0512553 | 3862656 | 1030 |
| 2000-170 | 0512654 | 3862490 | 1031 |
| 2000-171 | 0512634 | 3862225 | 1035 |
| 2000-172 | 0512313 | 3862127 | 1067 |
| 2000-173 | 0512266 | 3861799 | 1095 |
| 2000-174 | 0512365 | 3861465 | 1117 |
| 2000-175 | 0512640 | 3861348 | 1139 |
| 2000-176 | 0512759 | 3861017 | 1150 |
| 2000-177 | 0513146 | 3861008 | 1116 |
| 2000-178 | 0513342 | 3860936 | 1096 |
| 2000-179 | 0512428 | 3861949 | 974 |
| 2000-180 | 0511981 | 3861893 | 978 |
| 2000-181 | 0511830 | 3861400 | 990 |
| 2000-182 | 0511287 | 3861447 | 1010 |
| 2000-183 | 0510660 | 3861122 | 1035 |
| 2000-184 | 0510620 | 3860882 | 1093 |
| 2000-185 | 0511109 | 3860992 | 1127 |
| 2000-186 | 0510162 | 3861027 | 1054 |
| 2000-187 | 0509906 | 3860997 | 1022 |
| 2000-188 | 0510229 | 3863360 | 842 |
| 2000-189 | 0510450 | 3863750 | 815 |
| 2000-190 | 0510439 | 3864189 | 744 |
| 2000-191 | 0510505 | 3864615 | 718 |
| 2000-192 | 0510605 | 3865093 | 682 |
| 2000-193 | 0510337 | 3865244 | 707 |


| Outcrop | Easting | Northing | Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000-194 | 0510048 | 3865132 | 730 |
| 2000-195 | 0509667 | 3864830 | 770 |
| 2000-196 | 0509240 | 3864684 | 806 |
| 2000-197 | 0509150 | 3864336 | 830 |
| 2000-198 | 0508971 | 3863954 | 850 |
| 2000-199 | 0508707 | 3863359 | 872 |
| 2000-200 | 0506636 | 3861031 | 1318 |
| 2000-201 | 0506943 | 3861204 | 1295 |
| 2000-202 | 0506714 | 3861162 | 1272 |
| 2000-203 | 0506639 | 3861599 | 1219 |
| 2000-204 | 0506996 | 3861922 | 1165 |
| 2000-205 | 0507138 | 3862245 | 1123 |
| 2000-206 | 0507199 | 3862626 | 1093 |
| 2000-207 | 0506799 | 3862376 | 1105 |
| 2000-208 | 0507118 | 3862975 | 1092 |
| 2000-209 | 0507352 | 3862943 | 1053 |
| 2000-210 | 0507537 | 3863019 | 1025 |
| 2000-211 | 0507816 | 3863299 | 1003 |
| 2000-212 | 0508089 | 3863411 | 959 |
| 2000-213 | 0509145 | 3861977 | 1028 |
| 2000-214 | 0509072 | 3861589 | 976 |
| 2000-215 | 0508922 | 3861847 | 934 |
| 2000-216 | 0508837 | 3861134 | 888 |
| 2000-217 | 0508860 | 3861222 | 870 |
| 2000-218 | 0508683 | 3861071 | 840 |
| 2000-219 | 0508653 | 3861000 | 811 |
| 2000-220 | 0508760 | 3860620 | 797 |
| 2000-221 | 0508714 | 3860676 | 776 |
| 2000-222 | 0508647 | 3860610 | 736 |
| 2000-223 | 0509282 | 3862219 | 1018 |
| 2000-224 | 0509417 | 3862189 | 972 |
| 2000-225 | 0509791 | 3862181 | 958 |
| 2000-226 | 0510046 | 3862207 | 975 |
| 2000-227 | 0510197 | 3862226 | 983 |
| 2000-228 | 0513358 | 3861205 | 1030 |
| 2000-229 | 0513492 | 3861420 | 1006 |
| 2000-230 | 0513659 | 3861158 | 970 |


| Outcrop | Easting | Northing | Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000-231 | 0513551 | 3860885 | 942 |
| 2000-232 | 0513485 | 3860516 | 897 |
| 2000-233 | 0513944 | 3860709 | 843 |
| 2000-234 | 0514311 | 3861584 | 954 |
| 2000-235 | 0514231 | 3861247 | 941 |
| 2000-236 | 0513933 | 3860853 | 892 |
| 2000-237 | 0512703 | 3860623 | 1005 |
| 2000-238 | 0512842 | 3860902 | 1055 |
| 2000-239 | 0512314 | 3859966 | 1016 |
| 2000-240 | 0512481 | 3860241 | 1047 |
| 2000-241 | 0512518 | 3860786 | 1099 |
| 2000-242 | 0512630 | 3862904 | 995 |
| 2000-243 | 0512792 | 3863040 | 1030 |
| 2000-244 | 0511794 | 3863094 | 808 |
| 2000-245 | 0511586 | 3862996 | 790 |
| 2000-246 | 0511356 | 3862681 | 818 |
| 2000-247 | 0511266 | 3862497 | 831 |
| 2000-248 | 0512202 | 3864824 | 783 |
| 2000-249 | 0512078 | 3864520 | 786 |
| 2000-250 | 0511995 | 3864165 | 764 |
| 2000-251 | 0511857 | 3863745 | 782 |
| 2000-252 | 0511756 | 3863344 | 797 |
| 2000-253 | 0511473 | 3863946 | 838 |
| 2000-254 | 0511470 | 3863224 | 884 |
| 2000-255 | 0511374 | 3863472 | 926 |
| 2000-256 | 0511336 | 3863792 | 965 |
| 2000-257 | 0511651 | 3864537 | 820 |
| 2000-258 | 0511833 | 3864628 | 788 |
| 2000-259 | 0511692 | 3864420 | 807 |
| 2000-260 | 0511575 | 3864174 | 819 |
| 2000-261 | 0511761 | 3863879 | 806 |
| 2000-262 | 0511693 | 3863504 | 805 |
| 2000-263 | 0511653 | 3863208 | 798 |
| 2000-264 | 0512891 | 3863660 | 1012 |
| 2000-265 | 0511377 | 3866725 | 667 |
| 2000-266 | 0511513 | 3867501 | 649 |
| 2000-267 | 0511423 | 3867813 | 639 |


| Outcrop | Easting | Northing | Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000-268 | 0511662 | 3863773 | 624 |
| 2000-269 | 0511831 | 3863773 | 624 |
| 2000-270 | 0511850 | 3871864 | 555 |
| 2000-271 | 0511910 | 3870818 | 590 |
| 2000-272 | 0509613 | 3873348 | 652 |
| 2000-273 | 0509544 | 3873734 | 648 |
| 2000-274 | 0509169 | 3873915 | 612 |
| 2000-275 | 0508950 | 3873914 | 600 |
| 2000-276 | 0508738 | 3873891 | 600 |
| 2000-277 | 0508341 | 3873940 | 556 |
| 2000-278 | 0508224 | 3874069 | 538 |
| 2000-279 | 0507786 | 3874453 | 525 |
| 2000-280 | 0507199 | 3874206 | 525 |
| 2000-281 | 0506879 | 3873484 | 557 |
| 2000-282 | 0506529 | 3872675 | 597 |
| 2000-283 | 0506414 | 3872088 | 611 |
| 2000-284 | 0505815 | 3871438 | 630 |
| 2000-285 | 0505340 | 3870664 | 680 |
| 2000-286 | 0505117 | 3869948 | 714 |
| 2000-287 | 0507816 | 3864931 | 1018 |
| 2000-288 | 0507559 | 3865112 | 1033 |
| 2000-289 | 0504707 | 3864662 | 1045 |
| 2000-290 | 0507130 | 3864652 | 1063 |
| 2000-291 | 0506883 | 3864565 | 1085 |
| 2000-292 | 0506607 | 3864593 | 1105 |
| 2000-293 | 0506573 | 3865065 | 1135 |
| 2000-294 | 0506316 | 3864951 | 1143 |
| 2000-295 | 0506135 | 3864937 | 1126 |
| 2000-296 | 0505948 | 3865156 | 1120 |
| 2000-297 | 0506107 | 3865483 | 1111 |
| 2000-298 | 0506297 | 3865636 | 1109 |
| 2000-299 | 0506470 | 3865932 | 1103 |
| 2000-300 | 0506682 | 3865890 | 1105 |
| 2000-301 | 0507937 | 3867897 | 760 |
| 2000-302 | 0507791 | 3867388 | 999 |
| 2000-303 | 0507847 | 3867686 | 822 |
| 2000-304 | 0507674 | 3867570 | 838 |


| Outcrop | Easting | Northing | Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000-305 | 0507496 | 3867339 | 862 |
| 2000-306 | 0507375 | 3867220 | 868 |
| 2000-307 | 0507413 | 3866908 | 854 |
| 2000-308 | 0507397 | 3866567 | 811 |
| 2000-309 | 0507434 | 3866330 | 800 |
| 2000-310 | 0507630 | 3866228 | 816 |
| 2000-311 | 0507576 | 3866033 | 830 |
| 2000-312 | 0513011 | 3863920 | 986 |
| 2000-313 | 0513101 | 3864342 | 1007 |
| 2000-314 | 0513383 | 3864173 | 995 |
| 2000-315 | 0513820 | 3864249 | 976 |
| 2000-316 | 0513958 | 3863649 | 1010 |
| 2000-317 | 0514521 | 3863131 | 1025 |
| 2000-318 | 0514767 | 3863260 | 1028 |
| 2000-319 | 0514615 | 3863933 | 1022 |
| 2000-320 | 0514751 | 3864315 | 1008 |
| 2000-321 | 0514698 | 3864755 | 998 |
| 2000-322 | 0515382 | 3865164 | 1020 |
| 2000-323 | 0515321 | 3865812 | 977 |
| 2000-324 | 0510072 | 3860727 | 942 |
| 2000-325 | 0509930 | 3860285 | 903 |
| 2000-326 | 0510117 | 3859942 | 879 |
| 2000-327 | 0509936 | 3859828 | 860 |
| 2000-328 | 0510374 | 3859409 | 744 |
| 2000-329 | 0510800 | 3859000 | 699 |
| 2000-330 | 0507441 | 3874979 | 507 |
| 2000-331 | 0507039 | 3875103 | 545 |
| 2000-332 | 0503551 | 3874230 | 601 |
| 2000-333 | 0503528 | 3873699 | 613 |
| 2000-334 | 0503562 | 3873145 | 624 |
| 2000-335 | 0501706 | 3870211 | 885 |
| 2000-336 | 0501458 | 3870535 | 850 |
| 2000-337 | 0501648 | 3870937 | 800 |
| 2000-338 | 0501900 | 3871153 | 767 |
| 2000-339 | 0502419 | 3871446 | 728 |
| 2000-340 | 0503288 | 3872025 | 692 |
| 2000-341 | 0502708 | 3871528 | 720 |


| Outcrop | Easting | Northing | Elevation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2000-342$ | 0502828 | 3871621 | 773 |
| $2000-343$ | 0502873 | 3871288 | 809 |
| $2000-344$ | 0503368 | 3871082 | 854 |
| $2000-345$ | 0503336 | 3871412 | 899 |
| $2000-346$ | 0503625 | 3871489 | 920 |
| $2000-347$ | 0504006 | 3871023 | 961 |
| $2000-348$ | 0504195 | 3871060 | 930 |
| $2000-349$ | 0504260 | 3870633 | 900 |
| $2000-350$ | 0504644 | 3870516 | 907 |
| $2000-351$ | 0504549 | 3870135 | 918 |
| $2000-352$ | 0504152 | 3869918 | 907 |
| $2000-353$ | 0504120 | 3869450 | 849 |
| $2000-354$ | 0504176 | 3868450 | 822 |
| $2000-901$ | 0508665 | 3872227 | 524 |
| $2000-902$ | 0517930 | 3865001 | 1096 |

## Appendix B: Dike Data

| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1035 | 334/54 | 2000-336 |  |
|  | 1036 | 352/60 | 2000-336 |  |
|  | 1037 | $330 / 67$ | 2000-336 | 1 |
|  | 1038 | $328 / 69$ | 2000-337 |  |
|  | 1039 | 316/61 | 2000-337 |  |
|  | 1040 | 339/61 | 2000-337 |  |
|  | 1041 | 325/45 | 2000-338 |  |
|  | 1042 | 323/49 | 2000-338 |  |
|  | 1043 | 302/44 | 2000-338 |  |
|  | 1044 | $340 / 55$ | 2000-339 |  |
|  | 1045 | 342/63 | 2000-339 |  |
|  | 1046 | 334/77 | 2000-339 |  |
| B |  |  |  |  |
|  | 870 | 219/84 | 2000-282 |  |
|  | 871 | $210 / 81$ | 2000-282 |  |
|  | 872 | $230 / 66$ | 2000-282 |  |
|  | 873 | 172/51 | 2000-283 |  |
|  | 874 | 168/69 | 2000-283 |  |
|  | 875 | 209/69 | 2000-283 |  |
|  | 876 | 028/87 | 2000-284 |  |
|  | 877 | 024/82 | 2000-284 |  |
|  | 878 | 029/89 | 2000-284 |  |
|  | 879 | 302/51 | 2000-284 |  |
|  | 880 | $340 / 45$ | 2000-284 |  |
|  | 881 | 302/70 | 2000-284 |  |
|  | 882 | $340 / 52$ | 2000-285 |  |
|  | 883 | 349/51 | 2000-285 |  |
|  | 884 | 349/70 | 2000-285 |  |
|  | 1029 | 208/61 | 2000-334 |  |
|  | 1030 | $200 / 86$ | 2000-334 |  |
|  | 1031 | 210/70 | 2000-334 |  |
|  | 1047 | 310/45 | 2000-340 |  |
|  | 1048 | 329/40 | 2000-340 |  |
|  | 1049 | 331/52 | 2000-340 |  |
|  | 1050 | 352/52 | 2000-341 |  |
|  | 1051 | 000/59 | 2000-341 |  |
|  | 1052 | 358157 | 2000-341 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1053 | 020/ 56 | 2000-342 |  |
|  | 1054 | 006/43 | 2000-342 |  |
|  | 1055 | 036/81 | 2000-342 |  |
|  | 1056 | $350 / 48$ | 2000-343 |  |
|  | 1057 | 345/44 | 2000-343 |  |
|  | 1058 | 348/46 | 2000-343 |  |
|  | 1059 | 011/36 | 2000-344 | 1 |
|  | 1060 | 334/56 | 2000-344 |  |
|  | 1061 | 350/53 | 2000-344 |  |
|  | 1062 | 324/51 | 2000-345 |  |
|  | 1063 | $339 / 61$ | 2000-345 |  |
|  | 1064 | $318 / 51$ | 2000-345 |  |
|  | 1065 | 060/59 | 2000-346 |  |
|  | 1066 | 019157 | 2000-346 |  |
|  | 1067 | 012/44 | 2000-346 |  |
|  | 1068 | 020/62 | 2000-347 |  |
|  | 1069 | 004/54 | 2000-347 |  |
|  | 1070 | 000/86 | 2000-347 |  |
|  | 1071 | 350/51 | 2000-348 |  |
|  | 1072 | 352/49 | 2000-348 |  |
|  | 1073 | 008/41 | 2000-348 |  |
|  | 1074 | 000/52 | 2000-349 |  |
|  | 1075 | 354/46 | 2000-349 |  |
|  | 1076 | 345/43 | 2000-349 |  |
|  | 1077 | 354/46 | 2000-350 |  |
|  | 1078 | 352/61 | 2000-350 |  |
|  | 1079 | 359/48 | 2000-350 |  |
| C |  |  |  |  |
|  | 105 | $320 / 71$ | 2000-026 |  |
|  | 106 | 100/37 | 2000-027 |  |
|  | 107 | 080/40 | 2000-027 |  |
|  | 108 | 092/28 | 2000-027 |  |
|  | 109 | 160/75 | 2000-028 |  |
|  | 110 | 140/88 | 2000-028 |  |
|  | 111 | 140/88 | 2000-028 |  |
|  | 112 | 040/62 | 2000-029 |  |
|  | 113 | 040/64 | 2000-029 |  |
|  | 114 | 060/55 | 2000-029 |  |
|  | 115 | 038/76 | 2000-030 |  |
|  | 116 | 200/88 | 2000-030 |  |
|  | 117 | 210/80 | 2000-030 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 138 | 000/80 | 2000-037 |  |
|  | 139 | 045/ 70 | 2000-037 |  |
|  | 140 | 220/84 | 2000-037 |  |
|  | 141 | 000/89 | 2000-037 |  |
|  | 142 | 040/48 | 2000-038 |  |
|  | 143 | 040/ 46 | 2000-038 |  |
|  | 144 | 045/50 | 2000-038 | * |
|  | 145 | 030/46 | 2000-038 |  |
|  | 146 | 081/89 | 2000-039 |  |
|  | 147 | 084/80 | 2000-039 |  |
|  | 148 | 074/79 | 2000-039 |  |
|  | 238 | 190/81 | 2000-067 |  |
|  | 239 | 004/79 | 2000-067 |  |
|  | 240 | $000 / 69$ | 2000-067 |  |
|  | 241 | 010/88 | 2000-067 |  |
|  | 242 | 208/70 | 2000-068 |  |
|  | 243 | 208/88 | 2000-068 |  |
|  | 244 | 208/82 | 2000-068 |  |
|  | 245 | 352/82 | 2000-069 |  |
|  | 246 | 358/86 | 2000-069 |  |
|  | 247 | $030 / 78$ | 2000-069 |  |
|  | 264 | $010 / 73$ | 2000-075 |  |
|  | 265 | 008/66 | 2000-075 |  |
|  | 266 | 008/65 | 2000-075 |  |
|  | 267 | $200 / 80$ | 2000-076 |  |
|  | 268 | 198/82 | 2000-076 |  |
|  | 269 | $210 / 78$ | 2000-076 |  |
|  | 270 | 351/80 | 2000-077 |  |
|  | 271 | 190/80 | 2000-077 |  |
|  | 272 | 192/80 | 2000-077 |  |
|  | 590 | 051/71 | 2000-188 |  |
|  | 591 | 052/72 | 2000-188 |  |
|  | 592 | 051/81 | 2000-188 |  |
|  | 593 | 080/70 | 2000-189 |  |
|  | 594 | $060 / 61$ | 2000-189 |  |
|  | 595 | 081/63 | 2000-189 |  |
|  | 596 | 088/79 | 2000-190 |  |
|  | 597 | $100 / 64$ | 2000-190 |  |
|  | 598 | 106/67 | 2000-190 |  |
|  | 599 | 334/64 | 2000-191 |  |
|  | 600 | 334/76 | 2000-191 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 601 | 130/81 | 2000-191 |
|  | 602 | $080 / 57$ | 2000-192 |
|  | 603 | 120/62 | 2000-192 |
|  | 604 | 118/50 | 2000-192 |
|  | 605 | 218/69 | 2000-193 |
|  | 606 | 218/74 | 2000-193 |
|  | 607 | 216/71 | 2000-193 |
|  | 608 | 000/ 81 | 2000-194 |
|  | 609 | 346/66 | 2000-194 |
|  | 610 | 354/80 | 2000-194 |
|  | 611 | 038/73 | 2000-195 |
|  | 612 | 352/88 | 2000-195 |
|  | 613 | 348/73 | 2000-195 |
|  | 614 | 044/65 | 2000-196 |
|  | 615 | 010/66 | 2000-196 |
|  | 616 | 050/ 71 | 2000-196 |
|  | 617 | 200/87 | 2000-197 |
|  | 618 | 211/84 | 2000-197 |
|  | 619 | 041/72 | 2000-197 |
|  | 620 | 041/74 | 2000-198 |
|  | 621 | 040/78 | 2000-198 |
|  | 622 | 030/79 | 2000-198 |
|  | 623 | 232/71 | 2000-199 |
|  | 624 | 229/80 | 2000-199 |
|  | 625 | 042/79 | 2000-199 |
|  | 644 | 197/ 64 | 2000-206 |
|  | 645 | 218/51 | 2000-206 |
|  | 646 | 202/55 | 2000-206 |
|  | 650 | 036/59 | 2000-208 |
|  | 651 | 040/66 | 2000-208 |
|  | 652 | $048 / 71$ | 2000-208 |
|  | 653 | 111/86 | 2000-209 |
|  | 654 | 114/88 | 2000-209 |
|  | 655 | 119/89 | 2000-209 |
|  | 656 | 228/81 | 2000-210 |
|  | 657 | $230 / 76$ | 2000-210 |
|  | 658 | 224/82 | 2000-210 |
|  | 659 | 172/54 | 2000-211 |
|  | 660 | 175/59 | 2000-211 |
|  | 661 | 192/ 64 | 2000-211 |
|  | 662 | 231/75 | 2000-212 |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 663 | 218/73 | 2000-212 |  |
|  | 664 | $220 / 66$ | 2000-212 |  |
|  | 889 | 059/69 | 2000-287 |  |
|  | 890 | 100/89 | 2000-287 |  |
|  | 891 | 097/81 | 2000-287 |  |
|  | 892 | 005/79 | 2000-288 |  |
|  | 893 | 034/81 | 2000-288 | - |
|  | 894 | 340/87 | 2000-288 |  |
|  | 898 | 010/76 | 2000-290 |  |
|  | 899 | 014/80 | 2000-290 |  |
|  | 900 | 012/81 | 2000-290 |  |
|  | 901 | 010/75 | 2000-291 |  |
|  | 902 | 002/77 | 2000-291 |  |
|  | 903 | 004/79 | 2000-291 |  |
|  | 949 | 309/67 | 2000-307 |  |
|  | 950 | 335/67 | 2000-307 |  |
|  | 951 | 338/68 | 2000-307 |  |
|  | 952 | 267/70 | 2000-308 |  |
|  | 953 | 272/84 | 2000-308 |  |
|  | 954 | 266/80 | 2000-308 |  |
|  | 955 | 010/89 | 2000-309 |  |
|  | 956 | 036/84 | 2000-309 |  |
|  | 957 | 036/87 | 2000-309 |  |
|  | 958 | 110/81 | 2000-310 |  |
|  | 959 | 100/88 | 2000-310 |  |
|  | 960 | 290/89 | 2000-310 |  |
|  | 961 | 100/70 | 2000-311 |  |
|  | 962 | 090/84 | 2000-311 |  |
|  | 963 | $090 / 71$ | 2000-311 |  |
|  | 1141 | 252/80 | 1999-036 |  |
|  | 1142 | 274/82 | 1999-037 |  |
|  | 1143 | 062/70 | 1999-038 |  |
|  | 1145 | 254/84 | 1999-040 |  |
|  | 1146 | 238/65 | 1999-041 |  |
|  | 1147 | $210 / 80$ | 1999-041 |  |
|  | 1148 | 210175 | 1999-042 |  |
| D |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17 | 238/84 | 2000-001 |  |
|  | 18 | 114/84 | 2000-001 |  |
|  | 19 | 110/79 | 2000-001 |  |
|  | 20 | 240/80 | 2000-002 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 21 | 248/74 | 2000-002 |  |
|  | 22 | $250 / 75$ | 2000-002 |  |
|  | 23 | 238/86 | 2000-002 |  |
|  | 24 | 225/74 | 2000-003 |  |
|  | 25 | 222/80 | 2000-003 |  |
|  | 26 | 230/85 | 2000-003 |  |
|  | 341 | 130/80 | 2000-101 | 1 |
|  | 342 | 124/75 | 2000-101 |  |
|  | 343 | 124/72 | 2000-101 |  |
|  | 578 | 238/88 | 2000-183 |  |
|  | 579 | 234/86 | 2000-183 |  |
|  | 580 | 246/89 | 2000-183 |  |
|  | 581 | 248/80 | 2000-184 |  |
|  | 582 | 240/72 | 2000-184 |  |
|  | 583 | 222/88 | 2000-184 |  |
|  | 584 | 228/88 | 2000-186 |  |
|  | 585 | 280/62 | 2000-186 |  |
|  | 586 | 242/60 | 2000-186 |  |
|  | 587 | 067/82 | 2000-187 |  |
|  | 588 | 060/85 | 2000-187 |  |
|  | 589 | 218/86 | 2000-187 |  |
|  | 629 | 274/81 | 2000-201 |  |
|  | 630 | 090/88 | 2000-201 |  |
|  | 631 | 082/ 71 | 2000-201 |  |
|  | 632 | 148/61 | 2000-202 |  |
|  | 633 | 150/51 | 2000-202 |  |
|  | 634 | 168/71 | 2000-202 |  |
|  | 638 | 071/86 | 2000-204 |  |
|  | 639 | 069/87 | 2000-204 |  |
|  | 640 | 080/86 | 2000-204 |  |
|  | 641 | 172/84 | 2000-205 |  |
|  | 642 | 174/70 | 2000-205 |  |
|  | 643 | 179/71 | 2000-205 |  |
|  | 647 | 057/71 | 2000-207 |  |
|  | 648 | 052/76 | 2000-207 |  |
|  | 649 | 046/81 | 2000-207 |  |
|  | 665 | 153/39 | 2000-213 |  |
|  | 666 | 152/45 | 2000-213 |  |
|  | 667 | 268/89 | 2000-215 |  |
|  | 668 | 089/84 | 2000-215 |  |
|  | 669 | 082/29 | 2000-215 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 670 | 062/87 | 2000-216 |  |
|  | 671 | 266/84 | 2000-216 |  |
|  | 672 | 262/63 | 2000-216 |  |
|  | 673 | 211/62 | 2000-217 |  |
|  | 674 | 218/51 | 2000-217 |  |
|  | 675 | 194/52 | 2000-217 |  |
|  | 676 | 298/79 | 2000-218 | + |
|  | 677 | 304/81 | 2000-218 |  |
|  | 678 | 303/74 | 2000-218 |  |
|  | 679 | 274/88 | 2000-219 |  |
|  | 680 | 092/83 | 2000-219 |  |
|  | 681 | 104/84 | 2000-219 |  |
|  | 682 | 256/83 | 2000-220 |  |
|  | 683 | 095/74 | 2000-220 |  |
|  | 684 | 246/86 | 2000-220 |  |
|  | 685 | 084/81 | 2000-221 |  |
|  | 686 | 078/75 | 2000-221 |  |
|  | 687 | 072/81 | 2000-221 |  |
|  | 688 | 105/76 | 2000-222 |  |
|  | 689 | 272/88 | 2000-222 |  |
|  | 690 | 062/64 | 2000-223 |  |
|  | 691 | 078/69 | 2000-223 |  |
|  | 692 | 063/68 | 2000-223 |  |
|  | 693 | 207/81 | 2000-224 |  |
|  | 694 | 039/89 | 2000-224 |  |
|  | 695 | 210/89 | 2000-224 |  |
|  | 696 | 210/67 | 2000-225 |  |
|  | 697 | 202/74 | 2000-225 |  |
|  | 698 | 198/79 | 2000-225 |  |
|  | 699 | 312/81 | 2000-226 |  |
|  | 700 | 314/78 | 2000-226 |  |
|  | 701 | 319/86 | 2000-226 |  |
|  | 702 | 231/87 | 2000-227 |  |
|  | 703 | 230/86 | 2000-227 |  |
|  | 704 | 222/84 | 2000-227 |  |
|  | 1000 | 260/ 71 | 2000-324 |  |
|  | 1001 | 252/69 | 2000-324 |  |
|  | 1002 | 261/74 | 2000-324 |  |
|  | 1003 | 071/86 | 2000-325 |  |
|  | 1004 | 271/81 | 2000-325 |  |
|  | 1005 | 248/84 | 2000-325 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1006 | 192/79 | 2000-326 |  |
|  | 1007 | 060/89 | 2000-326 |  |
|  | 1008 | 200/89 | 2000-326 |  |
|  | 1009 | 200/89 | 2000-326 |  |
|  | 1010 | $320 / 79$ | 2000-327 |  |
|  | 1011 | 329/74 | 2000-327 |  |
|  | 1012 | 030/80 | 2000-328 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | 1013 | 041/83 | 2000-328 |  |
|  | 1014 | 021/74 | 2000-328 |  |
|  | 1015 | 318/84 | 2000-329 |  |
|  | 1016 | 330/84 | 2000-329 |  |
|  | 1017 | 322/85 | 2000-329 |  |
|  | 1113 | 015/85 | 1999-017 |  |
|  | 1116 | 064/72 | 1999-020 |  |
|  | 1118 | 050/62 | 1999-022 |  |
|  | 1119 | 238/85 | 1999-023 |  |
|  | 1120 | $276 / 54$ | 1999-024 |  |
|  | 1121 | 100/50 | 1999-025 |  |
|  | 1122 | 094/68 | 1999-025 |  |
|  | 1123 | 082/76 | 1999-025 |  |
|  | 1124 | 235/78 | 1999-026 |  |
|  | 1125 | 086/86 | 1999-026 |  |
|  | 1126 | 262/80 | 1999-026 |  |
|  | 1127 | 262/78 | 1999-027 |  |
|  | 1128 | 245/83 | 1999-027 |  |
|  | 1129 | 072/88 | 1999-027 |  |
|  | 1130 | 260/74 | 1999-028 |  |
|  | 1131 | 236/68 | 1999-028 |  |
|  | 1132 | 245/78 | 1999-029 |  |
|  | 1133 | 250/82 | 1999-030 |  |
|  | 1134 | 248/84 | 1999-030 |  |
|  | 1135 | 225/76 | 1999-031 |  |
|  | 1136 | 226/82 | 1999-032 |  |
|  | 1137 | 232/84 | 1999-033 |  |
|  | 1138 | 240/80 | 1999-034 |  |
|  | 1139 | 230/76 | 1999-035 |  |
|  | 1140 | 232/74 | 1999-035 |  |
|  | 1144 | 258/86 | 1999-039 |  |
|  | 1149 | $256 / 90$ | 1999-043 |  |
|  | 1150 | 124/72 | 1999-044 |  |
|  | 1151 | 150/56 | 1999-045 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | 1152 | 194/40 | 1999-045 | 6 |
|  | 1153 | 275/70 | 1999-046 |  |
|  | 1154 | 254/85 | 1999-047 |  |
|  | 1155 | $270 / 82$ | 1999-048 |  |
|  | 1156 | 300/60 | 1999-049 |  |
|  | 1157 | 104/75 | 1999-050 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 354 | 050/84 | 2000-106 |  |
|  | 355 | 050/82 | 2000-106 |  |
|  | 356 | 040/85 | 2000-106 |  |
|  | 357 | 150/62 | 2000-107 |  |
|  | 358 | 052/75 | 2000-108 |  |
|  | 359 | 042/65 | 2000-108 |  |
|  | 360 | 072/81 | 2000-108 |  |
|  | 432 | 271/79 | 2000-133 |  |
|  | 433 | 082/88 | 2000-133 |  |
|  | 434 | 091/84 | 2000-133 |  |
|  | 510 | 262/72 | 2000-161 |  |
|  | 511 | 268/89 | 2000-161 |  |
|  | 512 | 256/59 | 2000-161 |  |
|  | 513 | 298/87 | 2000-162 |  |
|  | 514 | 294/86 | 2000-162 |  |
|  | 515 | 289/79 | 2000-162 |  |
|  | 516 | 070/69 | 2000-163 |  |
|  | 517 | 262/84 | 2000-163 |  |
|  | 518 | 264/71 | 2000-163 |  |
|  | 519 | 100/73 | 2000-164 |  |
|  | 520 | 090/56 | 2000-164 |  |
|  | 521 | 094/88 | 2000-164 |  |
|  | 522 | 152/ 51 | 2000-165 |  |
|  | 523 | 112/46 | 2000-165 |  |
|  | 524 | 088/64 | 2000-165 |  |
|  | 525 | 076/59 | 2000-166 |  |
|  | 526 | 068/57 | 2000-166 |  |
|  | 527 | 332/56 | 2000-167 |  |
|  | 528 | 312/52 | 2000-167 |  |
|  | 529 | 296/56 | 2000-167 |  |
|  | 536 | 222/77 | 2000-170 |  |
|  | 537 | $208 / 76$ | 2000-170 |  |
|  | 538 | $238 / 74$ | 2000-170 |  |
|  | 539 | 228/84 | 2000-170 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 540 | 226/80 | 2000-170 |  |
|  | 541 | 208/85 | 2000-170 |  |
|  | 542 | 050/78 | 2000-171 |  |
|  | 543 | 052/68 | 2000-171 |  |
|  | 544 | 058/75 | 2000-171 |  |
|  | 554 | 078/80 | 2000-175 |  |
|  | 555 | 052/75 | 2000-175 | 1 |
|  | 556 | 054/84 | 2000-175 |  |
|  | 557 | 032/86 | 2000-176 |  |
|  | 558 | 204/84 | 2000-176 |  |
|  | 559 | 058/80 | 2000-176 |  |
|  | 560 | 064/78 | 2000-177 |  |
|  | 561 | 024/80 | 2000-177 |  |
|  | 562 | 056/68 | 2000-177 |  |
|  | 563 | 070/75 | 2000-178 |  |
|  | 564 | 075/74 | 2000-178 |  |
|  | 565 | 055/ 60 | 2000-178 |  |
|  | 705 | 067/87 | 2000-228 |  |
|  | 706 | 061/88 | 2000-228 |  |
|  | 707 | 063/84 | 2000-228 |  |
|  | 708 | 082/82 | 2000-229 |  |
|  | 709 | 081/79 | 2000-229 |  |
|  | 710 | 073/84 | 2000-229 |  |
|  | 711 | 210/88 | 2000-230 |  |
|  | 712 | 020/79 | 2000-230 |  |
|  | 713 | 030/79 | 2000-230 |  |
|  | 714 | 060/74 | 2000-231 |  |
|  | 715 | 234/63 | 2000-231 |  |
|  | 716 | 236/64 | 2000-231 |  |
|  | 717 | $057 / 81$ | 2000-232 |  |
|  | 718 | 059/74 | 2000-232 |  |
|  | 719 | 040/ 71 | 2000-232 |  |
|  | 720 | 140/50 | 2000-233 |  |
|  | 721 | 142/60 | 2000-233 |  |
|  | 722 | 086/56 | 2000-233 |  |
|  | 723 | 069/64 | 2000-234 |  |
|  | 724 | 068/64 | 2000-234 |  |
|  | 725 | 062/59 | 2000-234 |  |
|  | 726 | 159/74 | 2000-235 |  |
|  | 727 | 164/59 | 2000-235 |  |
|  | 728 | 164/67 | 2000-235 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 729 | 238/86 | 2000-236 |  |
|  | 730 | 216/89 | 2000-236 |  |
|  | 731 | 241/86 | 2000-236 |  |
|  | 732 | 116/79 | 2000-237 |  |
|  | 733 | 312/81 | 2000-237 |  |
|  | 734 | 111/86 | 2000-237 |  |
|  | 735 | 121/89 | 2000-238 | 4 |
|  | 736 | 296/87 | 2000-238 |  |
|  | 737 | 320/ 55 | 2000-238 |  |
|  | 744 | 095/76 | 2000-241 |  |
|  | 745 | 091/81 | 2000-241 |  |
|  | 746 | 101/83 | 2000-241 |  |
| F |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 | 062/83 | 2000-902 |  |
|  | 10 | $062 / 76$ | 2000-902 |  |
|  | 11 | 044/54 | 2000-902 |  |
|  | 12 | 050/70 | 2000-902 |  |
|  | 13 | 049/69 | 2000-902 |  |
|  | 14 | 052/64 | 2000-902 |  |
|  | 15 | 069/79 | 2000-902 |  |
|  | 16 | 051/ 57 | 2000-902 |  |
|  | 321 | $040 / 70$ | 2000-094 |  |
|  | 322 | 060/79 | 2000-094 |  |
|  | 323 | 065/68 | 2000-094 |  |
|  | 324 | 052/66 | 2000-095 |  |
|  | 325 | 030/69 | 2000-095 |  |
|  | 326 | 032/69 | 2000-095 |  |
|  | 370 | 228/79 | 2000-112 |  |
|  | 371 | 206/80 | 2000-112 |  |
|  | 372 | 208/82 | 2000-112 |  |
|  | 373 | 034/74 | 2000-113 |  |
|  | 374 | 038/72 | 2000-113 |  |
|  | 375 | 050/64 | 2000-113 |  |
|  | 376 | 052/69 | 2000-114 |  |
|  | 377 | $036 / 76$ | 2000-114 |  |
|  | 378 | 035/69 | 2000-114 |  |
|  | 379 | 130/79 | 2000-115 |  |
|  | 380 | 132/73 | 2000-115 |  |
|  | 381 | 130/79 | 2000-115 |  |
|  | 382 | 058/66 | 2000-116 |  |
|  | 383 | 070/ 56 | 2000-116 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 384 | 050/71 | 2000-116 |  |
|  | 385 | 074/74 | 2000-117 |  |
|  | 386 | 100/60 | 2000-117 |  |
|  | 387 | 050/ 60 | 2000-117 |  |
|  | 388 | 050/51 | 2000-118 |  |
|  | 389 | 038/41 | 2000-118 |  |
|  | 390 | $060 / 66$ | 2000-119 | 6 |
|  | 391 | $030 / 74$ | 2000-119 |  |
|  | 392 | 040/72 | 2000-119 |  |
| I1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1023 | $300 / 73$ | 2000-332 |  |
|  | 1024 | 302/81 | 2000-332 |  |
|  | 1025 | 311/81 | 2000-332 |  |
|  | 1026 | 164/74 | 2000-333 |  |
|  | 1027 | 150/86 | 2000-333 |  |
|  | 1028 | 142/83 | 2000-333 |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 118 | 028/38 | 2000-031 |  |
|  | 119 | 024/58 | 2000-031 |  |
|  | 120 | 021/49 | 2000-031 |  |
|  | 121 | 022/56 | 2000-031 |  |
|  | 122 | $348 / 60$ | 2000-032 |  |
|  | 123 | $000 / 70$ | 2000-032 |  |
|  | 124 | $352 / 60$ | 2000-032 |  |
|  | 125 | 001/64 | 2000-032 |  |
|  | 126 | 184/84 | 2000-033 |  |
|  | 127 | 161/80 | 2000-033 |  |
|  | 128 | 170/78 | 2000-033 |  |
|  | 129 | $350 / 58$ | 2000-034 |  |
|  | 130 | 030/72 | 2000-034 |  |
|  | 131 | $040 / 89$ | 2000-034 |  |
|  | 132 | $008 / 78$ | 2000-035 |  |
|  | 133 | 008/88 | 2000-035 |  |
|  | 134 | 174/88 | 2000-035 |  |
|  | 192 | 028/55 | 2000-053 |  |
|  | 193 | 040/66 | 2000-053 |  |
|  | 194 | 020/70 | 2000-053 |  |
|  | 195 | 310/62 | 2000-054 |  |
|  | 196 | $030 / 58$ | 2000-054 |  |
|  | 197 | $340 / 60$ | 2000-054 |  |
|  | 198 | 010/55 | 2000-054 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 199 | 350/45 | 2000-055 |
|  | 200 | 000/ 55 | 2000-055 |
|  | 201 | 010/58 | 2000-055 |
|  | 202 | 342/58 | 2000-056 |
|  | 203 | $320 / 60$ | 2000-056 |
|  | 204 | 318/50 | 2000-056 |
|  | 885 | 342/66 | 2000-286 |
|  | 886 | 000/55 | 2000-286 |
|  | 887 | 009/81 | 2000-286 |
|  | 888 | 342/61 | 2000-286 |
|  | 895 | 032/87 | 2000-289 |
|  | 896 | 038/87 | 2000-289 |
|  | 897 | 028/89 | 2000-289 |
|  | 1032 | 352/67 | 2000-335 |
|  | 1033 | 012/64 | 2000-335 |
|  | 1034 | $010 / 68$ | 2000-335 |
|  | 1080 | 357/57 | 2000-351 |
|  | 1081 | 355/ 45 | 2000-351 |
|  | 1082 | 339/54 | 2000-351 |
|  | 1083 | 342/43 | 2000-352 |
|  | 1084 | 357/38 | 2000-352 |
|  | 1085 | 357/38 | 2000-352 |
|  | 1086 | 359/46 | 2000-352 |
|  | 1087 | 010/75 | 2000-353 |
|  | 1088 | $000 / 51$ | 2000-353 |
|  | 1089 | 353/56 | 2000-353 |
|  | 1090 | 350/72 | 2000-354 |
|  | 1091 | $357 / 82$ | 2000-354 |
|  | 1092 | 342/87 | 2000-354 |
| I3 |  |  |  |
|  | 149 | 088/76 | 2000-040 |
|  | 150 | 112/58 | 2000-040 |
|  | 151 | 112/64 | 2000-040 |
|  | 152 | 114/72 | 2000-040 |
|  | 153 | 192/44 | 2000-041 |
|  | 154 | 186/32 | 2000-041 |
|  | 155 | 190/ 54 | 2000-041 |
|  | 156 | 198/57 | 2000-041 |
|  | 179 | 222/78 | 2000-048 |
|  | 180 | 235/80 | 2000-048 |
|  | 181 | 222/44 | 2000-048 |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 182 | 225/55 | 2000-048 |  |
|  | 183 | 210/61 | 2000-049 |  |
|  | 184 | 201/64 | 2000-049 |  |
|  | 185 | 215/84 | 2000-049 |  |
|  | 186 | 024/64 | 2000-050 |  |
|  | 187 | 044/66 | 2000-050 |  |
|  | 188 | 038/63 | 2000-050 | - |
|  | 189 | 202/ 72 | 2000-051 |  |
|  | 190 | 188/70 | 2000-051 |  |
|  | 191 | 192/55 | 2000-052 |  |
|  | 252 | 040/65 | 2000-071 |  |
|  | 253 | 030/60 | 2000-071 |  |
|  | 254 | 022/ 55 | 2000-071 |  |
|  | 255 | 020/88 | 2000-072 |  |
|  | 256 | 050/69 | 2000-072 |  |
|  | 257 | 052/71 | 2000-072 |  |
|  | 258 | 040/65 | 2000-073 |  |
|  | 259 | 030/70 | 2000-073 |  |
|  | 260 | 018/80 | 2000-073 |  |
|  | 261 | 020/80 | 2000-074 |  |
|  | 262 | 027/82 | 2000-074 |  |
|  | 263 | 020/78 | 2000-074 |  |
|  | 276 | 200/ 71 | 2000-079 |  |
|  | 277 | 200/73 | 2000-079 |  |
|  | 278 | 170/75 | 2000-079 |  |
|  | 279 | 060/81 | 2000-080 |  |
|  | 280 | 056/74 | 2000-080 |  |
|  | 281 | 070/60 | 2000-080 |  |
|  | 282 | $020 / 62$ | 2000-081 |  |
|  | 283 | 010/58 | 2000-081 |  |
|  | 284 | 006/60 | 2000-081 |  |
|  | 285 | $030 / 58$ | 2000-082 |  |
|  | 286 | 358/64 | 2000-082 |  |
|  | 287 | 000/ 54 | 2000-082 |  |
|  | 289 | 010/64 | 2000-083 |  |
|  | 290 | 012/70 | 2000-083 |  |
|  | 291 | 020/69 | 2000-083 |  |
|  | 292 | 050/78 | 2000-084 |  |
|  | 293 | 080/86 | 2000-084 |  |
|  | 294 | $050 / 79$ | 2000-084 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 59 | 090/42 | 2000-014 |  |
|  | 60 | 092/ 60 | 2000-014 |  |
|  | 61 | 072/62 | 2000-014 |  |
|  | 62 | 061/65 | 2000-014 |  |
|  | 63 | 140/85 | 2000-015 |  |
|  | 64 | 142/87 | 2000-015 |  |
|  | 65 | 142/87 | 2000-015 | - |
|  | 66 | 002/65 | 2000-015 |  |
|  | 67 | 158/70 | 2000-015 |  |
|  | 68 | $008 / 71$ | 2000-016 |  |
|  | 69 | 010174 | 2000-016 |  |
|  | 70 | $020 / 69$ | 2000-016 |  |
|  | 71 | 040/68 | 2000-016 |  |
|  | 72 | 140/65 | 2000-017 |  |
|  | 73 | 132/70 | 2000-017 |  |
|  | 74 | 155/69 | 2000-017 |  |
|  | 75 | 335/70 | 2000-018 |  |
|  | 76 | 070/87 | 2000-018 |  |
|  | 77 | 004/70 | 2000-018 |  |
|  | 78 | 160/70 | 2000-018 |  |
|  | 79 | 204/74 | 2000-019 |  |
|  | 80 | $210 / 65$ | 2000-019 |  |
|  | 81 | $270 / 58$ | 2000-019 |  |
|  | 82 | 230/44 | 2000-019 |  |
|  | 83 | 040/71 | 2000-020 |  |
|  | 84 | 045/75 | 2000-020 |  |
|  | 85 | 050/82 | 2000-020 |  |
|  | 86 | 250/89 | 2000-021 |  |
|  | 87 | 240/85 | 2000-021 |  |
|  | 88 | 234/79 | 2000-021 |  |
|  | 89 | 230/79 | 2000-021 |  |
|  | 90 | 199/70 | 2000-022 |  |
|  | 91 | 180/65 | 2000-022 |  |
|  | 92 | 200/78 | 2000-022 |  |
|  | 93 | 192/45 | 2000-022 |  |
|  | 94 | 180/85 | 2000-023 |  |
|  | 95 | 184/89 | 2000-023 |  |
|  | 96 | $190 / 75$ | 2000-023 |  |
|  | 97 | 120/40 | 2000-024 |  |
|  | 98 | 132/48 | 2000-024 |  |
|  | 99 | 152/55 | 2000-024 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100 | 138/64 | 2000-024 |
|  | 101 | 130/71 | 2000-025 |
|  | 102 | 122/80 | 2000-025 |
|  | 103 | 100/68 | 2000-025 |
|  | 104 | 110/87 | 2000-025 |
|  | 157 | 164/52 | 2000-042 |
|  | 158 | 160/32 | 2000-042 |
|  | 159 | 154/41 | 2000-042 |
|  | 160 | 180/68 | 2000-042 |
|  | 161 | 154/75 | 2000-042 |
|  | 162 | 048/74 | 2000-043 |
|  | 163 | 044/68 | 2000-043 |
|  | 164 | 055/ 72 | 2000-043 |
|  | 165 | 042/77 | 2000-043 |
|  | 166 | 058/82 | 2000-043 |
|  | 167 | $058 / 72$ | 2000-044 |
|  | 168 | 082/74 | 2000-044 |
|  | 169 | 066/60 | 2000-044 |
|  | 170 | 066/68 | 2000-044 |
|  | 171 | 252/89 | 2000-045 |
|  | 172 | 194/82 | 2000-046 |
|  | 173 | $028 / 79$ | 2000-046 |
|  | 174 | 165/65 | 2000-046 |
|  | 175 | 048/68 | 2000-047 |
|  | 176 | 064/55 | 2000-047 |
|  | 177 | 046/72 | 2000-047 |
|  | 178 | 041/66 | 2000-047 |
|  | 1160 | 120/58 | 1999-053 |
|  | 1161 | 088/70 | 1999-054 |
|  | 1162 | $020 / 74$ | 1999-055 |
|  | 1163 | 095/ 74 | 1999-056 |
|  | 1164 | 050182 | 1999-057 |
|  | 1165 | 042/76 | 1999-058 |
|  | 1166 | 082/ 62 | 1999-059 |
|  | 1167 | 082/ 74 | 1999-060 |
|  | 1168 | 092/76 | 1999-061 |
|  | 1169 | 180/85 | 1999-062 |
|  | 1170 | $072 / 70$ | 1999-063 |
|  | 1171 | 070/70 | 1999-064 |
| II1 |  |  |  |
|  | 225 | 280/82 | 2000-063 |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 226 | 284/80 | 2000-063 |  |
|  | 227 | 280/88 | 2000-063 |  |
|  | 839 | 032/63 | 2000-272 |  |
|  | 840 | 038/69 | 2000-272 |  |
|  | 841 | 028/80 | 2000-272 |  |
|  | 842 | 045/86 | 2000-273 |  |
|  | 843 | 022/60 | 2000-273 | * |
|  | 844 | 041/74 | 2000-273 |  |
|  | 845 | 052/61 | 2000-274 |  |
|  | 846 | 042/77 | 2000-274 |  |
|  | 847 | 010/58 | 2000-274 |  |
|  | 848 | 032/66 | 2000-275 |  |
|  | 849 | 033/64 | 2000-275 |  |
|  | 850 | 025/81 | 2000-275 |  |
|  | 851 | 048/71 | 2000-276 |  |
|  | 852 | 052/66 | 2000-276 |  |
|  | 853 | 042/54 | 2000-276 |  |
|  | 854 | 228/61 | 2000-277 |  |
|  | 855 | 209/69 | 2000-277 |  |
|  | 856 | 214/67 | 2000-277 |  |
|  | 857 | 036/71 | 2000-278 |  |
|  | 858 | 039/63 | 2000-278 |  |
|  | 859 | 026/74 | 2000-278 |  |
|  | 860 | 040/81 | 2000-279 |  |
|  | 861 | 230/89 | 2000-279 |  |
|  | 862 | 018/69 | 2000-279 |  |
|  | 863 | 202/64 | 2000-280 |  |
|  | 864 | 194/35 | 2000-280 |  |
|  | 865 | $189 / 63$ | 2000-280 |  |
|  | 866 | 202/56 | 2000-280 |  |
|  | 867 | 211/63 | 2000-280 |  |
|  | 868 | 216/89 | 2000-281 |  |
|  | 869 | 190/60 | 2000-281 |  |
|  | 1018 | 000/59 | 2000-330 |  |
|  | 1019 | $020 / 79$ | 2000-330 |  |
|  | 1020 | 010/80 | 2000-330 |  |
|  | 1021 | 200/59 | 2000-331 |  |
|  | 1022 | 090160 | 2000-331 |  |
| II2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 006/65 | 2000-901 |  |
|  | 2 | 352/62 | 2000-901 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 030/ 72 | 2000-901 |  |
|  | 4 | 032/70 | 2000-901 |  |
|  | 5 | 352/56 | 2000-901 |  |
|  | 6 | 010/56 | 2000-901 |  |
|  | 7 | 358/55 | 2000-901 |  |
|  | 8 | 355/ 51 | 2000-901 |  |
|  | 53 | 190/60 | 2000-012 | - |
|  | 54 | 180/60 | 2000-012 |  |
|  | 55 | 200/72 | 2000-012 |  |
|  | 56 | 050/65 | 2000-013 |  |
|  | 57 | 052/62 | 2000-013 |  |
|  | 58 | 052/72 | 2000-013 |  |
|  | 135 | 165/86 | 2000-036 |  |
|  | 136 | 174/80 | 2000-036 |  |
|  | 137 | 160/80 | 2000-036 |  |
|  | 205 | 346/72 | 2000-057 |  |
|  | 206 | 351/72 | 2000-057 |  |
|  | 207 | $350 / 75$ | 2000-057 |  |
|  | 208 | 332/82 | 2000-057 |  |
|  | 209 | 000/61 | 2000-058 |  |
|  | 210 | 002/58 | 2000-058 |  |
|  | 211 | 004/60 | 2000-058 |  |
|  | 212 | 002/68 | 2000-059 |  |
|  | 213 | 352/72 | 2000-059 |  |
|  | 214 | 324/75 | 2000-059 |  |
|  | 215 | 000/65 | 2000-059 |  |
|  | 216 | 004/62 | 2000-060 |  |
|  | 217 | 010/65 | 2000-060 |  |
|  | 218 | 358/62 | 2000-060 |  |
|  | 219 | 020/45 | 2000-061 |  |
|  | 220 | 000/55 | 2000-061 |  |
|  | 221 | 010/ 45 | 2000-061 |  |
|  | 222 | 010/76 | 2000-062 |  |
|  | 223 | 358/71 | 2000-062 |  |
|  | 224 | 344/72 | 2000-062 |  |
|  | 228 | $350 / 70$ | 2000-064 |  |
|  | 229 | 000/69 | 2000-064 |  |
|  | 230 | $010 / 88$ | 2000-064 |  |
|  | 231 | 357/71 | 2000-064 |  |
|  | 232 | $310 / 80$ | 2000-065 |  |
|  | 233 | 342/88 | 2000-065 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 234 | 316/76 | 2000-065 |  |
|  | 235 | 340/80 | 2000-066 |  |
|  | 236 | 160/88 | 2000-066 |  |
|  | 237 | 340/82 | 2000-066 |  |
|  | 273 | 000/59 | 2000-078 |  |
|  | 274 | 356/66 | 2000-078 |  |
|  | 275 | 354/66 | 2000-078 | 4 |
|  | 420 | 212/76 | 2000-129 |  |
|  | 421 | 210/79 | 2000-129 |  |
|  | 422 | 212/84 | 2000-129 |  |
|  | 423 | 022/79 | 2000-130 |  |
|  | 424 | 030/81 | 2000-130 |  |
|  | 425 | 190/85 | 2000-130 |  |
|  | 426 | $350 / 78$ | 2000-131 |  |
|  | 427 | 022/74 | 2000-131 |  |
|  | 428 | 350/69 | 2000-131 |  |
|  | 765 | 044/88 | 2000-248 |  |
|  | 766 | 042/76 | 2000-248 |  |
|  | 767 | 049/80 | 2000-248 |  |
|  | 768 | 213/76 | 2000-249 |  |
|  | 769 | 222/81 | 2000-249 |  |
|  | 770 | 044/72 | 2000-249 |  |
|  | 794 | 123/84 | 2000-257 |  |
|  | 795 | 134/89 | 2000-257 |  |
|  | 796 | 148/80 | 2000-257 |  |
|  | 797 | 132/78 | 2000-258 |  |
|  | 798 | 121/86 | 2000-258 |  |
|  | 799 | 114/71 | 2000-258 |  |
|  | 800 | 231/81 | 2000-259 |  |
|  | 801 | 221/81 | 2000-259 |  |
|  | 802 | 221/72 | 2000-259 |  |
|  | 818 | 002/86 | 2000-265 |  |
|  | 819 | 010/81 | 2000-265 |  |
|  | 820 | $022 / 71$ | 2000-265 |  |
|  | 821 | $000 / 70$ | 2000-266 |  |
|  | 822 | $010 / 70$ | 2000-266 |  |
|  | 823 | $350 / 89$ | 2000-266 |  |
|  | 824 | 152/71 | 2000-267 |  |
|  | 825 | $356 / 71$ | 2000-267 |  |
|  | 826 | 338/40 | 2000-267 |  |
|  | 833 | 221/88 | 2000-270 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 834 | 220/84 | 2000-270 |  |
|  | 835 | $217 / 50$ | 2000-270 |  |
|  | 836 | 170/50 | 2000-271 |  |
|  | 837 | 201/ 50 | 2000-271 |  |
|  | 838 | 184/56 | 2000-271 |  |
|  | 904 | 000/81 | 2000-292 |  |
|  | 905 | 356/84 | 2000-292 | 6 |
|  | 906 | 004/84 | 2000-292 |  |
|  | 907 | 000/76 | 2000-293 |  |
|  | 908 | 004/69 | 2000-293 |  |
|  | 909 | 004/89 | 2000-293 |  |
|  | 910 | 358/69 | 2000-294 |  |
|  | 911 | $359 / 75$ | 2000-294 |  |
|  | 912 | 348/71 | 2000-294 |  |
|  | 913 | 350/66 | 2000-295 |  |
|  | 914 | 352/66 | 2000-295 |  |
|  | 915 | 344/63 | 2000-295 |  |
|  | 916 | 000175 | 2000-296 |  |
|  | 917 | 010/81 | 2000-296 |  |
|  | 918 | 010/79 | 2000-296 |  |
|  | 919 | 008/86 | 2000-297 |  |
|  | 920 | 002/81 | 2000-297 |  |
|  | 921 | 026/86 | 2000-297 |  |
|  | 922 | 358/86 | 2000-298 |  |
|  | 923 | 352/81 | 2000-298 |  |
|  | 924 | 350/74 | 2000-298 |  |
|  | 925 | $008 / 71$ | 2000-299 |  |
|  | 926 | 009/79 | 2000-299 |  |
|  | 927 | 000/62 | 2000-299 |  |
|  | 928 | 032/86 | 2000-300 |  |
|  | 929 | 010/79 | 2000-300 |  |
|  | 930 | 010/79 | 2000-300 |  |
|  | 931 | 252/ 57 | 2000-301 |  |
|  | 932 | 254/77 | 2000-301 |  |
|  | 933 | 240/68 | 2000-301 |  |
|  | 934 | 344/59 | 2000-302 |  |
|  | 935 | 012/ 66 | 2000-302 |  |
|  | 936 | 358/53 | 2000-302 |  |
|  | 937 | $030 / 56$ | 2000-303 |  |
|  | 938 | 340/66 | 2000-303 |  |
|  | 939 | 008/64 | 2000-303 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 940 | 019/54 | 2000-304 |  |
|  | 941 | 006/51 | 2000-304 |  |
|  | 942 | 030/ 54 | 2000-304 |  |
|  | 943 | 350/64 | 2000-305 |  |
|  | 944 | 009/64 | 2000-305 |  |
|  | 945 | 346/64 | 2000-305 |  |
|  | 946 | $350 / 63$ | 2000-306 | $\leqslant$ |
|  | 947 | 318/61 | 2000-306 |  |
|  | 948 | 342/59 | 2000-306 |  |
| II3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27 | $240 / 70$ | 2000-004 |  |
|  | 28 | 250/ 64 | 2000-004 |  |
|  | 29 | 242/72 | 2000-004 |  |
|  | 30 | 242/72 | 2000-004 |  |
|  | 31 | 184/38 | 2000-005 |  |
|  | 32 | 160/55 | 2000-005 |  |
|  | 33 | 107/ 68 | 2000-005 |  |
|  | 34 | 169/55 | 2000-005 |  |
|  | 35 | 185/43 | 2000-005 |  |
|  | 36 | 230/85 | 2000-006 |  |
|  | 37 | 218/85 | 2000-006 |  |
|  | 38 | 250/82 | 2000-006 |  |
|  | 39 | 250/82 | 2000-006 |  |
|  | 40 | 240/75 | 2000-006 |  |
|  | 41 | 219/75 | 2000-007 |  |
|  | 42 | 030/87 | 2000-007 |  |
|  | 43 | 224/78 | 2000-007 |  |
|  | 44 | 242/50 | 2000-008 |  |
|  | 45 | 250/75 | 2000-008 |  |
|  | 46 | 232/76 | 2000-008 |  |
|  | 47 | 234/82 | 2000-008 |  |
|  | 48 | 052/78 | 2000-008 |  |
|  | 49 | 042/ 56 | 2000-008 |  |
|  | 50 | 235/36 | 2000-010 |  |
|  | 51 | 310/75 | 2000-011 |  |
|  | 52 | 300/80 | 2000-011 |  |
|  | 248 | 030/68 | 2000-070 |  |
|  | 249 | 052/70 | 2000-070 |  |
|  | 250 | $070 / 68$ | 2000-070 |  |
|  | 251 | 072/76 | 2000-070 |  |
|  | 429 | 230/81 | 2000-132 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 430 | $220 / 71$ | 2000-132 |  |
|  | 431 | 222/79 | 2000-132 |  |
|  | 530 | 224/83 | 2000-168 |  |
|  | 531 | 198/83 | 2000-168 |  |
|  | 532 | $240 / 84$ | 2000-168 |  |
|  | 533 | 222/85 | 2000-169 |  |
|  | 534 | 212/60 | 2000-169 | 4 |
|  | 535 | $216 / 77$ | 2000-169 |  |
|  | 545 | 212/88 | 2000-172 |  |
|  | 546 | 214/86 | 2000-172 |  |
|  | 547 | 216/78 | 2000-172 |  |
|  | 548 | 257/84 | 2000-173 |  |
|  | 549 | 232/64 | 2000-173 |  |
|  | 550 | 228/74 | 2000-173 |  |
|  | 551 | 238/84 | 2000-174 |  |
|  | 552 | 056/88 | 2000-174 |  |
|  | 553 | 244/84 | 2000-174 |  |
|  | 566 | 054/84 | 2000-179 |  |
|  | 567 | 052/84 | 2000-179 |  |
|  | 568 | 038/76 | 2000-179 |  |
|  | 569 | 228/84 | 2000-180 |  |
|  | 570 | 236/76 | 2000-180 |  |
|  | 571 | 224/86 | 2000-180 |  |
|  | 572 | 050/88 | 2000-181 |  |
|  | 573 | 245/80 | 2000-181 |  |
|  | 574 | 246/88 | 2000-181 |  |
|  | 575 | 230/76 | 2000-182 |  |
|  | 576 | 222/66 | 2000-182 |  |
|  | 577 | 218/80 | 2000-182 |  |
|  | 626 | 064/79 | 2000-200 |  |
|  | 627 | 064/86 | 2000-200 |  |
|  | 628 | 062/74 | 2000-200 |  |
|  | 635 | 114/41 | 2000-203 |  |
|  | 636 | 108/40 | 2000-203 |  |
|  | 637 | 107/44 | 2000-203 |  |
|  | 747 | 249/86 | 2000-242 |  |
|  | 748 | 049/86 | 2000-242 |  |
|  | 749 | 242/84 | 2000-242 |  |
|  | 750 | 211/86 | 2000-243 |  |
|  | 751 | 030/81 | 2000-243 |  |
|  | 752 | 060/89 | 2000-243 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 753 | 193/80 | 2000-244 |  |
|  | 754 | 199/70 | 2000-244 |  |
|  | 755 | 190/81 | 2000-244 |  |
|  | 756 | 221/ 59 | 2000-245 |  |
|  | 757 | 214/73 | 2000-245 |  |
|  | 758 | 236/66 | 2000-245 |  |
|  | 759 | 241/59 | 2000-246 | 1 |
|  | 760 | 246/60 | 2000-246 |  |
|  | 761 | 232/59 | 2000-246 |  |
|  | 762 | 240/69 | 2000-247 |  |
|  | 763 | 242/60 | 2000-247 |  |
|  | 764 | 229167 | 2000-247 |  |
|  | 771 | 049/86 | 2000-250 |  |
|  | 772 | 054/77 | 2000-250 |  |
|  | 773 | 059/81 | 2000-250 |  |
|  | 774 | $219 / 76$ | 2000-251 |  |
|  | 775 | 224/68 | 2000-251 |  |
|  | 776 | 204/71 | 2000-251 |  |
|  | 777 | 237/83 | 2000-252 |  |
|  | 778 | 241/79 | 2000-252 |  |
|  | 779 | 242/66 | 2000-252 |  |
|  | 780 | $032 / 76$ | 2000-253 |  |
|  | 781 | 216/87 | 2000-253 |  |
|  | 782 | 231/74 | 2000-254 |  |
|  | 783 | 051/81 | 2000-254 |  |
|  | 784 | 210/89 | 2000-254 |  |
|  | 785 | 220/69 | 2000-255 |  |
|  | 786 | 188/84 | 2000-255 |  |
|  | 787 | 214/83 | 2000-255 |  |
|  | 788 | 041/76 | 2000-256 |  |
|  | 789 | 034/84 | 2000-256 |  |
|  | 790 | 051/83 | 2000-256 |  |
|  | 791 | $242 / 71$ | 2000-256 |  |
|  | 792 | 221/68 | 2000-256 |  |
|  | 793 | 219/ 66 | 2000-256 |  |
|  | 803 | 219178 | 2000-260 |  |
|  | 804 | 234/86 | 2000-260 |  |
|  | 805 | 232/86 | 2000-260 |  |
|  | 806 | 021/88 | 2000-261 |  |
|  | 807 | 211/86 | 2000-261 |  |
|  | 808 | 031/79 | 2000-261 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 809 | 232/69 | 2000-262 |  |
|  | 810 | 214/81 | 2000-262 |  |
|  | 811 | 230/68 | 2000-262 |  |
|  | 812 | 222/63 | 2000-263 |  |
|  | 813 | 224/76 | 2000-263 |  |
|  | 814 | 230/69 | 2000-263 |  |
|  | 815 | 092/86 | 2000-264 | - |
|  | 816 | 244/83 | 2000-264 |  |
|  | 817 | 229184 | 2000-264 |  |
|  | 827 | 170/71 | 2000-268 |  |
|  | 828 | 170/76 | 2000-268 |  |
|  | 829 | 180/79 | 2000-268 |  |
|  | 830 | 182/35 | 2000-269 |  |
|  | 831 | 164/35 | 2000-269 |  |
|  | 832 | 160/35 | 2000-269 |  |
| II4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 738 | 238/79 | 2000-239 |  |
|  | 739 | 206/64 | 2000-239 |  |
|  | 740 | 008/74 | 2000-239 |  |
|  | 741 | 039/86 | 2000-240 |  |
|  | 742 | $039 / 71$ | 2000-240 |  |
|  | 1093 | $080 / 76$ | 1999-001 |  |
|  | 1094 | 110/72 | 1999-001 |  |
|  | 1095 | 105/ 55 | 1999-002 |  |
|  | 1096 | 098/78 | 1999-003 |  |
|  | 1097 | 104/80 | 1999-003 |  |
|  | 1098 | 296/74 | 1999-004 |  |
|  | 1099 | 094/83 | 1999-005 |  |
|  | 1100 | 108/80 | 1999-006 |  |
|  | 1101 | 215/55 | 1999-007 |  |
|  | 1102 | $250 / 48$ | 1999-008 |  |
|  | 1103 | 130/80 | 1999-009 |  |
|  | 1104 | 106/78 | 1999-010 |  |
|  | 1105 | 285/76 | 1999-010 |  |
|  | 1106 | 200/48 | 1999-011 |  |
|  | 1107 | 082/ 64 | 1999-012 |  |
|  | 1108 | 275/75 | 1999-012 |  |
|  | 1109 | 114/85 | 1999-013 |  |
|  | 1110 | 264/78 | 1999-014 |  |
|  | 1111 | 285/30 | 1999-015 |  |
|  | 1112 | 118/70 | 1999-016 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1114 | 018/90 | 1999-018 |  |
|  | 1115 | 232/54 | 1999-019 |  |
|  | 1117 | 303/80 | 1999-021 |  |
|  | 1158 | 098/72 | 1999-051 |  |
|  | 1159 | 110/58 | 1999-052 |  |
| III |  |  |  |  |
|  | 338 | 150/69 | 2000-100 | 1 |
|  | 339 | 140/64 | 2000-100 |  |
|  | 340 | 142/64 | 2000-100 |  |
| III2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 295 | 020/70 | 2000-085 |  |
|  | 296 | 030/85 | 2000-085 |  |
|  | 297 | 018/69 | 2000-085 |  |
|  | 298 | 040/82 | 2000-086 |  |
|  | 299 | 042/76 | 2000-086 |  |
|  | 300 | 045/86 | 2000-086 |  |
|  | 301 | 100/80 | 2000-087 |  |
|  | 302 | 080/70 | 2000-087 |  |
|  | 303 | 080/69 | 2000-087 |  |
|  | 304 | $260 / 80$ | 2000-088 |  |
|  | 305 | 248/88 | 2000-088 |  |
|  | 306 | 040188 | 2000-089 |  |
|  | 307 | 038/88 | 2000-089 |  |
|  | 308 | $270 / 76$ | 2000-089 |  |
|  | 318 | 034/82 | 2000-093 |  |
|  | 319 | 042/80 | 2000-093 |  |
|  | 320 | 250182 | 2000-093 |  |
|  | 344 | 060/88 | 2000-102 |  |
|  | 345 | 070/85 | 2000-102 |  |
|  | 346 | 240/85 | 2000-102 |  |
|  | 361 | 192/82 | 2000-109 |  |
|  | 362 | 192/80 | 2000-109 |  |
|  | 363 | 208/82 | 2000-109 |  |
|  | 364 | 190/80 | 2000-110 |  |
|  | 365 | 192/88 | 2000-110 |  |
|  | 366 | 010/86 | 2000-110 |  |
|  | 367 | 210/79 | 2000-111 |  |
|  | 368 | 212/76 | 2000-111 |  |
|  | 369 | 182/74 | 2000-111 |  |
|  | 393 | 040/81 | 2000-120 |  |
|  | 394 | 050/84 | 2000-120 |  |



| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 309 | 080/69 | 2000-090 |  |
|  | 310 | 078/88 | 2000-090 |  |
|  | 311 | 250/88 | 2000-091 |  |
|  | 312 | 250/88 | 2000-091 |  |
|  | 313 | 042/82 | 2000-091 |  |
|  | 314 | 074/74 | 2000-091 |  |
|  | 315 | 048/85 | 2000-092 |  |
|  | 316 | 064/82 | 2000-092 |  |
|  | 317 | 062/ 76 | 2000-092 |  |
|  | 327 | 062/64 | 2000-096 |  |
|  | 328 | 072/ 71 | 2000-096 |  |
|  | 329 | 072/ 62 | 2000-096 |  |
|  | 330 | 050/76 | 2000-097 |  |
|  | 331 | 080/ 74 | 2000-097 |  |
|  | 332 | 054/ 66 | 2000-097 |  |
|  | 333 | 145/56 | 2000-098 |  |
|  | 334 | 100/60 | 2000-098 |  |
|  | 335 | 094/60 | 2000-099 |  |
|  | 336 | 130/69 | 2000-099 |  |
|  | 337 | 114/68 | 2000-099 |  |
|  | 347 | 158/86 | 2000-103 |  |
|  | 348 | 140/89 | 2000-103 |  |
|  | 349 | 142/79 | 2000-103 |  |
|  | 350 | 050/84 | 2000-104 |  |
|  | 351 | 130/55 | 2000-105 |  |
|  | 352 | 125/68 | 2000-105 |  |
|  | 353 | 114/55 | 2000-105 |  |
|  | 453 | 070/60 | 2000-141 |  |
|  | 454 | 081/ 64 | 2000-141 |  |
|  | 455 | 084/60 | 2000-141 |  |
|  | 465 | 053/ 71 | 2000-145 |  |
|  | 466 | 041/81 | 2000-145 |  |
|  | 467 | 046/ 72 | 2000-145 |  |
|  | 468 | 132/41 | 2000-146 |  |
|  | 469 | 099/ 54 | 2000-146 |  |
|  | 470 | 122/60 | 2000-146 |  |
|  | 471 | 332/88 | 2000-147 |  |
|  | 472 | 166/78 | 2000-147 |  |
|  | 473 | 338/81 | 2000-147 |  |
|  | 964 | 221/74 | 2000-312 |  |
|  | 965 | 229/84 | 2000-312 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 966 | $216 / 69$ | 2000-312 |  |
|  | 970 | 061/76 | 2000-314 |  |
|  | 971 | 059/84 | 2000-314 |  |
|  | 972 | $048 / 87$ | 2000-314 |  |
|  | 973 | 242/84 | 2000-315 |  |
|  | 974 | $250 / 82$ | 2000-315 |  |
|  | 975 | 060/83 | 2000-315 | 1 |
|  | 976 | 051/86 | 2000-316 |  |
|  | 977 | 243/79 | 2000-316 |  |
|  | 978 | 071/89 | 2000-316 |  |
|  | 979 | 074/81 | 2000-317 |  |
|  | 980 | 078/76 | 2000-317 |  |
|  | 981 | 240/86 | 2000-317 |  |
|  | 982 | 074/86 | 2000-318 |  |
|  | 983 | 057/76 | 2000-318 |  |
|  | 984 | 071/86 | 2000-318 |  |
|  | 985 | 072/81 | 2000-319 |  |
|  | 986 | 058/80 | 2000-319 |  |
|  | 987 | 054/76 | 2000-319 |  |

III4

| 456 | $122 / 60$ | $2000-142$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 457 | $130 / 69$ | $2000-142$ |
| 458 | $128 / 46$ | $2000-142$ |
| 459 | $121 / 61$ | $2000-143$ |
| 460 | $118 / 73$ | $2000-143$ |
| 461 | $128 / 59$ | $2000-143$ |
| 462 | $333 / 64$ | $2000-144$ |
| 463 | $351 / 34$ | $2000-144$ |
| 464 | $010 / 40$ | $2000-144$ |
| 490 | $231 / 60$ | $2000-154$ |
| 491 | $238 / 64$ | $2000-154$ |
| 492 | $234 / 61$ | $2000-154$ |
| 493 | $238 / 66$ | $2000-155$ |
| 494 | $252 / 64$ | $2000-155$ |
| 495 | $254 / 64$ | $2000-155$ |
| 496 | $283 / 84$ | $2000-156$ |
| 497 | $258 / 87$ | $2000-156$ |
| 498 | $110 / 89$ | $2000-156$ |
| 499 | $292 / 84$ | $2000-157$ |
| 500 | $268 / 81$ | $2000-157$ |
| 501 | $104 / 87$ | $2000-157$ |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 502 | 242/79 | 2000-158 |  |
|  | 503 | 160/85 | 2000-158 |  |
|  | 504 | 030/61 | 2000-159 |  |
|  | 505 | 044/79 | 2000-159 |  |
|  | 506 | 060/71 | 2000-159 |  |
|  | 507 | 149/71 | 2000-160 |  |
|  | 508 | $169 / 66$ | 2000-160 | 1 |
|  | 509 | 158/81 | 2000-160 |  |
| IV3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 435 | $160 / 74$ | 2000-134 |  |
|  | 436 | 010/73 | 2000-135 |  |
|  | 437 | 010/88 | 2000-135 |  |
|  | 438 | $020 / 88$ | 2000-135 |  |
|  | 439 | 190/76 | 2000-136 |  |
|  | 440 | $160 / 41$ | 2000-136 |  |
|  | 441 | 200/ 60 | 2000-136 |  |
|  | 442 | 070/40 | 2000-137 |  |
|  | 443 | 062/45 | 2000-137 |  |
|  | 444 | 090/ 51 | 2000-137 |  |
|  | 445 | 112/74 | 2000-138 |  |
|  | 446 | 112/66 | 2000-138 |  |
|  | 447 | 124/74 | 2000-138 |  |
|  | 448 | 282/45 | 2000-139 |  |
|  | 449 | $250 / 60$ | 2000-139 |  |
|  | 450 | 190/32 | 2000-140 |  |
|  | 451 | 170/54 | 2000-140 |  |
|  | 452 | 200/69 | 2000-140 |  |
|  | 474 | 192/79 | 2000-148 |  |
|  | 475 | 196/83 | 2000-148 |  |
|  | 476 | 184/85 | 2000-148 |  |
|  | 477 | 081/60 | 2000-149 |  |
|  | 478 | 064/56 | 2000-150 |  |
|  | 479 | 062/63 | 2000-150 |  |
|  | 480 | 056/60 | 2000-150 |  |
|  | 481 | 271/83 | 2000-151 |  |
|  | 482 | 252/69 | 2000-151 |  |
|  | 483 | 094/64 | 2000-151 |  |
|  | 484 | 208/84 | 2000-152 |  |
|  | 485 | 219/86 | 2000-152 |  |
|  | 486 | 208/89 | 2000-152 |  |


| Subarea | dike \# | Strike Dip | From Outcrop\# |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 487 | $028 / 81$ | $2000-153$ |  |
|  | 488 | $022 / 71$ | $2000-153$ |
|  | 489 | $204 / 89$ | $2000-153$ |

## Appendix C: AMS Data



A

| DG 369 A | 321.6 | 3.149270 | 229.7 | 31.2 | 49706 | 56.7 | 58.7 | 49991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DG 369 B | 298.7 | 6.362361 | 199 | 56.8 | 62845 | 32.7 | 32.4 | 63190 |
| DG 370 A | 280.5 | 35.326634 | 95.6 | 54.6 | 27313 | 188.9 | 2.3 | 27408 |
| DG 370 B | 280.6 | 36.426537 | 118.8 | 52.2 | 27365 | 17.2 | 8.9 | 27448 |
| DG 371 A | 316.2 | 28.4515 .3 | 61.7 | 26.4 | 516.7 | 187 | 49.4 | 520.2 |
| DG 371 B | 343 | 17.4696 .1 | 240.9 | 33.7 | 698.5 | 95.7 | 50.9 | 700.6 |
| DG 371 C | 242.4 | 75.3473 .9 | 51.6 | 14.4 | 474.4 | 142.3 | 2.8 | 475.9 |
| DG 371 D | 292.1 | 57.9584 | 180 | 13.2 | 586.1 | 82.6 | 28.7 | 587 |
| DG 372 A | 214.9 | 6.733511 | 313.4 | 51.5 | 33629 | 119.7 | 37.7 | 34029 |
| DG 372 B | 241.4 | 60.719938 | 47.9 | 28.6 | 20030 | 141 | 5.8 | 20168 |
| DG 372 C | 191.7 | $\begin{array}{lll}79.2 & 10489\end{array}$ | 69.9 | 5.8 | 10600 | 339 | 9.2 | 10728 |
| DG 372 D | 35.3 | 8.627342 | 291.7 | 57.3 | 27553 | 130.6 | 31.3 | 27716 |
| DG 373 A | 349.1 | 15.962823 | 245.9 | 38.8 | 63525 | 96.9 | 46.9 | 64006 |
| DG 373 B | 344.5 | 19.163690 | 234.4 | 44.9 | 64335 | 90.8 | 39 | 64821 |
| DG 374 A | 250 | 41.5888479 | 356.9 | 18.2 | 89577 | 104.7 | 42.9 | 89993 |
| DG 374 B | 242.9 | 48.9101530 | 356.7 | 19.4 | 102300 | 100.8 | 34.5 | 103120 |
| DG 374 C | 263 | 62110570 | 23.1 | 15 | 111470 | 119.7 | 23.1 | 112330 |
| DG 374 D | 249.6 | 54.3118660 | 8.7 | 19.3 | 119330 | 109.7 | 28.8 | 120500 |
| DG 375 A | 253.2 | $\begin{array}{lll}57.8 & 88130\end{array}$ | 10.1 | 15.9 | 89142 | 108.6 | 27.2 | 89402 |
| DG 375 C | 260.3 | 50.191092 | 10.8 | 16.3 | 92070 | 112.7 | 35.2 | 92355 |
| DG 375 D | 265.5 | 53.792678 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 93854 | 98.8 | 35.6 | 94075 |

B

| DG 290 A | 69.7 | 8.1 | 53145 | 298.1 | 78 | 53636 | 161 | 8.9 | 53798 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DG 291 A | 244.3 | 21.9 | 72923 | 147 | 17.6 | 73796 | 21.5 | 61.3 | 74298 |
| DG 291 B | 258.8 | 14.6 | 67332 | 165.4 | 12.8 | 67879 | 35.9 | 70.4 | 68005 |
| DG 291 C | 258.2 | 23 | 68307 | 155.3 | 27.9 | 69193 | 21.8 | 52.5 | 69469 |
| DG 292 A | 243.7 | 41.9 | 74156 | 335.3 | 1.9 | 75487 | 67.4 | 48 | 76306 |
| DG 292 C | 261.9 | 48.6 | 74172 | 1.8 | 8.6 | 75896 | 99.1 | 40.1 | 76841 |
| DG 292 D | 263.7 | 41.8 | 78720 | 356.2 | 2.7 | 80145 | 89.2 | 48.1 | 81180 |
| DG 293 A | 258.4 | 14.9 | 76851 | 166.7 | 6.3 | 79672 | 54.5 | 73.8 | 80946 |
| DG 293 B | 255.3 | 24.1 | 74678 | 345.7 | 0.9 | 77458 | 77.8 | 65.9 | 78805 |
| DG 293 C | 232.7 | 14.7 | 76338 | 325 | 8.7 | 78807 | 84.8 | 72.8 | 80143 |
| DG 294 A | 2.4 | 6.1 | 584.7 | 269.2 | 27.9 | 592.7 | 103.7 | 61.3 | 596.2 |
| DG 294 B | 352.4 | 10.7 | 554.7 | 259.3 | 16.1 | 562.8 | 114.7 | 70.5 | 567.6 |

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. int. | dec. | inc. | int. | dec. | $k_{\text {max }}$ inc. | int. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 294 C | 350.5 | 7.5 550 | 256.9 | 25.5 | 553.8 | 95.7 | 63.2 | 555.8 |
|  | DG 294 D | 335.7 | $13 \quad 573$ | 239 | 26.6 | 578.6 | 89.3 | 59.9 | 580.4 |
|  | DG 295 A | 8.4 | 3.2617 .1 | 278.2 | 4.6 | 619.6 | 133.2 | 84.4 | 622 |
|  | DG 295 B | 348.8 | $14.5 \quad 603.6$ | 82.7 | 15.1 | 606.6 | 216.9 | 68.9 | 610.5 |
|  | DG 295 C | 346.7 | $11.7 \quad 592.8$ | 256.6 | 0.3 | 593.6 | 165.4 | 78.3 | 594.4 |
|  | DG 296 A | 212.9 | 3752467 | 332 | 32.8 | 52607 | 89.8 | 35.9 | 52812 |
|  | DG 296 B | 277.3 | 67.747696 | 35.9 | 11.1 | 47922 | 129.7 | 19.1 | 48164 |
|  | DG 297 A | 68.4 | 31.746937 | 322.1 | 24.6 | 47168 | 201.6 | 48 | 47409 |
|  | DG 297 B | 69.6 | 28.347789 | 257.1 | 61.5 | 48200 | 161.3 | 3.1 | 48445 |
|  | DG 297 C | 234 | 28.645818 | 83.9 | 57.9 | 46057 | 331.5 | 13.4 | 46468 |
|  | DG 297 D | 65.2 | 22.346541 | 303.5 | 52.1 | 46873 | 168.3 | 28.9 | 47180 |
|  | DG 365 A | 108.8 | 20.478256 | 6.9 | 28.9 | 79675 | 228.8 | 53.4 | 80359 |
|  | DG 365 B | 114.7 | 24.279567 | 22.7 | 4.4 | 80633 | 283 | 65.4 | 81325 |
|  | DG 366 A | 117.8 | 45.148580 | 254.9 | 36.1 | 49399 | 2.8 | 22.8 | 50121 |
|  | DG 366 B | 106.9 | 4242394 | 227 | 29.1 | 43105 | 339.2 | 34.2 | 43596 |
|  | DG 366 C | 99.9 | 32.154759 | 241.1 | 51.1 | 55584 | 357.1 | 19.5 | 56051 |
|  | DG 366 D | 116.6 | 41.936453 | 241.6 | 32.6 | 37365 | 354.1 | 30.9 | 37879 |
|  | DG 376 A | 301.7 | 6.923173 | 197.4 | 63.8 | 23402 | 34.9 | 25.2 | 23424 |
|  | DG 376 B | 307.6 | 4.725665 | 208.5 | 62.7 | 25847 | 39.9 | 26.8 | 25949 |
|  | DG 376 C | 302 | 4.926258 | 208.9 | 33 | 26525 | 39.4 | 56.5 | 26590 |
|  | DG 376 D | 300.8 | 4.424892 | 203.8 | 58.1 | 25066 | 33.5 | 31.5 | 25191 |
|  | DG 377 A | 293.4 | 2.48328 .6 | 189.5 | 79.9 | 8352 | 23.8 | 9.8 | 8370.8 |
|  | DG 377 B | 129.2 | 30.77463 .6 | 356.8 | 48.6 | 7484.6 | 235.1 | 24.8 | 7500.8 |
|  | DG 378 A | 241.1 | 4.376396 | 342.8 | 69.7 | 76905 | 149.5 | 19.8 | 77211 |
|  | DG 378 B | 238.2 | 30.977761 | 20.1 | 52.8 | 78114 | 136.5 | 18.7 | 78745 |
|  | DG 379 A | 4.5 | 7.325687 | 98 | 25.7 | 26015 | 259.9 | 63.1 | 26277 |
|  | DG 379 B | 185.4 | 11.142132 | 90.9 | 21.6 | 42786 | 300.8 | 65.4 | 42838 |
|  | DG 379 C | 181.3 | 2.352799 | 88.7 | 48.5 | 53411 | 273.3 | 41.4 | 54008 |
|  | DG 380 A | 17.4 | 13.59187 .4 | 252.1 | 67.4 | 9203.6 | 111.8 | 17.8 | 9224.7 |
|  | DG 380 B | 7 | 1.39912 .9 | 98.5 | 50.3 | 9983.3 | 275.9 | 39.7 | 10034 |
|  | DG 380 C | 337.2 | 25.35484 .3 | 241.5 | 11.9 | 5491.5 | 128.5 | 61.7 | 5515.6 |
|  | DG 381 A | 243.9 | 82.742210 | 74.7 | 7.2 | 42312 | 344.6 | 1.4 | 42558 |
|  | DG 381 B | 248 | 82.242226 | 43.9 | 7.1 | 42417 | 134.3 | 3.2 | 42672 |
|  | DG 382 A | 261.3 | 428062.3 | 355.6 | 4.7 | 8163.1 | 90.8 | 47.6 | 8435.3 |
|  | DG 382 B | 259.9 | 41.79605 .2 | 350.1 | 0.2 | 9698.8 | 80.3 | 48.3 | 10016 |
|  | DG 383 A | 16.3 | 12153.7 | 106.6 | 20.9 | 2154.8 | 283.7 | 69.1 | 2185.4 |
|  | DG 383 B | 184.8 | 14.92071 .6 | 89.3 | 20 | 2075.3 | 309 | 64.7 | 2092.3 |
|  | DG 383 C | 165.3 | 182776.6 | 69.6 | 16.8 | 2789.9 | 299.4 | 64.9 | 2823.5 |

## * AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. | int. | dec. | inc. | int. | dec. | $k_{\text {max }}$ inc. | int. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 384 A | 178.5 | 44.46 | 65521 | 26.3 | 42.1 | 66436 | 283 | 14.3 | 67466 |  |
|  | DG 384 B | 171.9 | 48.56 | 63169 | 18.7 | 38.3 | 63963 | 277.7 | 13.6 | 64758 |  |
|  | DG 384 C | 165 | 67.36 | 60514 | 8.1 | 21.1 | 62053 | 275 | 8.1 | 62665 |  |
|  | DG 385 A | 19.8 | 30.33 | 31440 | 119 | 15.3 | 31665 | 232.2 | 55.3 | 31989 |  |
|  | DG 385 B | 20 | 24.4 | 43315 | 112.2 | 4.8 | 43746 | 212.6 | 65.1 | 44060 |  |
|  | DG 386 A | 45.3 | 42.6 | 94405 | 170.7 | 32.2 | 94942 | 282.5 | 30.6 | 95846 |  |
|  | DG 386 A | 199.8 | 28.4 | 43004 | 300.2 | 18.4 | 43334 | 58.8 | 55.2 | 43665 |  |
|  | DG 386 B | 198 | 25.6 | 40824 | 299.3 | 22.3 | 41111 | 65 | 54.9 | 41423 |  |
|  | DG 386 C | 220.2 | 36.6 | 44436 | 312.4 | 3 | 44708 | 46.5 | 53.3 | 45095 |  |
|  | DG 386 D | 226.5 | 30.73 | 32985 | 317.9 | 2.3 | 33215 | 51.8 | 59.2 | 33459 |  |
|  | DG 387 B | 11.6 | 249 | 93357 | 134.3 | 50.6 | 94061 | 267.2 | 29.2 | 94395 |  |
|  | DG 387 C | 28 | 32.9 | 95855 | 148.1 | 37.8 | 96407 | 271 | 35 | 96825 |  |
|  | DG 387 D | 15.2 | 30.78 | 87600 | 141.8 | 45.2 | 87975 | 265.9 | 29.2 | 88588 |  |
|  | DG 388 A | 206.2 | 176 | 64173 | 351 | 69.5 | 64496 | 112.7 | 11.1 | 65130 |  |
|  | DG 388 B | 217.7 | 265 | 56626 | 345.9 | 51.7 | 56850 | 114 | 26 | 57083 |  |
|  | DG 388 C | 206.1 | 46.35 | 56715 | 18.6 | 43.4 | 56930 | 112.1 | 3.8 | 57371 |  |
|  | DG 389 A | 221.8 | 11.36 | 64405 | 314.2 | 11.8 | 65007 | 89.3 | 73.5 | 65461 |  |
|  | DG 389 B | 203.3 | 22.17 | 74561 | 325.4 | 52.6 | 75099 | 100.6 | 28.5 | 75522 |  |
|  | DG 389 C | 208.4 | 167 | 78011 | 310.2 | 35.4 | 78305 | 98.4 | 50.1 | 78951 |  |
|  | DG 389 D | 221.2 | 19.37 | 78048 | 326.2 | 36.5 | 78530 | 109.1 | 47.1 | 79034 |  |
|  | DG 390 A | 318.7 | 67.74 | 48362 | 49.5 | 0.3 | 48911 | 139.6 | 22.3 | 49086 |  |
|  | DG 390 B | 310.9 | 54.75 | 54118 | 211.5 | 6.6 | 54581 | 117 | 34.5 | 54795 |  |
|  | DG 390 C | 325.9 | 52.35 | 53352 | 224 | 9 | 54246 | 127.4 | 36.2 | 54353 |  |
|  | DG 390 D | 314.1 | 40.35 | 53465 | 192.5 | 31.7 | 53932 | 78.4 | 33.6 | 54054 |  |
|  | DG 390 E | 316.4 | 46.15 | 53396 | 222.7 | 3.6 | 53956 | 129.2 | 43.7 | 54064 |  |
|  | DG 391 A | 255.8 | 545 | 56415 | 350.6 | 3.5 | 56725 | 83.1 | 35.7 | 57244 |  |
|  | DG 391 B | 270.8 | 47.74 | 46521 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 46763 | 91.5 | 42.3 | 47305 |  |
|  | DG 391 C | 291.2 | 45.25 | 52145 | 185.5 | 15.1 | 52243 | 82 | 40.9 | 52648 |  |
|  | DG 392 A | 207.9 | 9.33 | 32732 | 300.2 | 13.7 | 32894 | 84.6 | 73.3 | 33158 |  |
|  | DG 392 B | 227 | 19.23 | 31616 | 332.1 | 36.9 | 31782 | 115.2 | 46.8 | 31979 |  |
|  | DG 392 C | 20.4 | 1.43 | 31472 | 289.9 | 18.9 | 31632 | 114.5 | 71 | 31902 |  |
|  | DG 392 D | 218.9 | 14.83 | 31984 | 319 | 33.6 | 32266 | 108.8 | 52.4 | 32430 |  |
|  | DG 393 A | 309.8 | 6.43 | 36336 | 45.9 | 43.2 | 36445 | 213 | 46.1 | 37055 |  |
|  | DG 393 B | 308.5 | 2.93 | 32335 | 42.2 | 52.2 | 32464 | 216.3 | 37.7 | 32873 |  |
|  | DG 393 C | 322.1 | 22.23 | 37582 | 75.9 | 44.6 | 37671 | 214.1 | 37.1 | 38199 |  |
|  | DG 393 D | 320.8 | 4.13 | 33338 | 53.6 | 35.1 | 33475 | 225 | 54.6 | 33923 |  |
|  | DG 394 A | 4.2 | 38.211 | 111270 | 229.3 | 41.9 | 111890 | 115.2 | 24.5 | 112200 |  |
|  | DG 395 A | 0.2 | 17.941 | 4170.8 | 266.1 | 12.3 | 4300.6 | 143.5 | 68 | 4304.4 |  |

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. int. | dec. |  | int. | dec. | $k_{\text {max }}$ <br> inc. | int. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 395 B | 350.5 | 19.25217 .4 | 252.4 | 22 | 5351.7 | 117.7 | 60 | 5394.4 |  |
|  | DG 395 C | 345.7 | $27 \quad 4850$ | 240.1 | 27.9 | 4919.1 | 112 | 49.3 | 4943.2 |  |
|  | DG 395 D | 352.7 | 16.84442 .8 | 247 | 41.9 | 4543.9 | 99.3 | 43.3 | 4560.4 |  |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DG 028 A | 204.1 | 12.7135120 | 53.2 | 75.6 | 136810 | 295.7 | 6.8 | 138930 | 1 |
|  | DG 028 B | 213.9 | 37108000 | 21.4 | 52.4 | 109730 | 119.3 | 6.1 | 112080 |  |
|  | DG 028 C | 205.3 | 28.9107650 | 49.6 | 58.7 | 110440 | 301.3 | 10.8 | 112230 |  |
|  | DG 028 D | 210.9 | 20.4130670 | 68.7 | 64.8 | 134050 | 306.3 | 14.1 | 136690 |  |
|  | DG 029 A | 14.1 | $45.3 \quad 696.8$ | 104.7 | 0.6 | 705.2 | 195.2 | 44.7 | 710 |  |
|  | DG 029 B | 1.3 | $15.6 \quad 675.2$ | 105.4 | 41.3 | 678.7 | 255.3 | 44.6 | 681.4 |  |
|  | DG 029 C | 337.3 | $21 \quad 681.7$ | 80.7 | 31 | 682.7 | 218.8 | 51.1 | 686.7 |  |
|  | DG 030 A | 293.5 | 21.143720 | 25.8 | 5.9 | 43788 | 130.7 | 68 | 43976 |  |
|  | DG 030 B | 233.7 | 6.540734 | 327.5 | 30.8 | 40957 | 133.1 | 58.4 | 41072 |  |
|  | DG 031 A | 212.1 | 9.625689 | 115.1 | 35.4 | 26011 | 315.1 | 52.9 | 26112 |  |
|  | DG 031 B | 216.5 | 14.725246 | 115.7 | 35.5 | 25627 | 325.2 | 50.7 | 25747 |  |
|  | DG 031 C | 210.6 | 18.624828 | 107.5 | 34.1 | 25177 | 324.1 | 49.8 | 25212 |  |
|  | DG 032 A | 208 | $43.9 \quad 580.8$ | 359.4 | 42.4 | 583.6 | 103.3 | 14.8 | 584.3 |  |
|  | DG 032 B | 348.1 | $\begin{array}{lll}69.3 & 602.5\end{array}$ | 112.5 | 12 | 604.4 | 206.1 | 16.6 | 605.5 |  |
|  | DG 039 A | 228.2 | 4.438589 | 322.5 | 44.8 | 39237 | 133.8 | 44.9 | 39499 |  |
|  | DG 039 B | 225.6 | $10.3 \quad 37102$ | 342.8 | 68.3 | 37571 | 132.1 | 18.9 | 37824 |  |
|  | DG 040 A | 232.2 | 42.640589 | 327.5 | 5.7 | 41101 | 63.5 | 46.9 | 41587 |  |
|  | DG 040 B | 210.2 | 25.743162 | 329.8 | 45.7 | 43418 | 101.9 | 33.2 | 43989 |  |
|  | DG 040 C | 226.9 | 5238202 | 133 | 3 | 38776 | 40.7 | 37.8 | 39369 |  |
|  | DG 040 D | 359.4 | 25.535898 | 232.5 | 51.5 | 36204 | 103.3 | 26.7 | 36680 |  |
|  | DG 041 A | 262.4 | 36.710746 | 133.1 | 40.4 | 10985 | 15.9 | 28.2 | 11302 |  |
|  | DG 069 A | 357.6 | 16.977584 | 95.6 | 24.5 | 79200 | 236.5 | 59.5 | 80505 |  |
|  | DG 070 A | 18 | $7 \quad 71464$ | 287.2 | 6.7 | 72793 | 153.6 | 80.3 | 73748 |  |
|  | DG 070 B | 21.8 | 13.375011 | 287 | 19.5 | 76013 | 144.1 | 66.1 | 77420 |  |
|  | DG 070 C | 27.5 | 10.380657 | 292.5 | 25.8 | 81722 | 137.6 | 62 | 82954 |  |
|  | DG 071 A | 25.9 | 10.170128 | 116.5 | 3.8 | 70244 | 227 | 79.2 | 70611 |  |
|  | DG 071 B | 25.2 | 28.6111420 | 116.6 | 2.5 | 116500 | 211 | 61.2 | 117700 |  |
|  | DG 071 C | 8.1 | 7.370389 | 108.5 | 54.5 | 70728 | 273 | 34.5 | 70886 |  |
|  | DG 071 C | 21.2 | 32.2127510 | 286.4 | 7.4 | 133950 | 185 | 56.8 | 134320 |  |
|  | DG 071 D | 15.3 | 30.5117730 | 106.3 | 1.8 | 123520 | 199.3 | 59.4 | 124420 |  |
|  | DG 077 A | 299.7 | $45.6 \quad 61630$ | 87.8 | 39.7 | 61839 | 192.1 | 16.5 | 62049 |  |
|  | DG 077 B | 316.5 | 65.759122 | 113 | 22.5 | 59384 | 206.7 | 8.7 | 59591 |  |
|  | DG 077 C | 26.5 | 67.638396 | 252.6 | 15.9 | 38678 | 158.1 | 15.3 | 38839 |  |
|  | DG 077 D | 325.5 | 39.256336 | 86.8 | 32.4 | 56578 | 202.1 | 34 | 56754 |  |



* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $\begin{array}{cc} k_{\text {min }} \\ \text { inc. } & \\ \text { int. } \end{array}$ | dec. | inc. | int. | dec. | ${ }_{\text {max }}$ <br> inc. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 321 C | 336.5 | 9.8101550 | 87.7 | 64.3 | 102900 | 242.2 | 23.5 | 104040 |  |
|  | DG 322 A | 351.4 | 32.173659 | 90.1 | 13.6 | 76950 | 199.8 | 54.5 | 78128 |  |
|  | DG 322 C | 350.2 | 2861572 | 84.7 | 8.4 | 65060 | 189.9 | 60.6 | 66482 |  |
|  | DG 323 A | 12.8 | 31.885071 | 117.6 | 22.3 | 87679 | 236.3 | 49.5 | 88706 |  |
|  | DG 323 B | 14.7 | 29.379729 | 112.1 | 12.9 | 82177 | 223.2 | 57.4 | 83481 |  |
|  | DG 325 A | 5.7 | 449345 | 271.6 | 45.3 | 53233 | 99.6 | 44.4 | 53789 | d |
|  | DG 325 B | 10.6 | 4.948231 | 255.7 | 78.5 | 51990 | 101.5 | 10.4 | 52275 |  |
|  | DG 325 C | 14.7 | 2.943428 | 283.9 | 15.2 | 45829 | 115.4 | 74.5 | 46332 |  |
| D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DG 001 A | 256 | 3644228 | 28.3 | 42.8 | 44638 | 145.2 | 26.1 | 45030 |  |
|  | DG 001 B | 269.1 | 51.250907 | 47.4 | 31 | 51059 | 150.7 | 21 | 51399 |  |
|  | DG 002 A | 240 | 4131751 | 132.5 | 19.1 | 32058 | 23.7 | 42.9 | 32509 |  |
|  | DG 002 B | 247.9 | 39.130172 | 134.4 | 26.1 | 30557 | 20.4 | 39.7 | 31089 |  |
|  | DG 003 A | 208.7 | 44.929927 | 55 | 41.9 | 30214 | 312.6 | 13.5 | 30386 |  |
|  | DG 003 B | 208.3 | 46.926180 | 54.5 | 40 | 26426 | 313 | 13.4 | 26628 |  |
|  | DG 003 C | 209.4 | 45.138366 | 65.7 | 38.8 | 38763 | 319.6 | 19 | 39137 |  |
|  | DG 103 A | 282.6 | 49.863611 | 137.5 | 34.8 | 63983 | 34.7 | 17.7 | 64641 |  |
|  | DG 103 B | 285.2 | 44.163541 | 150.9 | 35.8 | 64140 | 41.6 | 24.7 | 64727 |  |
|  | DG 186 A | 290.9 | 18.214945 | 186.2 | 37.7 | 15148 | 41.3 | 46.6 | 15254 |  |
|  | DG 186 B | 297 | 17.713691 | 193.4 | 36.3 | 13903 | 47.9 | 48.2 | 14054 |  |
|  | DG 187 A | 323.4 | 10.941749 | 229.7 | 18.7 | 41948 | 82.1 | 68.2 | 42092 |  |
|  | DG 187 B | 315.2 | 17.851001 | 45.4 | 0.7 | 51278 | 137.6 | 72.2 | 51378 |  |
|  | DG 187 C | 113.2 | 1.949716 | 22.5 | 18 | 50179 | 209.2 | 71.9 | 50439 |  |
|  | DG 187 D | 114 | 5.546893 | 22 | 19.7 | 47178 | 218.9 | 69.5 | 47456 |  |
|  | DG 189 A | 106.4 | 13.910878 | 12 | 17.2 | 11022 | 233.3 | 67.6 | 11161 |  |
|  | DG 189 B | 112.5 | 6.19977 .7 | 18.9 | 30.2 | 10114 | 212.8 | 59.1 | 10286 |  |
|  | DG 190 A | 75.6 | 6.415982 | 343.3 | 19.4 | 16064 | 183 | 69.5 | 16133 |  |
|  | DG 190 B | 267 | 11.517137 | 174.3 | 13.3 | 17185 | 36.5 | 72.3 | 17323 |  |
|  | DG 204 A | 276.3 | 6.210936 | 177.4 | 54.8 | 11095 | 10.5 | 34.4 | 11255 |  |
|  | DG 204 B | 287.1 | 4.4 11617 | 191.7 | 51.3 | 11737 | 20.6 | 38.4 | 11898 |  |
|  | DG 204 C | 98.2 | 0.110275 | 188.3 | 45.3 | 10361 | 8.1 | 44.7 | 10473 |  |
|  | DG 204 D | 271.9 | 3.611841 | 176.8 | 55.3 | 11995 | 4.4 | 34.4 | 12160 |  |
|  | DG 205 A | 166.1 | 10.883011 | 72.7 | 17.2 | 83878 | 286.8 | 69.6 | 84525 |  |
|  | DG 205 B | 161.1 | 883971 | 69.8 | 9.4 | 84665 | 290.8 | 77.7 | 85398 |  |
|  | DG 207 A | 224.2 | 37.232342 | 52.8 | 52.5 | 32501 | 317.4 | 4.2 | 33234 |  |
|  | DG 207 B | 223.6 | 3532754 | 57 | 54.2 | 32908 | 318.1 | 6.3 | 33679 |  |
|  | DG 207 C | 226.1 | 6.232194 | 57.9 | 83.7 | 32292 | 316.3 | 1.3 | 32916 |  |
|  | DG 207 D | 221.3 | 1834605 | 48.4 | 71.8 | 34740 | 311.9 | 2.1 | 35349 |  |


| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. | int. | dec. | inc. | int. |  | dec. | $k_{\text {max }}$ inc. | int. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 208 A | 98.1 | 2.2 | 17921 | 7.1 | 25.5 | 18138 |  | 192.6 | 64.4 | 18336 |
|  | DG 208 B | 96.6 | 1.5 | 18582 | 6 | 21.8 | 18763 |  | 190.3 | 68.1 | 19019 |
|  | DG 208 C | 264.8 | 0.3 | 19091 | 354.9 | 16.4 | 19329 |  | 173.7 | 73.5 | 19552 |
|  | DG 210 A | 257.6 | 6.8 | 17469 | 350.5 | 22.6 | 17755 |  | 151.8 | 66.3 | 17844 |
|  | DG 210 B | 260.5 | 11.1 | 22136 | 0.5 | 41.3 | 22565 |  | 158.5 | 46.5 | 22733 |
|  | DG 210 C | 254.1 | 11.1 | 18385 | 356.2 | 46.8 | 18707 |  | 154.2 | 41.1 | 18823 |
|  | DG 210 D | 263 | 8.3 | 23347 | 1.6 | 45.6 | 23775 |  | 165.1 | 43.2 | 24025 |
|  | DG 210 E | 248.8 | 11.3 | 22744 | 347.3 | 36.5 | 23178 |  | 144.4 | 51.2 | 23353 |
|  | DG 216 A | 337.2 | 16 | 26311 | 74.6 | 24.1 | 26549 |  | 216.7 | 60.5 | 26724 |
|  | DG 216 B | 327.9 | 14.6 | 25859 | 237.3 | 2.1 | 26005 |  | 139.4 | 75.2 | 26171 |
|  | DG 216 C | 337 | 17 | 24754 | 70.5 | 11.5 | 24915 |  | 193.1 | 69.3 | 25059 |
|  | DG 217 A | 158.6 | 6.5 | 46679 | 19.2 | 81.4 | 47145 |  | 249.3 | 5.5 | 47221 |
|  | DG 217 B | 163.1 | 3.9 | 47494 | 66.7 | 58.9 | 47962 |  | 255.5 | 30.8 | 48081 |
|  | DG 217 C | 161.1 | 4.2 | 45871 | 61.8 | 65.3 | 46352 |  | 253 | 24.3 | 46493 |
|  | DG 217 D | 158.3 | 7.4 | 48407 | 67 | 9.8 | 48966 |  | 285 | 77.7 | 48992 |
|  | DG 218 A | 150.4 | 10.7 | 14125 | 251.7 | 45.8 | 14234 |  | 50.6 | 42.2 | 14394 |
|  | DG 218 B | 312.1 | 0.6 | 21317 | 221.7 | 34.6 | 21524 |  | 43 | 55.4 | 21562 |
|  | DG 218 C | 133.2 | 20.6 | 19534 | 246.9 | 47 | 19668 |  | 27.5 | 35.8 | 19738 |
|  | DG 219 A | 145.3 | 5.3 | 36128 | 237.3 | 21.2 | 36635 |  | 42 | 68.1 | 36822 |
|  | DG 219 B | 143.1 | 5.5 | 33309 | 235.2 | 20.7 | 33904 |  | 39 | 68.5 | 34097 |
|  | DG 220 A | 153.9 | 36.9 | 36396 | 259.2 | 19.5 | 36783 |  | 11.2 | 46.6 | 36821 |
|  | DG 220 B | 152.9 | 31.9 | 33817 | 247.8 | 7.8 | 34130 |  | 350 | 56.9 | 34217 |
|  | DG 220 C | 148.2 | 30.6 | 32073 | 248.4 | 16.8 | 32334 |  | 3.1 | 54.2 | 32431 |
|  | DG 221 A | 175.3 | 7.1 | 83059 | 65.3 | 70.1 | 84037 |  | 267.6 | 18.5 | 84623 |
|  | DG 221 B | 185.1 | 2.4 | 78333 | 94.7 | 8.4 | 79165 |  | 291.1 | 81.2 | 79925 |
|  | DG 222 A | 126.4 | 9.4 | 13637 | 21.4 | 57.5 | 13669 |  | 222.1 | 30.8 | 13775 |
|  | DG 222 B | 342.6 | 40.9 | 14771 | 123.7 | 42 | 14793 |  | 233.6 | 20.7 | 14883 |
|  | DG 222 C | 324.7 | 27.4 | 13492 | 92.7 | 49.9 | 13558 |  | 219.6 | 26.8 | 13635 |
|  | DG 223 A | 28.4 | 33.1 | 35307 | 127.2 | 13.2 | 35377 |  | 235.9 | 53.7 | 35922 |
|  | DG 223 B | 145.8 | 0.5 | 35994 | 55.4 | 39.5 | 36133 |  | 236.4 | 50.5 | 36679 |
|  | DG 223 C | 126.4 | 15.4 | 35874 | 23.3 | 39.5 | 35996 |  | 233.2 | 46.4 | 36527 |
|  | DG 223 D | 356 | 19.3 | 32548 | 96.8 | 28.1 | 32635 |  | 236.1 | 54.8 | 33057 |
|  | DG 224 A | 146.8 | 35.3 | 72063 | 318.1 | 54.4 | 72304 |  | 53.9 | 4.1 | 72351 |
|  | DG 224 B | 302.1 | 14.5 | 46112 | 43.3 | 36.8 | 46373 |  | 194.5 | 49.5 | 46483 |
|  | DG 225 A | 266.2 | 10.2 | 66740 | 357 | 4.6 | 67744 |  | 110.8 | 78.8 | 68587 |
|  | DG 225 B | 251.4 | 7.7 | 70977 | 341.8 | 3.2 | 71904 |  | 94.4 | 81.7 | 72873 |
|  | DG 225 C | 262.5 | 8.7 | 59917 | 354.1 | 10.3 | 60835 |  | 133.2 | 76.4 | 61929 |
|  | DG 225 D | 268.3 | 11.3 | 61278 | 359.3 | 5 | 62101 |  | 112.8 | 77.6 | 63084 |

*AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. | int. | dec. | int inc. | int. | dec. | $k_{\text {max }}$ inc. | int. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 226 A | 266.2 | 22.7 | 42994 | 5.5 | 21.1 | 43179 | 134 | 58.1 | 44195 |
|  | DG 226 B | 301.7 | 35.3 | 44680 | 37.2 | 7.8 | 44864 | 137.9 | 53.6 | 46291 |
|  | DG 226 C | 285.6 | 26.3 | 50047 | 184.4 | 21.5 | 50090 | 60.3 | 54.9 | 50331 |
|  | DG 226 D | 157.5 | 15.8 | 49595 | 258.7 | 34.4 | 49685 | 47 | 51.2 | 49900 |
|  | DG 227 C | 171.4 | 18.9 | 49711 | 275.3 | 35 | 49776 | 58.3 | 48.8 | 50028 |
|  | DG 227 D | 290.2 | 21.2 | 51545 | 185.8 | 32.7 | 51695 | 47.2 | 49.5 | 51837 |
|  | DG 228 A | 88.8 | 7.3 | 7421.4 | 193.8 | 63.7 | 7460.3 | 355.4 | 25.1 | 7474.8 |
|  | DG 228 B | 267.4 | 24.8 | 5868.4 | 136.4 | 54.8 | 5899.2 | 8.9 | 23.3 | 5921 |
|  | DG 228 C | 262.5 | 14 | 8282.1 | 166.7 | 22.1 | 8314.7 | 22.4 | 63.4 | 8348.8 |
|  | DG 229 A | 136.5 | 59.5 | 37872 | 297.1 | 29.1 | 38263 | 31.9 | 8.5 | 38346 |
|  | DG 230 A | 332.9 | 0.6 | 10605 | 63 | 11.3 | 10722 | 240 | 78.7 | 10843 |
|  | DG 230 B | 122.9 | 2.8 | 10664 | 32.5 | 9 | 10726 | 230.1 | 80.6 | 10857 |
|  | DG 230 C | 146.5 | 5.1 | 9802.7 | 56.3 | 2.5 | 9875.7 | 299.9 | 84.3 | 9961.1 |
|  | DG 230 D | 311.2 | 5.3 | 12698 | 42.5 | 13.3 | 12853 | 200 | 75.7 | 12967 |
|  | DG 346 A | 85.5 | 3.4 | 56331 | 343.2 | 74.3 | 56406 | 176.5 | 15.3 | 56977 |
|  | DG 346 B | 266 | 11 | 61806 | 34.9 | 72.8 | 61935 | 173.4 | 13 | 62375 |
|  | DG 346 C | 54.1 | 40.6 | 59459 | 256.6 | 47.1 | 59697 | 154.1 | 11.4 | 60148 |
|  | DG 346 D | 66.8 | 9.5 | 61803 | 251.9 | 80.4 | 61854 | 156.9 | 0.8 | 62508 |
|  | DG 346 E | 53.4 | 54 | 59099 | 249.7 | 34.9 | 59200 | 154.2 | 7.8 | 59728 |
|  | DG 346 F | 238.2 | 3.7 | 61614 | 4.9 | 83.8 | 61707 | 147.9 | 4.9 | 62445 |
|  | DG 347 A | 273.8 | 1.2 | 13521 | 7.2 | 70.8 | 13615 | 183.4 | 19.1 | 13694 |
|  | DG 347 B | 102.7 | 7.1 | 12990 | 4.8 | 47.7 | 13123 | 199 | 41.4 | 13181 |
|  | DG 348 A | 264 | 24.6 | 46059 | 3.6 | 20 | 46183 | 128.2 | 57.4 | 46259 |
|  | DG 348 B | 9.6 | 80.7 | 49619 | 172.3 | 8.9 | 49841 | 262.7 | 2.7 | 50116 |
|  | DG 348 C | 331.2 | 26.1 | 48863 | 187.1 | 58.9 | 49283 | 69.2 | 15.8 | 49506 |
|  | DG 348 D | 147.4 | 4.9 | 45484 | 274.5 | 81.8 | 45552 | 56.9 | 6.5 | 45709 |
|  | DG 349 A | 151.7 | 30 | 36070 | 58.1 | 6.1 | 36350 | 317.7 | 59.3 | 36499 |
|  | DG 349 B | 184.2 | 40.8 | 38860 | 89.8 | 5.1 | 39111 | 354 | 48.7 | 39166 |
|  | DG 350 A | 352.9 | 69 | 14795 | 163.5 | 20.7 | 14824 | 254.6 | 3.1 | 14917 |
|  | DG 350 B | 149.1 | 75 | 19059 | 335.4 | 14.9 | 19105 | 244.9 | 1.6 | 19439 |
|  | DG 350 D | 351.9 | 34.5 | 15944 | 149.7 | 53.4 | 16054 | 254.4 | 10.7 | 16210 |
|  | DG 351 A | 174.6 | 35.3 | 36407 | 298.7 | 38.4 | 36725 | 58.4 | 32 | 36993 |
|  | DG 351 B | 168.3 | 32.4 | 35330 | 284.2 | 34.6 | 35604 | 47.8 | 38.7 | 35913 |
|  | DG 352 A | 134.7 | 28.9 | 15197 | 242.2 | 28.6 | 15335 | 8.2 | 47.1 | 15357 |
|  | DG 352 B | 117.8 | 34.3 | 17499 | 210.1 | 3.3 | 17633 | 304.8 | 55.5 | 17670 |
|  | DG 3533 | 285.5 | 35.5 | 40308 | 192.8 | 3.8 | 41007 | 97.6 | 54.3 | 41254 |
|  | DG 3533 | 99.4 | 71.4 | 57706 | 349.1 | 6.6 | 58174 | 257 | 17.3 | 58905 |
|  | DG 3533 | 137.9 | 58.1 | 59604 | 328.1 | 31.5 | 60149 | 235.3 | 4.6 | 60663 |

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units


| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. | int. | dec. | inc. | int. | dec. | $k_{\text {max }}$ inc. | int. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 174 A | 116.1 | 33.2 | 18812 | 243.3 | 42.7 | 18924 | 4.6 | 29.3 | 19196 |  |
|  | DG 174 B | 132.9 | 36.7 | 22121 | 245.2 | 26.9 | 22223 | 1.8 | 41.4 | 22641 |  |
|  | DG 174 C | 150.1 | 48 | 18125 | 258.6 | 15.9 | 18320 | 1.3 | 37.6 | 18648 |  |
|  | DG 174 D | 148.8 | 48.9 | 19783 | 253.9 | 12.8 | 19942 | 354.2 | 38.2 | 20304 |  |
|  | DG 178 A | 46.1 | 19.1 | 40688 | 151.6 | 37.7 | 40951 | 295.1 | 46.1 | 41143 |  |
|  | DG 178 B | 40.2 | 11.9 | 43820 | 144.2 | 48.8 | 44083 | 300.4 | 38.7 | 44205 |  |
|  | DG 178 C | 21.9 | 4.4 | 47448 | 113.5 | 19.9 | 47620 | 279.9 | 69.6 | 47878 |  |
|  | DG 179 A | 86 | 19.6 | 4365 | 207.4 | 55.6 | 4382.5 | 345.6 | 27 | 4466.8 |  |
|  | DG 179 B | 80.4 | 5.8 | 3046.8 | 209.2 | 80.8 | 3076.2 | 349.7 | 7.1 | 3132.7 |  |
|  | DG 179 D | 85.8 | 16.3 | 3726.6 | 226.6 | 69.3 | 3775.4 | 352.2 | 12.4 | 3847.5 |  |
|  | DG 180 A | 213.2 | 41.4 | 60705 | 86.6 | 34.1 | 60825 | 333.7 | 29.9 | 62387 |  |
|  | DG 180 B | 49 | 8.4 | 64445 | 151.6 | 55.9 | 65626 | 313.5 | 32.8 | 66454 |  |
|  | DG 180 C | 60.7 | 13 | 57431 | 172 | 57.5 | 57882 | 323.2 | 29.2 | 58874 |  |
|  | DG 180 D | 75.8 | 36.5 | 57647 | 207.3 | 41.9 | 58031 | 324.1 | 26.6 | 59277 |  |
|  | DG 180 E | 79.1 | 30.3 | 63770 | 203.8 | 44.3 | 63891 | 328.9 | 30.5 | 65420 |  |
|  | DG 181 A | 344.3 | 20.4 | 28787 | 94.7 | 43.2 | 29230 | 236.2 | 39.8 | 29402 |  |
|  | DG 181 B | 341.4 | 16.4 | 35336 | 160.4 | 73.6 | 36012 | 251.3 | 0.2 | 36138 |  |
|  | DG 181 C | 346.3 | 21.6 | 37431 | 214 | 59.5 | 38332 | 84.8 | 20.5 | 38600 |  |
|  | DG 231 A | 305.6 | 4.3 | 20258 | 37.5 | 23.5 | 20401 | 205.9 | 66 | 20817 |  |
|  | DG 231 A | 307.5 | 1.2 | 22700 | 37.8 | 12.2 | 22893 | 211.9 | 77.8 | 23262 |  |
|  | DG 231 B | 302.9 | 4.6 | 17956 | 34.2 | 16.7 | 18116 | 198.1 | 72.6 | 18473 |  |
|  | DG 232 A | 39.7 | 12.4 | 53762 | 282.7 | 64.2 | 53998 | 134.9 | 22.3 | 54544 |  |
|  | DG 232 B | 37 | 14.3 | 54038 | 278.2 | 62.2 | 54506 | 133.4 | 23.3 | 55075 |  |
|  | DG 233 A | 281.3 | 57.9 | 27068 | 147 | 23.7 | 27204 | 47.6 | 20.4 | 27315 |  |
|  | DG 233 B | 235.4 | 14.7 | 30643 | 140.6 | 17.5 | 30892 | 3.2 | 66.8 | 31139 |  |
|  | DG 233 C | 237.8 | 56.5 | 27783 | 31.6 | 30.7 | 28039 | 128.9 | 12.1 | 28069 |  |
|  | DG 234 A | 299.2 | 28.1 | 6714.5 | 123.8 | 61.9 | 6790.8 | 30.2 | 1.9 | 6887.9 |  |
|  | DG 234 B | 283.5 | 17.8 | 3968.8 | 191.7 | 5.4 | 4004.4 | 85.4 | 71.3 | 4017.6 |  |
|  | DG 234 C | 283.2 | 11.2 | 4423.1 | 25.9 | 48.1 | 4466.2 | 183.7 | 39.7 | 4483.4 |  |
|  | DG 234 D | 280.3 | 38.6 | 7048.2 | 83.3 | 50.2 | 7126.5 | 183.5 | 8.4 | 7203.2 |  |
|  | DG 235 A | 83.5 | 35.4 | 23640 | 196.5 | 28.9 | 23683 | 315.2 | 41.1 | 23876 |  |
|  | DG 235 B | 89.9 | 21.2 | 30990 | 194.5 | 33 | 31095 | 333.3 | 49.1 | 31140 |  |
|  | DG 235 C | 291.7 | 1.5 | 25361 | 24.9 | 65.5 | 25436 | 201 | 24.5 | 25566 |  |
|  | DG 235 D | 87.5 | 23 | 28167 | 348.9 | 19.3 | 28254 | 222.8 | 59.2 | 28336 |  |
|  | DG 235 E | 77.8 | 23.4 | 28362 | 346.9 | 2.2 | 28581 | 251.7 | 66.5 | 28647 |  |
|  | DG 236 A | 55.7 | 26.4 | 57603 | 214.6 | 62 | 59042 | 321.4 | 8.7 | 59079 |  |
|  | DG 236 B | 47.8 | 32.8 | 48324 | 317.6 | 0.3 | 49787 | 227.2 | 57.2 | 50058 |  |
|  | DG 236 C | 49.1 | 23 | 57659 | 187.7 | 60.5 | 58859 | 311.5 | 17.4 | 59168 |  |

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. int. | dec. | inc. | int. | dec. | inc. | int. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 237 A | 286.4 | 2.127918 | 193.6 | 53.3 | 27996 | 18 | 36.6 | 28048 |
|  | DG 238 A | 62.5 | 7.945879 | 153.2 | 4.9 | 47125 | 274.6 | 80.6 | 47746 |
|  | DG 238 B | 51.3 | 848895 | 320.9 | 2.8 | 49899 | 211.9 | 81.5 | 50231 |
|  | DG 238 C | 48.1 | 12.644353 | 141.1 | 13.2 | 45418 | 276.1 | 71.6 | 45901 |
|  | DG 239 A | 242.1 | 079916 | 332.9 | 86.2 | 82465 | 152 | 3.8 | 83139 |
|  | DG 239 B | 78 | 20.178230 | 276.9 | 68.9 | 80841 | 170.3 | 6.3 | 81220 |
|  | DG 240 A | 235.6 | 19.23527 .5 | 326.5 | 2.5 | 3570 | 63.6 | 70.6 | 3607.8 |
|  | DG 240 B | 256.7 | 311924 | 164.7 | 32.9 | 12052 | 351.4 | 56.9 | 12101 |
|  | DG 240 C | 79.1 | 9.48732 .5 | 341.2 | 39.5 | 8815.6 | 180 | 48.9 | 8840.7 |
|  | DG 240 D | 280.3 | 3.48384 .1 | 188.6 | 26 | 8487.3 | 17.1 | 63.7 | 8536 |
|  | DG 241 A | 174.1 | 14.61343 .6 | 61.4 | 56.1 | 1347.3 | 272.7 | 29.9 | 1355 |
|  | DG 241 B | 105.6 | 21.51528 .5 | 310.3 | 66.5 | 1530.2 | 199.1 | 8.9 | 1541.6 |
|  | DG 241 C | 165 | 29.91582 .6 | 49.7 | 36.7 | 1592.3 | 282.7 | 39 | 1597.8 |
|  | DG 241 D | 93.3 | 40.92061 .7 | 296.7 | 46.6 | 2075 | 193.8 | 11.9 | 2096.4 |
|  | DG 244 A | 280.1 | 62.317383 | 122.9 | 25.8 | 17635 | 28.3 | 9.3 | 17861 |
|  | DG 244 B | 276.8 | 41.724040 | 135.5 | 41.2 | 24157 | 26.3 | 20.6 | 24587 |
|  | DG 244 B | 124.5 | 25.820099 | 279.1 | 61.9 | 20157 | 29.4 | 10.5 | 20657 |
|  | DG 244 C | 253.7 | 6521312 | 102.3 | 22.3 | 21439 | 7.8 | 10.8 | 21875 |
| F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DG 096 A | 280.4 | 15.224320 | 185.1 | 18.7 | 24670 | 47 | 65.5 | 24948 |
|  | DG 096 B | 300.5 | 18.823425 | 202.7 | 21.9 | 23740 | 67.4 | 60.5 | 24031 |
|  | DG 096 C | 286.5 | $22 \quad 19617$ | 184.4 | 27.3 | 19919 | 49.9 | 53.6 | 20164 |
|  | DG 097 A | 87.7 | 2.355302 | 178.4 | 15 | 56047 | 349.2 | 74.8 | 56126 |
|  | DG 097 B | 259.2 | 8.952013 | 162 | 39 | 52563 | 359.8 | 49.6 | 52951 |
|  | DG 097 C | 81.6 | 4.648696 | 342.3 | 63.5 | 49084 | 173.9 | 26 | 49324 |
|  | DG 114 A | 204.1 | 50.5102950 | 87.2 | 20.5 | 103240 | 343.7 | 32.1 | 103570 |
|  | DG 114 B | 214.5 | 39.2106410 | 113.2 | 13.5 | 106550 | 7.9 | 47.6 | 107070 |
|  | DG 114 C | 239.1 | 21.3100460 | 141.3 | 19 | 100600 | 13.3 | 60.8 | 101210 |
|  | DG 114 D | 175.7 | 42.1105030 | 76.6 | 9.9 | 105220 | 336.1 | 46.1 | 105620 |
|  | DG 115 A | 99.5 | 7.6116120 | 330.6 | 78.1 | 116350 | 190.7 | 9.2 | 116531 |
|  | DG 115 B | 280.6 | 11.3111960 | 186.2 | 21.1 | 112624 | 37.1 | 65.8 | 112770 |
|  | DG 115 C | 108.8 | 2.6110050 | 199.3 | 9.9 | 110500 | 4.4 | 79.8 | 111040 |
|  | DG 115 D | 106.2 | 9.1123430 | 200.5 | 24.8 | 123570 | 357.6 | 63.3 | 123920 |
|  | DG 116 A | 21.1 | 7472242 | 137.4 | 7.2 | 72595 | 229.3 | 14.2 | 73286 |
|  | DG 116 B | 136.3 | 32.467237 | 10.9 | 42.4 | 67431 | 248.3 | 30.5 | 68129 |
|  | DG 116 C | 101.5 | 37.877566 | 344.8 | 30.1 | 77859 | 228.2 | 37.6 | 78290 |
|  | DG 116 D | 127.8 | 2.875531 | 30.9 | 67.7 | 75753 | 219 | 22.1 | 76636 |
|  | DG 117 A | 334.4 | 52.6113120 | 223.1 | 15.5 | 119720 | 122.7 | 33 | 122540 |



* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. | int. | dec. | inc. | int. | dec. | inc. | int. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DS 21 A | 178.5 | 16 | 46965 | 298.8 | 60.4 | 47161 | 81.1 | 24.2 | 47490 |
|  | DS 21 B | 235.2 | 65.2 | 38476 | 331.6 | 3 | 38628 | 63 | 24.6 | 38840 |
|  | DS 21 C | 335.6 | 15.7 | 46772 | 226.1 | 49.9 | 46912 | 77.3 | 35.8 | 47203 |
|  | DS 21 D | 322.1 | 7.2 | 37891 | 224.1 | 47.6 | 37950 | 58.5 | 41.5 | 38221 |
|  | DS 22 A | 339.7 | 18.6 | 41009 | 217.4 | 57.8 | 41139 | 78.8 | 25.3 | 41428 |
|  | DS 22 B | 314.6 | 57 | 36598 | 182.4 | 23.6 | 36743 | 82.4 | 21.7 | 36982 |
|  | DS 22 C | 174.3 | 8.9 | 40568 | 285.7 | 66.7 | 40640 | 80.8 | 21.4 | 40936 |
|  | DS 22 D | 336 | 17.7 | 37944 | 231.3 | 38.3 | 38121 | 85.5 | 46.3 | 38316 |
|  | DS 23 A | 186.1 | 12.5 | 31599 | 291.3 | 49.7 | 31666 | 86.3 | 37.5 | 31908 |
|  | DS 23 B | 347.5 | 14.4 | 32657 | 237 | 53.8 | 32705 | 86.8 | 32.5 | 32919 |
|  | DS 23 C | 329 | 19.8 | 27339 | 224.3 | 35.2 | 27467 | 82.5 | 48 | 27683 |
|  | DS 23 D | 284.5 | 52.5 | 28101 | 179.9 | 11 | 28183 | 82.1 | 35.4 | 28476 |
|  | DS 32 A | 256.3 | 6.3 | 40227 | 120.8 | 81.2 | 40840 | 347 | 6.1 | 41243 |
|  | DS 32 B | 325.2 | 42.3 | 48221 | 202.1 | 31 | 48449 | 89.9 | 32.1 | 48955 |
|  | DS 32 C | 350.6 | 26.7 | 45786 | 219.9 | 52.4 | 45934 | 93.8 | 24.4 | 46525 |
|  | DS 32 D | 258.5 | 15.5 | 40810 | 76.7 | 74.5 | 41328 | 168.4 | 0.5 | 41686 |
|  | DS 32 E | 359.2 | 23.4 | 52531 | 226.4 | 57.5 | 52716 | 98.8 | 21.2 | 53161 |
|  | DS 33 A | 309.3 | 29.4 | 46689 | 53.2 | 23.1 | 47123 | 175 | 51.1 | 47397 |
|  | DS 33 B | 310.8 | 19.8 | 49148 | 127.4 | 70.2 | 49447 | 220.4 | 1.1 | 49834 |
|  | DS 33 C | 308.7 | 27.1 | 46180 | 129.3 | 62.9 | 46622 | 38.8 | 0.2 | 46816 |
|  | DS 33 D | 300.2 | 27.7 | 48972 | 73.8 | 52.7 | 49402 | 197.4 | 22.9 | 49699 |
|  | DS 33 E | 286.2 | 12.7 | 49123 | 41 | 61.7 | 49674 | 190.2 | 24.9 | 49948 |
|  | DS 35 A | 283.4 | 70.4 | 42118 | 85 | 18.7 | 42231 | 177 | 5.8 | 42822 |
|  | DS 35 B | 272.1 | 39.5 | 40952 | 77.1 | 49.5 | 41032 | 175.9 | 7.5 | 41669 |
|  | DS 35 C | 266.3 | 5.8 | 40171 | 48.3 | 82.6 | 40425 | 175.8 | 4.5 | 40845 |
|  | DS 35 D | 280.7 | 8.4 | 40456 | 52.8 | 77.6 | 40587 | 189.3 | 9.1 | 40985 |
|  | DS 36 A | 259.6 | 29.2 | 39562 | 120.6 | 53.5 | 39926 | 1.3 | 19.9 | 40407 |
|  | DS 36 B | 267.2 | 10.5 | 42082 | 154.3 | 64.6 | 42575 | 1.7 | 22.9 | 42991 |
|  | DS 36 C | 95.3 | 2.5 | 39339 | 201.4 | 81.2 | 39669 | 4.9 | 8.5 | 40083 |
|  | DS 37 A | 258.8 | 36.6 | 38813 | 135.5 | 36.5 | 39112 | 17.2 | 32.6 | 39279 |
|  | DS 37 B | 259.6 | 42.5 | 37603 | 133.3 | 32.9 | 37815 | 21.5 | 29.9 | 37988 |
|  | DS 38 A | 231 | 49.7 | 37671 | 124.6 | 13.5 | 37723 | 24.1 | 37.1 | 37915 |
|  | DS 38 B | 204.8 | 46.4 | 35439 | 114.7 | 0.1 | 35540 | 24.6 | 43.6 | 35772 |
|  | DS 38 C | 226.6 | 36.4 | 38414 | 127.8 | 11.7 | 38485 | 22.9 | 51.2 | 38700 |
|  | DS 39 A | 253.2 | 31.6 | 33702 | 153.3 | 15.7 | 33929 | 40.6 | 53.8 | 33965 |
|  | DS 39 B | 238.9 | 20.7 | 35527 | 147.8 | 2.9 | 35778 | 50.2 | 69.1 | 35859 |
|  | DS 39 C | 252.6 | 48.4 | 39576 | 155.3 | 6.4 | 39687 | 59.7 | 40.9 | 39733 |
|  | DS 39 D | 220.1 | 55.7 | 37348 | 117.2 | 8.7 | 37511 | 21.5 | 32.9 | 37651 |

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. int. | dec. | inc. |  | dec. | inc. | int. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 058 A | 245.8 | 55.7100760 | 351.6 | 10.5 | 102260 | 88.3 | 32.3 | 105054 |  |
|  | DG 058 B | 268 | 58.499446 | 6.6 | 5.3 | 100970 | 99.7 | 31.1 | 103630 |  |
|  | DG 058 C | 273.6 | 59.8106740 | 6.2 | 1.5 | 108090 | 97.1 | 30.2 | 111170 |  |
|  | DG 058 D | 276.7 | 62.6103080 | 185.3 | 0.7 | 104430 | 94.9 | 27.4 | 107270 |  |
|  | DG 298 A | 57 | 52.77419 .4 | 263.1 | 34.3 | 7506.1 | 164.3 | 12.7 | 7620.5 |  |
|  | DG 298 B | 268 | $13.5 \quad 968.2$ | 27 | 63.7 | 979.7 | 172.4 | 22.1 | 985 | , |
|  | DG 299 A | 173.1 | 7435780 | 311.1 | 12 | 35851 | 43.3 | 10.4 | 36116 |  |
|  | DG 300 A | 186.8 | 4.146409 | 87.8 | 65.5 | 46452 | 278.6 | 24.1 | 47521 |  |
|  | DG 300 B | 169.2 | 43.242930 | 41.3 | 33.2 | 43048 | 290.2 | 28.8 | 43738 |  |
|  | DG 300 C | 187 | 9.549934 | 79.8 | 60.6 | 50252 | 282 | 27.6 | 51091 |  |
|  | DG 303 A | 189.3 | 14.886696 | 24 | 74.8 | 89557 | 280.3 | 3.7 | 89854 |  |
|  | DG 303 B | 174.9 | 14.889927 | 320 | 72.1 | 92996 | 82.3 | 9.8 | 93899 |  |
|  | DG 303 C | 188.4 | 11.283158 | 335.5 | 76.7 | 85426 | 97 | 7 | 86277 |  |
|  | DG 367 A | 319.6 | 52.118378 | 95 | 29 | 18634 | 198 | 22.1 | 18771 |  |
|  | DG 367 B | 329.3 | 56.319297 | 127.3 | 31.7 | 19543 | 223.7 | 10.2 | 19637 |  |
|  | DG 367 C | 316.3 | $42 \quad 18266$ | 98.2 | 41.1 | 18479 | 207 | 20.2 | 18563 |  |
|  | DG 368 A | 321 | 41.630521 | 108.2 | 43.5 | 30934 | 215.2 | 17.1 | 31218 |  |
|  | DG 368 B | 331.9 | 46.730851 | 111.4 | 35.7 | 31228 | 217.6 | 21.2 | 31667 |  |
|  | DG 396 A | 56 | 1.499046 | 326 | 2.4 | 99326 | 175.2 | 87.2 | 100463 |  |
|  | DG 396 B | 66.2 | 0.795037 | 336 | 15.7 | 95463 | 158.6 | 74.3 | 96359 |  |
|  | DG 397 A | 278.1 | 32.583637 | 17.3 | 14.1 | 85278 | 127.3 | 53.8 | 86172 |  |
|  | DG 397 B | 277.3 | 34.279200 | 18.6 | 16.1 | 80058 | 129.7 | 51.2 | 81420 |  |
|  | DG 398 A | 65.1 | 47.239617 | 172.6 | 15.6 | 39831 | 275.5 | 38.7 | 40395 |  |
|  | DG 398 B | 49.6 | 45.239776 | 163.5 | 21.9 | 39971 | 271 | 36.7 | 40523 |  |
|  | DG 398 C | 63.1 | 30.246283 | 160.8 | 12.9 | 46517 | 271.1 | 56.6 | 47065 |  |
|  | DG 398 D | 40.8 | 30.729279 | 147.9 | 26.4 | 29385 | 270.6 | 47.4 | 29828 |  |
|  | DG 399 A | 164.3 | 5.674713 | 68.7 | 44.9 | 75387 | 259.9 | 44.6 | 76212 |  |
|  | DG 400 A | 44.8 | 40.714473 | 204.7 | 47.5 | 14550 | 306 | 10.1 | 14676 |  |
|  | DG 400 B | 224.1 | 219259 | 132.4 | 40.2 | 19399 | 316.5 | 49.7 | 19561 |  |
|  | DG 400 C | 56 | 5.327158 | 149.9 | 36.4 | 27250 | 318.9 | 53.1 | 27625 |  |
|  | DG 400 D | 108.7 | 5121297 | 209.9 | 9 | 21332 | 306.9 | 37.5 | 21600 |  |
|  | DG 401 A | 352.4 | 10.162824 | 260.2 | 12.1 | 64317 | 121.2 | 74.2 | 65299 |  |
|  | DG 401 B | 351 | 2155425 | 258.2 | 7 | 56396 | 150.7 | 67.7 | 57588 |  |
|  | DG 401 C | 0.7 | 1668519 | 267.4 | 11.5 | 70689 | 143.1 | 70.1 | 71154 |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DG 042 A | 350 | 29.238567 | 144.4 | 58.2 | 41266 | 253.5 | 11.5 | 42803 |  |
|  | DG 042 B | 345.4 | 39.451833 | 84.8 | 11.2 | 57249 | 187.7 | 48.4 | 58203 |  |
|  | DG 043 A | 52.2 | 27.839269 | 320.3 | 3.6 | 40216 | 223.5 | 62 | 40958 |  |


| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. | int. | dec. | inc. | int. | dec. | inc. | int. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 043 B | 45.6 | 27.3 | 30728 | 309.3 | 12 | 31292 | 198 | 59.8 | 31547 |
|  | DG 043 C | 46.2 | 26.4 | 37907 | 314.9 | 2.7 | 38670 | 219.5 | 63.4 | 39278 |
|  | DG 050 A | 230.9 | 34.8 | 23045 | 42.6 | 54.9 | 23533 | 138.2 | 3.9 | 23551 |
|  | DG 050 B | 237.2 | 33.6 | 36188 | 33.9 | 54.1 | 36919 | 139.7 | 11.1 | 37220 |
|  | DG 051 A | 139 | 1.8 | 13724 | 230.7 | 42.6 | 13771 | 47.1 | 47.3 | 13828 |
|  | DG 051 B | 296.4 | 36.7 | 14795 | 175.2 | 34.8 | 14834 | 56.9 | 34.3 | 14906 |
|  | DG 051 C | 277.9 | 37.2 | 15458 | 170.4 | 21.6 | 15536 | 57.1 | 45 | 15648 |
|  | DG 052 A | 278 | 43.8 | 20612 | 87 | 45.7 | 20698 | 182.7 | 5.5 | 20735 |
|  | DG 052 B | 272.6 | 29.2 | 27867 | 39.4 | 47 | 28214 | 164.9 | 28.5 | 28284 |
|  | DG 053 A | 94.3 | 51.4 | 68286 | 322.4 | 28.1 | 68337 | 218.6 | 24.2 | 68543 |
|  | DG 053 A | 312 | 82.5 | 48066 | 87.3 | 5.3 | 48234 | 177.8 | 5.2 | 48687 |
|  | DG 053 B | 282.9 | 53.4 | 49733 | 59.7 | 28.4 | 50016 | 161.7 | 21 | 50620 |
|  | DG 053 C | 213.6 | 52.7 | 24358 | 80.3 | 27.6 | 24686 | 337.5 | 23 | 24726 |
|  | DG 053 C | 259.3 | 28.4 | 45685 | 32.8 | 51.9 | 45871 | 156 | 23.2 | 46528 |
|  | DG 053 D | 284.9 | 42.3 | 47596 | 61.6 | 38.6 | 47813 | 171.7 | 23.4 | 48483 |
|  | DG 054 B | 11.4 | 87.9 | 63876 | 128 | 0.9 | 64777 | 218 | 1.9 | 64916 |
|  | DG 073 A | 274.3 | 44.2 | 87815 | 27.9 | 22.5 | 88785 | 136.4 | 37.4 | 89399 |
|  | DG 073 B | 278 | 52.3 | 80219 | 48.2 | 26.6 | 81310 | 151.5 | 24.7 | 81918 |
|  | DG 074 A | 234.2 | 26.9 | 14814 | 140.2 | 7.8 | 14959 | 35.5 | 61.8 | 15264 |
|  | DG 074 B | 11.9 | 45.5 | 27230 | 261.2 | 19.2 | 27422 | 155.2 | 38.3 | 28095 |
|  | DG 074 B | 260 | 22 | 13560 | 166 | 9.8 | 13689 | 53.4 | 65.7 | 13837 |
|  | DG 075 A | 127.8 | 18.5 | 40278 | 36.8 | 3.1 | 41773 | 297.7 | 71.2 | 42165 |
|  | DG 075 B | 190.4 | 17.9 | 30637 | 94.3 | 18.1 | 30826 | 321.9 | 64.1 | 30893 |
|  | DG 075 C | 131.1 | 13.5 | 39641 | 39.8 | 5.3 | 41011 | 288.8 | 75.5 | 41198 |
|  | DG 075 D | 193.3 | 12.3 | 30276 | 283.9 | 3 | 30489 | 27.5 | 77.3 | 30560 |
|  | DG 076 A | 231.6 | 55.8 | 14762 | 119.4 | 14.4 | 14931 | 20.8 | 30.3 | 15076 |
|  | DG 076 B | 241.4 | 24.3 | 23098 | 124.8 | 44.7 | 23235 | 350.1 | 35.4 | 23450 |
|  | DG 081 A | 321.2 | 18.8 | 74547 | 206.5 | 50.8 | 74849 | 63.9 | 32.9 | 75726 |
|  | DG 081 B | 314.4 | 49.4 | 66612 | 179 | 31.4 | 67187 | 74 | 23 | 67612 |
|  | DG 081 C | 339.4 | 23.8 | 77954 | 177.2 | 65.1 | 79168 | 72.4 | 6.7 | 80014 |
|  | DG 082 A | 66.7 | 39.4 | 1116.2 | 330.2 | 7.9 | 1137.7 | 230.9 | 49.5 | 1144.2 |
|  | DG 082 B | 69.1 | 29.8 | 1079.1 | 336.1 | 5.2 | 1091.3 | 237.2 | 59.7 | 1106.6 |
|  | DG 082 C | 61.2 | 38.4 | 1158 | 156.3 | 6.5 | 1174.4 | 254.3 | 50.9 | 1180 |
|  | DG 083 A | 290 | 80.8 | 29077 | 173.4 | 4.2 | 29157 | 82.8 | 8.2 | 29515 |
|  | DG 083 B | 105.6 | 64 | 15301 | 346.1 | 13.5 | 15335 | 250.6 | 21.7 | 15471 |
|  | DG 083 C | 183.5 | 57.6 | 16899 | 352.3 | 31.9 | 16940 | 85.5 | 5.1 | 17124 |
|  | DG 084 A | 249 | 42.5 | 43272 | 2.3 | 23.4 | 43979 | 112.4 | 38.4 | 44025 |
|  | DG 084 B | 245.2 | 38.8 | 41370 | 335.3 | 0.1 | 41987 | 65.4 | 51.2 | 42055 |

*AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units
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| DG 016 A | 344.3 | 39.6 | 48841 | 89.6 | 17.7 | 49283 | 198.2 | 45.1 | 49723 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DG 017 A | 124.7 | 5.8 | 37522 | 230 | 69.1 | 37587 | 32.6 | 20 | 38186 |
| DG 018 A | 276.2 | 29.3 | 38338 | 60.3 | 55.3 | 39924 | 176.4 | 16.9 | 40204 |
| DG 018 B | 277.8 | 31 | 42028 | 138.5 | 51.6 | 43457 | 20.7 | 20.3 | 43921 |
| DG 018 C | 286 | 31.5 | 35883 | 57.5 | 47.2 | 37154 | 178.8 | 25.7 | 37573 |
| DG 018 D | 273.8 | 29.6 | 30106 | 47.2 | 50.4 | 31189 | 169.3 | 23.8 | 31537 |
| DG 019 A | 36.9 | 14.3 | 17737 | 130.1 | 12.5 | 17874 | 259.8 | 70.8 | 17925 |
| DG 019 B | 34.7 | 13.1 | 16642 | 138.1 | 44.8 | 16776 | 292.4 | 42.3 | 16897 |
| DG 020 A | 77 | 72 | 17249 | 256.4 | 18 | 17452 | 346.5 | 0.2 | 17544 |
| DG 020 B | 102.7 | 72.8 | 33351 | 248 | 14.3 | 33549 | 340.5 | 9.4 | 33846 |
| DG 021 A | 272.5 | 54.9 | 32830 | 85 | 34.9 | 33111 | 177.5 | 3.5 | 33955 |
| DG 022 A | 356.1 | 16.9 | 34262 | 90.7 | 14.8 | 34621 | 219.8 | 67.2 | 35097 |
| DG 022 B | 351.5 | 23.9 | 28643 | 89.3 | 17 | 28899 | 211.3 | 60.1 | 29522 |
| DG 023 A | 274.8 | 8.1 | 48263 | 179.8 | 31.2 | 51784 | 17.6 | 57.6 | 53185 |
| DG 024 A | 175.6 | 72.8 | 622 | 356.6 | 17.2 | 624.4 | 266.5 | 0.3 | 629 |
| DG 024 B | 286.1 | 26.4 | 592.6 | 77.1 | 60.4 | 594 | 189.9 | 12.4 | 595.6 |
| DG 025 A | 68.9 | 23.1 | 3144.8 | 283.5 | 62.6 | 3163.4 | 165 | 13.9 | 3169.8 |
| DG 025 B | 74.5 | 23.8 | 2955.8 | 275.7 | 64.7 | 2973.1 | 168.1 | 8.1 | 2996.7 |
| DG 025 C | 71.5 | 21.4 | 3612.3 | 219.7 | 65.2 | 3640.6 | 336.8 | 11.9 | 3669.8 |
| DG 025 D | 84.6 | 45.1 | 3854.2 | 232 | 40.1 | 3881.3 | 336.9 | 16.9 | 3897.8 |
| DG 026 A | 15 | 49.7 | 1937.3 | 112.1 | 6 | 1966 | 207.1 | 39.7 | 1968.3 |
| DG 027 A | 67.1 | 21.9 | 50372 | 334.9 | 5.3 | 52031 | 231.9 | 67.4 | 53614 |
| DG 027 B | 41.5 | 26.7 | 56355 | 138.6 | 13.7 | 57800 | 253 | 59.5 | 59631 |
| DG 044 A | 43.7 | 26.2 | 1527.4 | 282.4 | 46.5 | 1561.4 | 151.5 | 31.9 | 1570.8 |
| DG 044 B | 49.7 | 24.1 | 2658 | 295 | 43.1 | 2737.1 | 159.6 | 37.3 | 2768.3 |
| DG 044 C | 47.1 | 31 | 4101.9 | 287.1 | 39.8 | 4214.9 | 161.8 | 34.8 | 4293.1 |
| DG 045 A | 290.4 | 9.9 | 48681 | 23.4 | 17 | 49425 | 171.4 | 70.2 | 49853 |
| DG 045 B | 272.8 | 4.8 | 51283 | 6.3 | 35.9 | 51719 | 176.2 | 53.7 | 51975 |
| DG 045 C | 274.5 | 6 | 51285 | 6.4 | 17.6 | 51797 | 166.2 | 71.3 | 52240 |
| DG 045 D | 286 | 13 | 44216 | 22.2 | 24.9 | 44807 | 170.8 | 61.5 | 45089 |
| DG 046 A | 239.5 | 32.9 | 23843 | 115.4 | 40.9 | 24198 | 353.1 | 31.7 | 24549 |
| DG 046 B | 236.7 | 39.7 | 28523 | 115.1 | 32.3 | 29027 | 0.3 | 33.7 | 29445 |
| DG 046 C | 242.2 | 42.6 | 28539 | 109.6 | 36.4 | 28918 | 358.6 | 25.9 | 29252 |
| DG 047 A | 287.8 | 41.8 | 1121.2 | 43.9 | 26.2 | 1127.6 | 155.6 | 36.9 | 1134.5 |

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. | int. | dec. | inc. | int. | dec. | inc. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 047 B | 250.6 | 27.2 | 14682 | 16 | 48.4 | 14841 | 144.2 | 28.7 | 15067 |
|  | DG 047 C | 262.5 | 31.5 | 2198.6 | 37.8 | 49.2 | 2236.1 | 157.4 | 23.1 | 2258.5 |
|  | DG 047 D | 247.4 | 21.7 | 15238 | 15.1 | 56.8 | 15382 | 147.4 | 23.7 | 15647 |
|  | DG 047 E | 251.7 | 24.6 | 15329 | 16.3 | 51.2 | 15510 | 147.7 | 28 | 15751 |
|  | DG 048 A | 322.3 | 69.5 | 777.3 | 198.3 | 11.8 | 781.2 | 104.8 | 16.5 | 784.6 |
|  | DG 048 B | 311.4 | 86 | 807.1 | 143.8 | 3.9 | 814.6 | 53.8 | 0.9 | 819.6 |
|  | DG 048 C | 199 | 83.2 | 798.1 | 61.6 | 5 | 804.5 | 331.2 | 4.6 | 809.9 |
|  | DG 048 D | 151.3 | 60.1 | 858.8 | 342.2 | 29.5 | 859.5 | 249.5 | 4.7 | 862.9 |
|  | DG 049 A | 66.4 | 38.2 | 2014 | 160.4 | 5.1 | 2021.4 | 256.8 | 51.3 | 2031 |
|  | DG 049 B | 42.4 | 53.3 | 1189.2 | 284.8 | 19.1 | 1197.9 | 183.3 | 30.1 | 1202.6 |
|  | DG 049 C | 82.2 | 65.2 | 1388.1 | 243.3 | 23.7 | 1392.3 | 336.5 | 7.2 | 1395.3 |
| II1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DG 065 A | 221.1 | 10.2 | 53622 | 94.3 | 73.2 | 53766 | 313.6 | 13.1 | 53881 |
|  | DG 065 B | 208.8 | 0.6 | 59954 | 118.6 | 12.1 | 60072 | 301.5 | 77.9 | 60149 |
|  | DG 065 C | 49.5 | 3.4 | 58224 | 140.1 | 9.6 | 58389 | 300.1 | 79.8 | 58471 |
|  | DG 065 D | 58.9 | 28.5 | 58193 | 187.7 | 49.2 | 58343 | 313.1 | 26.6 | 58497 |
|  | DG 278 A | 217.5 | 7.1 | 61620 | 123.4 | 30.2 | 61770 | 319.4 | 58.8 | 61958 |
|  | DG 278 B | 174.6 | 80.7 | 66628 | 59.2 | 4 | 67253 | 328.6 | 8.4 | 67452 |
|  | DG 279 A | 291 | 11.8 | 56612 | 195.4 | 25 | 57206 | 44.1 | 62 | 57378 |
|  | DG 279 B | 289.9 | 19.9 | 55602 | 189.8 | 25.8 | 56094 | 53 | 56.5 | 56421 |
|  | DG 279 C | 286.5 | 7.6 | 57262 | 192.3 | 28.6 | 57518 | 30 | 60.3 | 57687 |
|  | DG 280 A | 247.1 | 38.4 | 64046 | 82 | 50.7 | 64447 | 342.9 | 7.4 | 64693 |
|  | DG 280 C | 247.6 | 27.7 | 69307 | 110.1 | 54.6 | 69825 | 348.8 | 20.3 | 69945 |
|  | DG 280 C | 193.9 | 6.9 | 32443 | 101.4 | 19.9 | 32802 | 302.1 | 68.9 | 32871 |
|  | DG 280 D | 243.7 | 28.4 | 68721 | 85.7 | 59.8 | 69066 | 338.9 | 9.6 | 69437 |
|  | DG 281 A | 329.3 | 55.9 | 56206 | 225.5 | 9.1 | 56699 | 129.7 | 32.5 | 56985 |
|  | DG 281 B | 326 | 59.1 | 56224 | 212 | 13.7 | 56806 | 114.8 | 27.2 | 56976 |
|  | DG 281 C | 328.9 | 56.6 | 55985 | 233 | 3.8 | 56376 | 140.5 | 33.1 | 56765 |
|  | DG 281 D | 324.9 | 56.9 | 55230 | 222.1 | 8.2 | 55669 | 127 | 31.8 | 55962 |
|  | DG 282 A | 309.7 | 35.71 | 118920 | 52 | 16.5 | 119870 | 162.3 | 49.6 | 120110 |
|  | DG 282 B | 321.5 | 37.2 | 112210 | 231.4 | 0.1 | 112900 | 141.2 | 52.8 | 113320 |
|  | DG 282 C | 320.8 | 37.3 | 124410 | 58.1 | 9.4 | 125240 | 159.9 | 51.1 | 125560 |
|  | DG 282 D | 318 | 36.6 | 112700 | 223.9 | 5.5 | 113190 | 126.6 | 52.8 | 113430 |
|  | DG 283 A | 280.2 | 23 | 59997 | 25.4 | 31.8 | 61568 | 160.9 | 49.1 | 62027 |
|  | DG 283 B | 282.5 | 21.9 | 62001 | 26.6 | 31.3 | 63742 | 163.6 | 50.2 | 64213 |
|  | DG 283 C | 278.7 | 23.8 | 61657 | 21 | 25.9 | 63811 | 152.1 | 53.5 | 63885 |
|  | DG 284 A | 276.7 | 16.3 | 37968 | 103.6 | 73.6 | 38825 | 7.3 | 1.9 | 39096 |
|  | DG 284 B | 276.1 | 23.8 | 37090 | 114.7 | 65 | 37690 | 9.3 | 7.1 | 38114 |

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. | int. | dec. | inc. | int. | dec. | inc. | int. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 284 C | 292.4 | 9.5 | 37186 | 175.6 | 69.7 | 37826 | 25.5 | 17.8 | 38363 |  |
|  | DG 285 A | 257.3 | 8.3 | 92036 | 356.2 | 46.8 | 94651 | 159.7 | 42 | 94957 |  |
|  | DG 285 B | 260.2 | 6.5 | 93331 | 357.6 | 48.4 | 95766 | 164.6 | 40.9 | 96033 |  |
|  | DG 286 A | 292.4 | 3.6 | 40143 | 48 | 81.7 | 40857 | 201.9 | 7.4 | 41266 |  |
|  | DG 286 B | 244.7 | 29.4 | 70470 | 82.1 | 59.5 | 70904 | 339 | 7.6 | 71125 |  |
|  | DG 286 C | 124.6 | 0 | 42728 | 34.4 | 77.3 | 43442 | 214.6 | 12.7 | 43857 |  |
|  | DG 287 A | 106.1 | 3.4 | 42601 | 13.6 | 36.4 | 43004 | 200.7 | 53.4 | 43781 |  |
|  | DG 287 B | 113.2 | 0.5 | 41645 | 22.7 | 47 | 42167 | 203.7 | 43 | 42424 |  |
|  | DG 287 C | 96.7 | 9.5 | 42175 | 0.7 | 31.9 | 42585 | 201.3 | 56.3 | 43388 |  |
|  | DG 288 A | 178.6 | 14.3 | 24668 | 274.9 | 23.3 | 24733 | 59.8 | 62.2 | 24822 |  |
|  | DG 2888 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 26501 | 95.8 | 32 | 26629 | 266.5 | 57.7 | 26696 |  |
|  | DG 288 D | 3.6 | 4.1 | 33929 | 272.9 | 10.7 | 34238 | 114.5 | 78.5 | 34386 |  |
|  | DG 289 A | 270.4 | 1.6 | 41384 | 175.1 | 73.6 | 42032 | 0.9 | 16.3 | 42352 |  |
|  | DG 289 B | 88.7 | 2.4 | 49174 | 182.6 | 58.7 | 49728 | 357.3 | 31.1 | 50044 |  |
|  | DG 289 C | 282.2 | 0.3 | 46217 | 191.8 | 53.7 | 46787 | 12.5 | 36.3 | 47028 |  |
|  | DG 357 B | 276.6 | 15.9 | 43098 | 21.9 | 42.9 | 44593 | 171.3 | 42.8 | 44804 |  |
|  | DG 358 A | 110.1 | 3 | 39656 | 18.2 | 32.6 | 40983 | 204.8 | 57.2 | 41258 |  |
|  | DG 358 B | 293.6 | 0.1 | 45009 | 23.8 | 49.7 | 46559 | 203.6 | 40.3 | 46803 |  |
|  | DG 358 C | 103.6 | 0.2 | 42406 | 13.2 | 62.1 | 43919 | 193.7 | 27.9 | 44117 |  |
|  | DG 358 D | 111.8 | 2.4 | 38684 | 19.8 | 40.8 | 39867 | 204.6 | 49.1 | 40141 |  |
|  | DG 359 A | 269.3 | 68 | 32835 | 167.6 | 4.7 | 33108 | 75.8 | 21.4 | 33361 |  |
|  | DG 359 B | 213.3 | 66.1 | 25950 | 358.7 | 20 | 26135 | 93.4 | 12.5 | 26288 |  |
|  | DG 360 A | 105.8 | 4.6 | 30812 | 201.8 | 52.7 | 31527 | 12.4 | 37 | 32327 |  |
|  | DG 360 B | 96.2 | 3.8 | 31481 | 190.9 | 51 | 32227 | 3.2 | 38.7 | 32918 |  |
|  | DG 360 C | 80.5 | 3 | 30135 | 174.3 | 51.9 | 31002 | 348.2 | 37.9 | 31771 |  |
|  | DG 360 D | 82.6 | 2.2 | 32247 | 175.3 | 50.3 | 33174 | 350.8 | 39.6 | 33987 |  |

II2

| DS 01 A | 166.6 | 49.6 | 38954 | 263.5 | 5.8 | 39099 | 358.3 | 39.8 | 39201 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DS 01 B | 303.7 | 43.9 | 30846 | 53.8 | 19.7 | 30975 | 161 | 39.6 | 31158 |
| DS 01 C | 310.4 | 34.7 | 30127 | 48.7 | 11.7 | 30219 | 154.6 | 52.9 | 30469 |
| DS 01 D | 153.5 | 49.7 | 39889 | 247.3 | 3.2 | 40075 | 340 | 40.1 | 40227 |
| DG 013 A | 318.8 | 5 | 703.2 | 225.9 | 29.6 | 708.7 | 57.5 | 59.9 | 713.2 |
| DG 013 B | 318.4 | 6 | 648.5 | 49.6 | 11.9 | 654.4 | 202.1 | 76.6 | 656.5 |
| DG 013 C | 160.7 | 6.4 | 674.7 | 254.5 | 30.3 | 679.4 | 60 | 58.9 | 685 |
| DG 014 A | 10.3 | 45.4 | 21215 | 227.4 | 38.2 | 21305 | 121.3 | 19.4 | 21326 |
| DG 014 B | 343.5 | 1.9 | 23932 | 78.5 | 69.5 | 24019 | 252.8 | 20.4 | 24067 |
| DG 015 A | 236.2 | 85.1 | 486.1 | 85.5 | 4.2 | 488.9 | 355.4 | 2.4 | 492 |
| DS 02 A | 257.7 | 24.5 | 19204 | 144.5 | 40.8 | 19432 | 9.6 | 39.3 | 19719 |

*AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

*AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units


* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. | int. | dec. | inc. | int. | dec. | $k_{\text {max }}$ inc. | int. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 252 B | 19.3 | 25.6 | 33070 | 246.1 | 55 | 33325 | 120.6 | 22.1 | 33482 |  |
|  | DG 252 C | 26.1 | 46.1 | 34961 | 214 | 43.6 | 35173 | 120.2 | 3.9 | 35510 |  |
|  | DS 26 A | 241.3 | 18.3 | 23525 | 149.8 | 4.5 | 23643 | 46.5 | 71.1 | 23650 |  |
|  | DS 26 B | 226.8 | 11.9 | 28312 | 344.8 | 65.8 | 28398 | 132.3 | 20.7 | 28475 |  |
|  | DS 26 C | 205.4 | 5.3 | 44410 | 104 | 64.9 | 44615 | 297.8 | 24.5 | 44809 |  |
|  | DS 26 D | 209.2 | 8.6 | 39463 | 84 | 75.3 | 39607 | 301 | 11.8 | 39813 |  |
|  | DG 261 A | 276.6 | 35.6 | 71006 | 84.2 | 53.7 | 71744 | 182.3 | 5.9 | 72252 |  |
|  | DG 261 B | 270.3 | 21.2 | 64915 | 3.4 | 7.9 | 65819 | 112.6 | 67.3 | 66042 |  |
|  | DG 261 C | 266.8 | 28.1 | 68693 | 111.8 | 59.5 | 69456 | 2.7 | 10.9 | 69600 |  |
|  | DG 261 D | 266.4 | 32.7 | 71213 | 84.6 | 57.3 | 71827 | 175.9 | 0.8 | 72114 |  |
|  | DG 262 A | 244.3 | 43.6 | 31637 | 122.2 | 29.2 | 31864 | 11.5 | 32.4 | 31952 |  |
|  | DG 262 B | 247 | 43.5 | 33698 | 88.2 | 44.5 | 33913 | 347.4 | 10.8 | 34030 |  |
|  | DG 263 A | 338.9 | 32.8 | 33705 | 215.6 | 40.4 | 34214 | 93 | 32.3 | 34297 |  |
|  | DG 263 B | 214.8 | 2 | 33250 | 309.3 | 65.7 | 33728 | 123.9 | 24.2 | 33906 |  |
|  | DG 263 X | 235.4 | 47.1 | 70240 | 327.4 | 1.9 | 71618 | 59.1 | 42.9 | 72244 |  |
|  | DG 269 A | 290.8 | 19.9 | 61551 | 190.7 | 26 | 63007 | 53.7 | 56.3 | 63342 |  |
|  | DG 269 B | 282.4 | 19.9 | 63570 | 27.7 | 36 | 64821 | 169.3 | 47.2 | 65440 |  |
|  | DG 269 C | 285.2 | 21 | 68626 | 164.2 | 53.2 | 69663 | 27.3 | 28.6 | 69967 |  |
|  | DS 27 A | 65.2 | 15.5 | 15325 | 282.7 | 70.8 | 15380 | 158.3 | 11.1 | 15433 |  |
|  | DS 27 B | 88.4 | 24.7 | 17880 | 268.5 | 65.3 | 17939 | 178.4 | 0 | 18074 |  |
|  | DS 27 C | 264.4 | 27.7 | 15492 | 70.4 | 61.6 | 15542 | 171.3 | 5.8 | 15633 |  |
|  | DS 27 D | 80.5 | 10.4 | 15934 | 246.5 | 79.3 | 16005 | 350.1 | 2.5 | 16122 |  |
|  | DG 270 A . | 142.5 | 27.7 | 44867 | 235.4 | 5.5 | 45042 | 335.6 | 61.7 | 45547 |  |
|  | DG 270 B | 135.8 | 43.6 | 45408 | 240.3 | 14.7 | 45599 | 344.3 | 42.7 | 45979 |  |
|  | DG 271 A | 262.5 | 58.8 | 28427 | 134.7 | 20.4 | 28836 | 35.8 | 22.6 | 28929 |  |
|  | DG 271 C | 263.1 | 43.3 | 30988 | 129.2 | 36.4 | 31328 | 19.1 | 25 | 31436 |  |
|  | DG 271 D | 234 | 37.4 | 21651 | 135 | 11.5 | 21778 | 30.8 | 50.3 | 21906 |  |
|  | DG 274 A | 87.7 | 30.9 | 38277 | 337.6 | 29.9 | 39377 | 213.4 | 44.3 | 39996 |  |
|  | DG 274 B | 84.9 | 30.9 | 38675 | 333.4 | 31.4 | 39837 | 208.8 | 43 | 40496 |  |
|  | DG 275 A | 80.6 | 22.9 | 28261 | 243.8 | 66.2 | 29178 | 348 | 6.2 | 29328 |  |
|  | DG 275 B | 73.7 | 22.3 | 28678 | 202.9 | 57 | 29619 | 333.7 | 22.9 | 29781 |  |
|  | DG 275 C | 70.7 | 27.4 | 29986 | 241.3 | 62.3 | 30745 | 338.7 | 3.9 | 31083 |  |
|  | DG 275 D | 67.3 | 26.7 | 28889 | 185.4 | 43.1 | 30106 | 316.6 | 35.1 | 30241 |  |
|  | DG 276 A | 68.8 | 49.8 | 61654 | 213.5 | 34.6 | 61833 | 316.3 | 17.9 | 62150 |  |
|  | DG 276 B | 84.3 | 34.9 | 62178 | 219 | 45.3 | 62488 | 336 | 24.2 | 62674 |  |
|  | DG 276 C | 69.7 | 41.4 | 61796 | 206 | 39.4 | 62044 | 317 | 23.6 | 62376 |  |
|  | DG 277 A | 97.8 | 42.1 | 57916 | 352.6 | 16.1 | 59003 | 246.8 | 43.4 | 59614 |  |
|  | DG 277 B | 106.3 | 39.5 | 58230 | 7.5 | 10.5 | 59269 | 265.4 | 48.5 | 59927 |  |

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. | int. | dec. | inc. | int. |  | $k_{\text {max }}$ inc. | int. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 277 C | 90.7 | 38.3 | 58071 | 357.8 | 3.7 | 59200 | 263.1 | 51.4 | 59635 |  |
|  | DS 28 A | 281.9 | 38.6 | 82633 | 190.3 | 2 | 83518 | 97.8 | 51.3 | 83998 |  |
|  | DS 28 B | 276.9 | 41.8 | 83435 | 178.8 | 8.9 | 84281 | 79.2 | 46.8 | 84670 |  |
|  | DS 28 C | 227.5 | 15.4 | 82285 | 330.6 | 39.6 | 82603 | 120.8 | 46.3 | 83022 |  |
|  | DS 28 D | 269.2 | 43.6 | 88173 | 174.3 | 5.2 | 88719 | 78.8 | 45.9 | 89055 |  |
|  | DS 28 E | 260.8 | 44.6 | 85644 | 170.6 | 0.2 | 86422 | 80.5 | 45.4 | 86704 |  |
|  | DS 29 A | 295.7 | 29.7 | 70439 | 166.5 | 48 | 71057 | 42.4 | 26.8 | 71717 |  |
|  | DS 29 B | 289.3 | 35.2 | 70666 | 157.8 | 43.2 | 71204 | 39.9 | 26.5 | 71963 |  |
|  | DS 30 A | 269.6 | 15.8 | 62680 | 154.1 | 56.7 | 65604 | 8.5 | 28.4 | 66462 |  |
|  | DS 30 B | 267.9 | 19.9 | 62746 | 142.1 | 58.3 | 65688 | 7 | 23.6 | 66820 |  |
|  | DG 306 A | 130.8 | 15.4 | 58002 | 294.3 | 74 | 58768 | 39.6 | 4.3 | 59208 |  |
|  | DG 306 B | 121.1 | 6.2 | 49770 | 0.1 | 78 | 50368 | 212.2 | 10.2 | 50722 |  |
|  | DG 306 C | 126.2 | 1.4 | 52395 | 354.5 | 87.9 | 53271 | 216.3 | 1.6 | 53670 |  |
|  | DG 306 D | 309.5 | 9.1 | 53008 | 180.5 | 75.7 | 53476 | 41.2 | 10.9 | 54107 |  |
|  | DG 307 A | 351.8 | 5.6 | 84788 | 213.6 | 82.4 | 87114 | 82.3 | 5 | 87942 |  |
|  | DG 308 A | 183.1 | 28.7 | 90886 | 23.4 | 59.7 | 91829 | 278 | 8.9 | 92545 |  |
|  | DG 308 B | 164.8 | 28.2 | 87202 | 6.9 | 60 | 88292 | 259.9 | 9.6 | 88859 |  |
|  | DG 309 A | 77.8 | 25.5 | 68759 | 265.1 | 64.3 | 69644 | 169.1 | 2.9 | 70360 |  |
|  | DG 309 B | 267 | 13.4 | 68447 | 92.7 | 76.5 | 69006 | 357.3 | 1.3 | 69963 |  |
|  | DS 31 A | 333.5 | 15.3 | 53631 | 123.3 | 72.5 | 54584 | 241.1 | 8.4 | 54833 |  |
|  | DS 31 B | 311.5 | 25.4 | 49707 | 121.2 | 64.2 | 50820 | 219.6 | 4 | 51204 |  |
|  | DS 31 C | 315.5 | 18.9 | 50903 | 103.4 | 68 | 51767 | 221.7 | 10.9 | 52463 |  |
|  | DG 310 A | 221.5 | 11.5 | 63312 | 131.4 | 0.3 | 63669 | 39.9 | 78.5 | 64523 |  |
|  | DG 311 A | 249.5 | 13.8 | 71647 | 350.7 | 38.4 | 72267 | 143.4 | 48.3 | 72733 |  |
|  | DG 311 B | 253.5 | 14.8 | 74862 | 349.3 | 21 | 75746 | 130.9 | 63.9 | 76352 |  |
|  | DG 312 A | 351 | 17.3 | 20134 | 138.5 | 69.7 | 20823 | 257.7 | 10.2 | 20950 |  |
|  | DG 312 B | 346.9 | 20.1 | 20633 | 146.6 | 68.7 | 21281 | 254.4 | 6.8 | 21521 |  |
|  | DG 312 C | 344.6 | 17.9 | 19847 | 132 | 69.1 | 20564 | 251.2 | 10.6 | 20751 |  |
|  | DG 313 A | 194.3 | 10.2 | 62501 | 87.1 | 58.9 | 64247 | 290.1 | 29.1 | 65465 |  |
|  | DG 313 B | 200.1 | 12.7 | 64080 | 88.7 | 58.3 | 65917 | 297.1 | 28.5 | 66981 |  |
|  | DG 313 C | 195 | 13.4 | 69484 | 85.3 | 54.6 | 71193 | 293.6 | 32 | 72716 |  |
|  | DG 314 A | 40.3 | 1.5 | 37307 | 310.3 | 2.9 | 37446 | 156.7 | 86.7 | 37791 |  |
|  | DG 314 B | 13.5 | 1.2 | 37287 | 103.8 | 12 | 37410 | 278 | 78 | 37494 |  |
|  | DG 315 A | 155.1 | 15.6 | 35934 | 265.7 | 51.5 | 36029 | 54.2 | 34.1 | 36244 |  |
|  | DG 315 B | 166.6 | 10.9 | 35805 | 310 | 76.4 | 36046 | 75.1 | 7.9 | 36169 |  |
|  | DG 315 C | 257.1 | 65.7 | 33746 | 152.4 | 6.5 | 34006 | 59.6 | 23.3 | 34262 |  |
|  | DG 316 A | 135.2 | 78.6 | 34539 | 261.5 | 6.8 | 34802 | 352.6 | 9.1 | 35447 |  |
|  | DG 316 B | 133.2 | 79.1 | 35495 | 257.4 | 6.2 | 35690 | 348.3 | 8.9 | 36333 |  |

*AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. | int. | dec. | inc. | int. | dec. | $k_{\text {max }}$ inc. | int. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 316 C | 132.2 | 78.1 | 34690 | 260.1 | 7.4 | 34979 | 351.4 | 9.2 | 35799 |  |
|  | DG 316 D | 101.5 | 69 | 33893 | 258 | 19.4 | 34104 | 350.8 | 7.8 | 34781 |  |
|  | DG 317 A | 97.1 | 47.9 | 36746 | 257 | 40.3 | 37036 | 355.7 | 10.1 | 37438 |  |
|  | DG 317 B | 91.3 | 48.5 | 31962 | 252.8 | 40 | 32295 | 350.6 | 9.3 | 32573 |  |
|  | DG 317 C | 72.3 | 32.8 | 33542 | 206 | 47 | 33887 | 325.2 | 24.5 | 33984 |  |
|  | DG 317 D | 68.7 | 32.4 | 33652 | 195.3 | 43.2 | 34049 | 317.6 | 29.6 | 34107 |  |
|  | DG 318 A | 157.9 | 57.1 | 42758 | 46.3 | 13.4 | 43062 | 308.6 | 29.5 | 43602 |  |
|  | DG 318 B | 151.4 | 65.6 | 41213 | 34.9 | 11.5 | 41750 | 300.4 | 21.3 | 42215 |  |
|  | DG 319 A | 306.1 | 67.1 | 36480 | 150.7 | 21 | 38134 | 57.3 | 8.7 | 38607 |  |
|  | DG 319 B | 311.9 | 68.8 | 36495 | 158.8 | 19.1 | 38088 | 65.7 | 8.9 | 38479 |  |
|  | DG 319 C | 317.3 | 67.6 | 35871 | 152.9 | 21.6 | 37356 | 60.7 | 5.4 | 37840 |  |
|  | DG 319 D | 318.8 | 68.2 | 37314 | 145.4 | 21.6 | 38922 | 54.5 | 2.3 | 39434 |  |
|  | DG 320 A | 262.3 | 34.3 | 33838 | 355.3 | 4.4 | 34436 | 91.8 | 55.4 | 34742 |  |
|  | DG 320 B | 261.7 | 30.7 | 36048 | 171.4 | 0.4 | 37016 | 80.8 | 59.2 | 37392 |  |
|  | DG 320 C | 260.2 | 31.3 | 33734 | 161.2 | 14.4 | 34721 | 49.8 | 54.8 | 35082 |  |
|  | DS 66 A | 270.9 | 27.2 | 16243 | 5.9 | 9.6 | 16449 | 113.5 | 60.9 | 16632 |  |
|  | DS 66 B | 283.1 | 16.8 | 16199 | 17.1 | 13 | 16443 | 143 | 68.5 | 16619 |  |
|  | DS 66 C | 287 | 17.2 | 13556 | 25.4 | 25.5 | 13888 | 166.7 | 58.5 | 14010 |  |

113

| DG 004 A | 359.8 | 54.3 | 26774 | 254.8 | 10.6 | 26967 | 157.6 | 33.7 | 27548 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DG 005 A | 52.3 | 33.7 | 14514 | 289.1 | 39.4 | 14663 | 167.5 | 32.5 | 14774 |
| DG 005 B | 39.3 | 47.2 | 15589 | 253.9 | 37.4 | 15774 | 149.6 | 17.8 | 15909 |
| DG 005 C | 42 | 38.9 | 13551 | 260.6 | 44.1 | 13664 | 149.5 | 20.5 | 13781 |
| DG 005 D | 61.7 | 31 | 13628 | 266.2 | 56.6 | 13729 | 158.6 | 11.3 | 13797 |
| DG 006 A | 157.7 | 14.7 | 27547 | 65.4 | 8.6 | 27978 | 306 | 72.9 | 28617 |
| DG 006 B | 167.3 | 11.6 | 25605 | 75.6 | 8.1 | 25977 | 311.6 | 75.8 | 26662 |
| DG 006 C | 161.9 | 15.4 | 26291 | 69.6 | 8.3 | 26751 | 312.2 | 72.4 | 27361 |
| DG 007 A | 182.2 | 56.7 | 9035.7 | 20 | 32 | 9082.2 | 284.8 | 8.2 | 9158.2 |
| DG 007 B | 178.8 | 70.8 | 7716.7 | 6.8 | 19 | 7767.9 | 275.9 | 2.5 | 7844.4 |
| DG 007 C | 165.8 | 67 | 8392.3 | 14.8 | 20.4 | 8452.1 | 280.9 | 10.2 | 8541 |
| DG 008 A | 75 | 16.5 | 52829 | 273.7 | 72.7 | 53025 | 166.5 | 5.3 | 53276 |
| DG 008 B | 235.3 | 12.2 | 46505 | 99.1 | 73.3 | 46664 | 327.8 | 11.2 | 47007 |
| DG 009 A | 282.1 | 6.4 | 66870 | 22.8 | 58.8 | 67502 | 188.3 | 30.4 | 68185 |
| DG 010 A | 66.7 | 58.7 | 38796 | 288.2 | 24.5 | 38832 | 189.6 | 18.2 | 39354 |
| DG 010 B | 289.9 | 16.5 | 40840 | 50.1 | 59.6 | 41015 | 192 | 24.8 | 41491 |
| DG 011 A | 130.6 | 11.2 | 42338 | 223.4 | 13.5 | 42945 | 2 | 72.3 | 43488 |
| DG 011 B | 122.6 | 7.1 | 43490 | 214.6 | 15.8 | 44261 | 9.1 | 72.6 | 44753 |
| DG 011 C | 127.7 | 14.7 | 31097 | 220.1 | 9.2 | 31569 | 341 | 72.6 | 32201 |

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

*AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units


| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $\begin{array}{cc} k_{\text {min }} & \\ \text { inc. } & \text { int. } \end{array}$ | dec. | int inc. | int. | dec. | $k_{\text {max }}$ inc. | int. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 111 C | 223.8 | 79.5114970 | 6.6 | 8.4 | 117460 | 97.5 | 6.3 | 119310 |  |
|  | DG 111 D | 206 | 73.4113090 | 359.9 | 15 | 115110 | 91.8 | 7 | 116790 |  |
|  | DG 112 A | 48.9 | 39.949500 | 167.8 | 30 | 49750 | 282.2 | 35.6 | 50247 |  |
|  | DG 112 B | 198.2 | 22.744006 | 81.9 | 46.6 | 44317 | 305 | 34.6 | 44558 |  |
|  | DG 113 A | 106.5 | 9.881487 | 209.2 | 52 | 84195 | 9.2 | 36.3 | 85708 |  |
|  | DG 113 B | 246.8 | 0.677809 | 339.6 | 78 | 80630 | 156.7 | 12 | 81608 |  |
|  | DG 113 C | 107.5 | 10.878697 | 211.9 | 52.7 | 80880 | 9.8 | 35.3 | 82398 |  |
|  | DG 113 D | 260 | 8.690205 | 147.2 | 68.6 | 93494 | 353.1 | 19.5 | 94730 |  |
|  | DG 113 E | 264.2 | 5.481913 | 129.3 | 82.3 | 84684 | 354.7 | 5.4 | 85985 |  |
|  | DG 122 A | 93.1 | $\begin{array}{lll}76.6 & 15682\end{array}$ | 325 | 8.4 | 15810 | 233.4 | 10.4 | 15948 |  |
|  | DG 122 B | 60.9 | $70 \quad 25135$ | 183.2 | 11 | 25294 | 276.5 | 16.5 | 25408 |  |
|  | DG 122 C | 323.2 | 55.526187 | 114.2 | 31 | 26439 | 212.5 | 13.6 | 26730 |  |
|  | DG 122 D | 71.9 | 69.415578 | 327.3 | 5.4 | 15672 | 235.3 | 19.8 | 15854 |  |
|  | DG 123 A | 274.8 | 42.244130 | 150.6 | 31.8 | 44473 | 38.3 | 31.4 | 44713 |  |
|  | DG 123 B | 306.2 | 33.351527 | 154.2 | 53.4 | 51685 | 45.3 | 13.5 | 52051 |  |
|  | DG 123 C | 330.3 | 78.541690 | 72.6 | 2.5 | 41833 | 163.1 | 11.2 | 41941 |  |
|  | DG 123 D | 288.8 | 49.748897 | 166.6 | 24.3 | 48943 | 61.5 | 29.9 | 49328 |  |
|  | DG 124 A | 24.5 | 65.88895 .7 | 232.8 | 21.6 | 8921.5 | 138.7 | 10.4 | 8935.6 |  |
|  | DG 124 B | 311.9 | 22.74211 .5 | 63.8 | 41.7 | 4270 | 201.5 | 39.7 | 4290.2 |  |
|  | DG 124 C | 33.9 | 26.511746 | 253.7 | 57 | 11787 | 133.3 | 18.2 | 11800 |  |
|  | DG 124 D | 313.3 | $22.5 \quad 6906$ | 67.6 | 44.8 | 6932.6 | 205.3 | 36.7 | 6959.1 |  |
|  | DG 125 A | 129.1 | 42.938374 | 35.1 | 4.3 | 38601 | 300.4 | 46.7 | 38780 |  |
|  | DG 125 B | 136 | 48.438309 | 31.8 | 12.2 | 38616 | 291.7 | 39 | 38739 |  |
|  | DG 126 A | 148.1 | 12.265378 | 256.4 | 55.5 | 66135 | 50.4 | 31.7 | 66577 |  |
|  | DG 126 B | 145.6 | 5.374437 | 244.5 | 58.8 | 75362 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 75639 |  |
|  | DG 126 C | 152.9 | 1679709 | 286.6 | 67.4 | 81260 | 58.3 | 15.5 | 81649 |  |
|  | DG 126 D | 147.2 | 18.182414 | 322.8 | 71.9 | 84213 | 56.7 | 1.3 | 84514 |  |
|  | DG 127 A | 290.1 | 6.448405 | 33.1 | 63.5 | 49121 | 197 | 25.6 | 49436 |  |
|  | DG 127 B | 97.7 | 1349116 | 351.2 | 51 | 49563 | 197.3 | 36 | 50192 |  |
|  | DG 128 A | 267.8 | 19.370460 | 56.1 | 67.6 | 71889 | 174 | 10.9 | 72875 |  |
|  | DG 128 B | 270.5 | 22.265875 | 71.4 | 66.7 | 67046 | 177.6 | 6.9 | 68007 |  |
|  | DG 129 A | 228.5 | $\begin{array}{llll}37.8 & 51364\end{array}$ | 346.5 | 31.2 | 51755 | 103.3 | 36.6 | 52177 |  |
|  | DG 129 B | 211.2 | $37.6 \quad 50392$ | 336.7 | 37 | 50684 | 93.6 | 31 | 51203 |  |
|  | DG 130 A | 103.6 | 30.663419 | 286.5 | 59.4 | 64205 | 194.4 | 1.3 | 64511 |  |
|  | DG 130 B | 109.6 | 35.262004 | 296.8 | 54.6 | 62676 | 202 | 3.4 | 63142 |  |
|  | DG 328 A | 80.2 | 4.14161 .7 | 179.6 | 66.3 | 4192.1 | 348.5 | 23.3 | 4220.5 |  |
|  | DG 328 B | 82.8 | $\begin{array}{ll}13.1 & 4891\end{array}$ | 202.4 | 64.7 | 4915.9 | 347.6 | 21.2 | 4943.1 |  |
|  | DG 328 C | 78.3 | 8.83632 .9 | 191.2 | 68.2 | 3652.7 | 345.2 | 19.8 | 3681.6 |  |

*AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

| Subarea | Sample\# |  | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. | int. | dec. | inc. | int. | dec. | inc. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 329 A | 320.1 | 17.1 | 759.6 | 227.2 | 9.5 | 763.5 | 109.3 | 70.3 | 768.8 |  |
|  | DG 329 B | 40.7 | 54.7 | 981 | 259 | 29.1 | 984.9 | 158.5 | 18.2 | 987.3 |  |
|  | DG 329 C | 70.2 | 1.9 | 993.5 | 160.7 | 15.6 | 997 | 333.4 | 74.2 | 1000.3 |  |
|  | DG 341 A | 64.3 | 75.8 | 72341 | 302 | 7.7 | 73038 | 210.3 | 11.8 | 73247 |  |
|  | DG 341 B | 66 | 69.2 | 72152 | 305.9 | 10.8 | 72395 | 212.4 | 17.6 | 72794 |  |
|  | DG 341 C | 73.4 | 53.3 | 70131 | 297.9 | 28 | 70328 | 195.6 | 21.7 | 70711 |  |
|  | DG 342 A | 117.5 | 6.7 | 81369 | 281.8 | 83 | 81710 | 27.3 | 1.9 | 82613 |  |
|  | DG 342 B | 124.8 | 20.6 | 83469 | 327.4 | 67.8 | 83853 | 217.8 | 7.8 | 84594 |  |
|  | DG 342 C | 119.5 | 5.8 | 79848 | 254.9 | 81.9 | 80497 | 28.9 | 5.7 | 81109 |  |
|  | DG 343 A | 110.2 | 30 | 3817.1 | 8.3 | 19.7 | 3880.7 | 250.1 | 52.9 | 3937.5 |  |
|  | DG 343 B | 100.3 | 30.5 | 4629.4 | 8 | 3.9 | 4692.4 | 271.5 | 59.2 | 4742.1 |  |
|  | DG 343 C | 100.2 | 19.1 | 2067.4 | 206.6 | 39.1 | 2089.6 | 350.2 | 44.7 | 2100.2 |  |
|  | DG 344 A | 289.4 | 20.2 | 2775.2 | 63.1 | 61.9 | 2796.8 | 192.3 | 18.6 | 2804.1 |  |
|  | DG 344 B | 33.3 | 61.7 | 2665.3 | 280.6 | 11.7 | 2684 | 184.9 | 25.3 | 2695.3 |  |
|  | DG 344 C | 43.5 | 73.8 | 1548.1 | 184.3 | 12.7 | 1562.9 | 276.5 | 9.9 | 1566.9 |  |
|  | DG 345 A | 113.6 | 7.7 | 939.3 | 18.3 | 34.9 | 943.6 | 214.3 | 54 | 944.3 |  |
|  | DG 345 B | 184.1 | 75.9 | 904.9 | 324.4 | 11 | 910.1 | 56.1 | 8.8 | 913.9 |  |
|  | DG 345 C | 19.1 | 68.8 | 991.3 | 198.3 | 21.2 | 993.6 | 288.4 | 0.3 | 996.6 |  |
| III3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DG 092 A | 349.6 | 26.3 | 39526 | 238.1 | 36.6 | 40014 | 106.1 | 42.1 | 41167 |  |
|  | DG 092 B | 351.2 | 28.9 | 37123 | 231.8 | 41.7 | 38014 | 103.6 | 34.7 | 38722 |  |
|  | DG 093 A | 148.5 | 41.4 | 57770 | 318.4 | 48.1 | 58321 | 54 | 5 | 58826 |  |
|  | DG 093 B | 141.7 | 22.3 | 59337 | 287.2 | 63.6 | 60185 | 46.1 | 13.5 | 60387 |  |
|  | DG 093 C | 146 | 30.9 | 56606 | 336.4 | 58.6 | 57280 | 238.7 | 4.7 | 57585 |  |
|  | DG 093 D | 146.1 | 41.8 | 56808 | 330.9 | 48.1 | 57371 | 238.2 | 2.4 | 57625 |  |
|  | DG 094 A | 129.4 | 4.6 | 26015 | 219.4 | 0.5 | 27057 | 315.1 | 85.4 | 27500 |  |
|  | DG 094 B | 234.7 | 14.2 | 28599 | 144 | 2.6 | 29082 | 44 | 75.5 | 29823 |  |
|  | DG 094 C | 127.5 | 9.9 | 25499 | 217.5 | 0.5 | 26527 | 310.6 | 80.1 | 26808 |  |
|  | DG 098 A | 265.5 | 10.4 | 57032 | 173.3 | 12.1 | 58053 | 35 | 73.9 | 58315 |  |
|  | DG 098 B | 248 | 10.7 | 62789 | 155.3 | 14.1 | 63542 | 13.9 | 72.2 | 64073 |  |
|  | DG 099 A | 70.3 | 8.9 | 24881 | 327.4 | 55.1 | 25915 | 166.2 | 33.5 | 26031 |  |
|  | DG 099 B | 67 | 4.2 | 24960 | 334.7 | 29.1 | 26102 | 164.4 | 60.6 | 26107 |  |
|  | DG 099 C | 65.8 | 2.7 | 24434 | 160.7 | 60.8 | 25368 | 334.2 | 29.1 | 25450 |  |
|  | DG 099 D | 70.2 | 3.9 | 24656 | 240.6 | 86.1 | 25626 | 340.2 | 0.6 | 25750 |  |
|  | DG 099 E | 71.3 | 5.5 | 25268 | 166 | 40.7 | 26306 | 335 | 48.7 | 26438 |  |
|  | DG 100 A | 53.4 | 13.4 | 10507 | 319.9 | 14.1 | 10552 | 185.2 | 70.4 | 10700 |  |
|  | DG 100 B | 55.6 | 9.9 | 12277 | 322.5 | 17.5 | 12337 | 173.8 | 69.7 | 12506 |  |
|  | DG 100 C | 35.6 | 17.4 | 10009 | 300.1 | 16.9 | 10046 | 168.6 | 65.4 | 10200 |  |

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units


III4

| DG 145 A | 352.2 | 7.142861 | 92.8 | 55.8 | 43006 | 257.4 | 33.3 | 43457 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DG 145 B | 0.1 | 12.140889 | 101.8 | 43.6 | 41118 | 258.2 | 43.9 | 41569 |
| DG 146 A | 212.5 | 82.262943 | 326.9 | 3.3 | 63333 | 57.3 | 7.1 | 65221 |
| DG 146 B | 285.2 | 74.162700 | 154.7 | 10.5 | 63237 | 62.5 | 11.8 | 64703 |
| DG 147 A | 72.7 | 19.814406 | 333.7 | 23.5 | 14544 | 198.6 | 58.5 | 14576 |
| DG 147 B | 66 | $\begin{array}{lll}25.1 & 17361\end{array}$ | 245.1 | 64.9 | 17506 | 335.8 | 0.3 | 17553 |
| DG 147 C | 74.2 | $\begin{array}{lll}27.6 & 13627\end{array}$ | 170.2 | 11.3 | 13726 | 280.2 | 59.8 | 13778 |
| DG 147 D | 67.3 | 39.316321 | 280.2 | 45.7 | 16442 | 171.9 | 17 | 16513 |
| DG 157 A | 28.5 | 16.114443 | 172.1 | 70.3 | 14484 | 295.3 | 11.1 | 14574 |
| DG 157 B | 49.1 | 59.715422 | 183.6 | 22.3 | 15517 | 281.9 | 19.4 | 15683 |
| DG 158 A | 132.2 | 43.136085 | 40.3 | 2 | 39388 | 308.2 | 46.8 | 40448 |
| DG 158 B | 141.2 | 42.137915 | 232.4 | 1.3 | 40787 | 323.8 | 47.9 | 41783 |
| DG 159 A | 289 | 65.932947 | 118.5 | 23.9 | 33392 | 26.9 | 3.5 | 33493 |
| DG 159 B | 307.4 | 68.222979 | 145 | 20.9 | 23308 | 52.7 | 6 | 23442 |
| DG 159 C | 315.6 | 54.730100 | 139.6 | 35.2 | 30444 | 48.3 | 1.9 | 30530 |
| DG 160 A | 106 | 75.8666 .3 | 239.9 | 10 | 670.6 | 331.7 | 10.1 | 673.2 |
| DG 160 B | 148.3 | 77.1662 .4 | 258 | 4.4 | 664.8 | 349 | 12.1 | 666.6 |
| DG 160 C | 38.4 | 38.9696 .9 | 240.6 | 48.9 | 699.7 | 137.5 | 11.1 | 703.1 |
| DG 160 D | 215.9 | $25.7 \quad 639.9$ | 17.8 | 63.2 | 641.5 | 122.4 | 7.3 | 643.6 |
| DG 161 A | 29.6 | 4313777 | 231.1 | 45 | 13813 | 130 | 10.9 | 13892 |
| DG 162 A | 111.5 | 8162240 | 18.3 | 22 | 163950 | 220.3 | 66.4 | 170580 |
| DG 163 A | 161.9 | 60.254239 | 325.4 | 28.8 | 54778 | 59.3 | 7.1 | 55122 |
| DG 163 B | 137.9 | 67.859387 | 32.1 | 6.3 | 60138 | 299.7 | 21.2 | 60418 |
| DG 163 C | 161.6 | 64.158801 | 0.5 | 24.7 | 59463 | 267.1 | 7.4 | 59852 |

IV3

| DG 136 A | 156.7 | 69.1 | 21956 | 259.9 | 5 | 22207 | 351.7 | 20.3 | 22383 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DG 136 B | 145.8 | 63.3 | 18897 | 37.3 | 9.1 | 19017 | 303.1 | 24.9 | 19237 |
| DG 137 A | 20.3 | 70.2 | 12869 | 248 | 13.7 | 13039 | 154.4 | 14.1 | 13247 |
| DG 137 B | 9.3 | 65.4 | 9372.5 | 217.1 | 22 | 9542.7 | 122.9 | 10.4 | 9578.8 |
| DG 138 A | 68.1 | 49.9 | 32362 | 176.8 | 15.1 | 32606 | 278.1 | 36.1 | 32873 |
| DG 138 B | 58.4 | 58.9 | 32356 | 153.2 | 2.9 | 32625 | 244.9 | 30.9 | 32790 |
| DG 140 A | 21.3 | 30.1 | 16324 | 127.1 | 25.2 | 16483 | 249.8 | 48.9 | 16514 |
| DG 140 B | 359.3 | 41.4 | 17496 | 119.9 | 30 | 17635 | 232.8 | 34 | 17714 |
| DG 141 A | 21.9 | 63.3 | 424 | 287.3 | 2.3 | 426.3 | 196.2 | 26.5 | 428.3 |
| DG 141 B | 141.9 | 38.6 | 380.8 | 26.8 | 28 | 383.7 | 271.6 | 38.7 | 385.3 |

* AMS intensity in $\mu$ SI units

| Subarea | Sample\# | dec. | $k_{\text {min }}$ inc. | int. | dec. | inc. | int. | dec. | inc. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG 141 C | 257.6 | 79.5 | 422.8 | 127.8 | 6.7 | 426.1 | 36.9 | 8 | 429 |
|  | DG 142 A | 354 | 63.7 | 23442 | 155.5 | 25.1 | 23735 | 249 | 7.3 | 23932 |
|  | DG 142 B | 6.3 | 65.9 | 21119 | 146.7 | 19 | 21414 | 241.8 | 14.2 | 21509 |
|  | DG 143 A | 326.3 | 24.7 | 46631 | 218 | 34.3 | 50169 | 84.2 | 45.4 | 51199 |
|  | DG 151 A | 138 | 6.7 | 720.5 | 261.9 | 78.1 | 723.4 | 46.9 | 9.8 | 728.1 |
|  | DG 151 B | 162.5 | 69.3 | 635 | 309 | 17.5 | 639 | 42.4 | 10.7 | 643.8 |
|  | DG 152 A | 125.9 | 82.5 | 40878 | 339.5 | 6.3 | 41012 | 249 | 4.1 | 42096 |
|  | DG 152 B | 156.2 | 5.1 | 29958 | 43.2 | 77.1 | 30198 | 247.3 | 11.8 | 30997 |
|  | DG 153 B | 18.3 | 31.9 | 722.4 | 249.8 | 45 | 723.4 | 127.6 | 28 | 725.6 |
|  | DG 153 C | 97.3 |  | 1028.4 | 188.2 | 8.8 | 1038 | 332.3 | 79.2 | 1041.8 |
|  | DG 154 A | 297.4 | 41.5 | 3322 | 45.6 | 19.4 | 3351.6 | 154.2 | 42.2 | 3377.5 |
|  | DG 154 B | 78.1 | 7.3 | 1530.2 | 168.4 | 1.7 | 1533.9 | 271.7 | 82.5 | 1539.6 |
|  | DG 154 C | 37.6 | 44.7 | 2570.7 | 148.6 | 19.9 | 2578.9 | 255.4 | 38.6 | 2601.9 |
|  | DG 154 D | 179.7 | 52.2 | 2310.8 | 57.5 | 22.4 | 2321.7 | 314.5 | 28.6 | 2331 |
|  | DG 155 A | 329.4 | 57.4 | 646 | 197.6 | 23.1 | 647.7 | 97.8 | 21.7 | 649.9 |
|  | DG 155 B | 288.1 | 25.5 | 565.5 | 105.7 | 64.4 | 567.9 | 197.6 | 0.9 | 571.3 |
|  | DG 155 C | 248.8 | 52 | 604.7 | 87 | 36.6 | 605.3 | 350.3 | 8.9 | 608.1 |
|  | DG 155 D | 311.7 | 79 | 615.2 | 112 | 10.4 | 619.6 | 202.7 | 3.6 | 620.4 |
| IV4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DG 156 A | 31 | 61.3 | 69605 | 162.3 | 19.9 | 70081 | 259.8 | 19.8 | 70688 |
|  | DG 156 B | 15.1 | 40.3 | 74585 | 164.8 | 45.5 | 74972 | 271.4 | 15.7 | 75652 |
|  | DG 156 C | 11.2 | 43.3 | 74310 | 144.8 | 36.2 | 74505 | 254.9 | 25.2 | 75320 |
|  | DG 156 D | 6.6 | 57.6 | 79385 | 144.2 | 25.1 | 79832 | 243.5 | 19.1 | 80717 |

## Appendix D: AARM Data



* AMS intensity in mA/m units

| Outcrop Sample\# | AARM <br> dec. <br> inc. |  |  | int. |  |  | dec. | inc. |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | int. | dec. | inc. | int. |  |  |  |  |

