ASPECTS OF COMPARATIVE GROWTH, MORPHOLOGY

AND ANATOMY OF LONG AND SHORT SHOOTS OF <u>Betula</u>

<u>Papyrifera</u> MARSH.

by

Ⓒ J. CARTEY CAESAR, B.Sc. (Hons.) Ghana

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment

of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science in Biology.

Biology Department
Lakehead University
August, 1983

ProQuest Number: 10611688

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS
The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript
and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed,
a note will indicate the deletion.

ProQuest 10611688

Published by ProQuest LLC (2017). Copyright of the Dissertation is held by the Author.

All rights reserved.
This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code
Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

ProQuest LLC.
789 East Eisenhower Parkway
P.O. Box 1346
Ann Arbor, MI 48106 - 1346

"The twigs of the birch imprint the December sky

Like branching veins upon a thin old hand . . . . "

THOMAS HARDY, December 1912

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

University, I say thank you so much, I shall never
fail you.

Inspiration has been drawn from numerous works
that I have read and/or consulted during this study.
To the authors, I say thank you for lighting the
paths of my thoughts.

To everybody who has had something to do with my stay and
work here, I say thank you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

CHAPTER 2

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd.)

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd.)

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont'd.)

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont'd)

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont'd.)

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont'd.)

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont'd.)

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont'd)

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont'd.)

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 1

"O Lord, I beg upon my knees

That all my various syntheses

May not turn out to be inferior

To those conducted by bacteria."

Anon., discovered by K.V. Thimann.

CHAPTER 1

GENERAL INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

Deciduous monoecious trees and shrubs of the
genus Betula, family Betulaceae (Sargent 1922; Rehder
1940; Lawrence 1951; Dugle 1966) are widespread in
North America (Nuttall 1842; Sargent 1896, 1905, 1922;
Wells and Rue 1927; Halliday and Brown 1943), Europe
(Regel 1865; Gunnarson 1925; Helms and Jorgensen 1927;
Jentys-Szaferowa 1937; Lindberg 1939; Jenssen 1940) and
Asia (Tkachenko 1941; Jung 1960; Wang 1961). Approx-
imately 52 species are currently recognized (Clausen
1973). The geographical range of paper birch(Betula
papyrifera Marsh)in North America is transcontinental
(Quigley and Babcock 1969) (see Fig. 1). According
to Quigley and Babcock (1969) paper birch is a "char-
acteristic species of the Boreal forest region of Canada
and Alaska, becoming shrublike as it approaches the
northern limits of its range."

Paper, or white birch (Brittain and Grant 1965),
is of immense economic importance in the pulp, paper,
veneer, sawn products and furniture industries (Wells
and Rue 1927; Davis 1953; Carpenter 1969; McDonald 1969;
Quigley and Babcock 1969: Saunders 1969). Most of the

FIG. 1. Distribution of paper birch in North America.

(Quigley and Babcock 1969).

other members of the genus <u>Betula</u> are economically valuable tree species (Bügsen and Münch 1929; Lehonkoski 1940; Jenssen 1940; Hyvarinen 1968). Hence extensive silvicultural studies have been documented (e.g. Klaehn and Runquist 1952; Hutnik 1954; Clausen 1965; Marquis 1966; Hyvarinen 1968; Bjorkbom 1969, 1971).

A wide range of studies on Betulaceae spanning various disciplines have been documented. The earliest study on birch I have encountered is that of Leeuwenhöek (1695), who in the course of his anatomical investigations on certain plant cells, examined birch wood. Since then most of the work on the Betulaceae have been taxonomic, palaeobotanical, physiological, morphological, etc. (Table 1-1). Only about 20 to 25 per cent of these studies involved <u>Betula</u> <u>papyrifera</u>. It is apparent that most of the work reported indicates the economic importance of these tree species to foresters in particular.

The occurrence of long ahd short shoots in plants has been documented for over a century. Areschoug (1877) is the first person to observe the occurrence and growth habits of the two shoot types in the literature. Since then a number of studies has been reported (Table 1-2). However, different approaches have been taken to understand the occurrence of these shoot types. The most comprehensive are those combining anatomy with physiology

TABLE 1-1. A survey of representative literature on
            studies of Betulaceae.

Discipline	Authors
Anatomy	Leeuwenhöek 1695; Hanstein 1868; Boubier 1896; Plaut 1910, 1918; Hoar 1916; Bügsen and Münch 1929; Cousins 1933; Abbe 1935, 1938; Rafalski and Wardyn 1939; Tkachenko 1941; Thunnel 1942; Kujala 1946; Garrison 1949; Hall 1952; Gardiner 1958; Clausen 1963; Bhat and Kärkkäinen 1981; Zimmermann and Jeje 1981; Bhat 1982.
Ecology and/or phytogeography	Raup 1936; Hesselman 1937; Acatay 1951; Kåsa 1952; Malmström 1954; Kitamura 1964; Tabata 1964; Dansereau and Pageau 1966; Maillette 1982a, 1982b; Oldemeyer 1982; Smith and Tumey 1982.
Embryology	Nawaschin 1894; Wolpert 1910; Hagman 1963; Davis 1966; Maini and Wang 1967.

5

TABLE 1-1 (cont'd.)

Evolution	Berry 1923; Hall 1952; Tabata 1964; Wolfe and Leopold 1967; Wolfe et al. 1966; Kikuzawa 1982.
Floral anatomy and/or morphology	Spach 1841; Payer 1858; Wolpert 1910; Streicher 1918; Zimmermann 1922; Bügsen and Münch 1929; Abbe 1935, 1938; Macdonald 1971.
General Morphology	Lubbock 1899; Köstal 1903; Moore 1909; Gunnarsson 1925; Foster 1928; Bügsen and Münch 1929; Ruostalo 1954; Magomedmirzaev 1970; Macdonald and Mothersill 1983; Macdonald et al. 1983.
Genetics and Cyto-genetics	Jack 1895; Woodworth 1929, 1930, 1931; Anderson and Abbe 1934; Johnsson 1941, 1944, 1945, 1949; Smith and Nikols 1941; Schreider 1949; Clausen 1962a,1963, 1969, 1973; Tucovic and Javanovic 1969; Dancik and Barnes 1972.

TABLE 1-1 (Cont'd.)

Palaeobotany	Berry 1923; Flint 1947; Lindquist 1949; Braun 1950; Wolfe et al. 1966.
Palynology	Dyakowska 1937; Pohl 1937; Jentys-Szaferowa 1938; Kujala 1946; Sarvas 1952; Clausen 1962b.
Phytopathology	Simpson 1932; Balch and Prebble 1940; Hawbolt 1947; Barter and Balch 1950; Greenidge 1953; Redmond 1951, 1955, 1957; Conklin 1969; Shigo 1969.
General Phenology	Moberg 1857, 1894; Kihlman 1900; Kujala 1924; Kaikko 1940; Kienholz 1941; Burton and Leslie 1952; Sarvas 1952; Kozlowski and Ward 1957; Clausen and Kozlowski 1967; Clausen and Garrett 1969; Sharik 1970; Danilov 1971; Kozlowski 1971; Dancik and Barnes 1972; Gross 1972; Kikuzawa 1978; Clausen 1980;

TABLE 1-1 (Cont'd.)

General Phenology	Kuivinen and Lawson 1982; Maillette 1982a; Schultz et al. 1982.
Physiology and Ecophysiology	Gibbs 1939, 1940; Björkman 1941, 1949; Dimbleby 1952; Tranquillini 1952; Wareing 1954; Ingestad 1957; Vaartaja 1957, 1959; Longman and Wareing 1959; Kawase 1961a, 1961b; Yelenosky 1961; Kozlowski 1963; Eagles and Wareing 1964; Logan 1965; Tubbs 1965; Kozlowski and Keller 1966; Domanski and Kozlowski 1968; Hoyle and Bjorkbom 1969; Gee and Federer 1972; Takahashi 1972, 1973, 1975; Harrison and Saunders 1975; Federer 1976, 1977, 1980; Federer and Gee 1976; Dathe et al. 1978; Downs and Bevington 1981; Tang and Kozlowski 1982; Öquist et al. 1982a, 1982b; Tuomi et al. 1982.
Phytogeology	Flint 1947.

TABLE 1-1 (Cont'd.)

Silviculture	Klaehn and Runquist 1952; Hutnik 1954; Marquis 1966; Bjorkbom 1969, 1971, 1972; Leak et al. 1969; Marquis et al. 1969, etc.
Taxonomy and Cytotaxonomy	De Candolle 1827; Hooker 1838; Nuttall 1842; Regel 1861, 1865; Prantl 1894; Sargent 1896, 1922; Fernald 1902, 1945; Rosendahl 1916, 1928; Schneider 1916; Winkler 1930; Hultén 1944; Kujala 1946; De Pouques 1949; Lawrence 1951; Krüssmann 1962; Brittain and Grant 1965, 1966, 1967, 1968; Dugle 1966.

TABLE 1-2. A chronology of selected studies on long and short shoots, 1877 to date.

YEAR	WORKER	ASPECT OF STUDY	PLANT MATERIAL(S)
1877	Areschoug	General observations	A number of species
1886	Dickson	Bifoliar short shoots	Pinus sylvestris
1916	Herrmann	Frequency of xylem vessels	Berberis Ginkgo Pomaceae Acer Fagus
1929	Bügsen and Münch	General observations and various aspects	A number of species
1929	Schüepp	Heterophylly	Acer
1935	Doak	Dwarf shoots; shoot apex	Pinus
1938	Foster	Shoot apex	Ginkgo
1946	Gunckel & Wetmore	Anatomy, vascular ontogeny, general observations.	Ginkgo
1947	Müller	General observations	Fagus
1949	Gunckel & Coworkers	Shoot expression; auxin relations (physiological)	Ginkgo
1949	Swamy and Bailey	General observations; short shoot anatomy	Cercidiphyllum
1954 1955	Sacher	Shoot apex	Pinus spp.

TABLE 1-2 (Cont'd.)

YEAR	WORKER	ASPECT OF STUDY	PLANT MATERIAL(S)
1955	Titman and Wetmore	Shoot expression auxin relations; anatomy	Cercidiphyllum
1956	Wetmore	Anatomy	Ginkgo
1960	Dasanayake Critchfield Frampton	Morphogenesis Heterophylly; shoot growth Developmental anatomy	Pteridium aquilinum Populus trichocarpa Larix decidua
1961	Gottlieb	Morphogenesis	Pteridium
1964	Ginzburg and Reinhold	Physiology of short shoot buds	Pinus halepensis
1965	Clausen and Kozlowski	Heterophylly	Betula papyrifera
1966	Kozlowski and Clausen	Shoot growth	Betula papyrifera
1967	Clausen and Kozlowski Hanawa	Seasonal growth features Growth and development of dwarf shoots	Larix laricina Pinus densiflora
	Smith	Leaf dimorphism	Liquidambar styraciflua
1970	Critchfield	Heterophylly; shoot growth	Parthenocissus Ginkgo
	Sokolova and Ghosh	Anatomy	Malus domestica
1971	Critchfield	Heterophylly; shoot growth	Acer
1973	Ghosh	Long shoot-short shoot photosynthesis	Malus domestica
1974	Hoddinott & van Zinderen Bakker	Photosynthesis; short shoot-long shoot leaf anatomy	Ginkgo
1979	Owens and Molder	Bud growth, anatomy	Larix
1980	Gregory	Heterophylly; shoot expression and growth; Terminal bud anatomy	Acer saccharum

TABLE 1-2 (Cont'd.)

YEAR	WORKER	ASPECT OF STUDY	PLANT MATERIAL(S)
1982	Isebrands & Nelson Maillette	Branch morphology Bud growth and branch morphology	*Populus* clone *Betula pendula*
	Nelson & Michael Powell & coworkers	Photosynthesis Heterophylly; general observations	*Populus* *Acer*
	Steingraeber	Heterophylly; general observations	*Acer*
	Tuomi & coworkers	Reproductive cost	*Betula pendula*
1983	Macdonald & Mothersill	Organogenesis of short shoots	*Betula papyrifera*
	Caesar & Macdonald	Reproductive Cost in short shoots; growth analysis	*Betula papyrifera*
	Macdonald & coworkers	Long shoot organogenesis	*Betula papyrifera*

as in Ginkgo (Gunckel and Thimann 1949; Gunckel et al.
1949) and Cercidiphyllum (Titman and Wetmore 1955) with
emphasis on auxin relations. In the light of recent
understanding of hormonal control of plant growth it
seems unlikely that auxins alone are the determining
factors in regulating long shoot-short shoot growth.
Other hormones e.g. gibberellins and cytokinins, as well
as nutrients and water availability, may be involved.
Factors which inhibit stem elongation also may be
implicated in the short shoot habit (Sachs 1965).

Comparative anatomical studies of long and short
shoots have received little attention, and, in general,
they lack the details reported here. Most previous studies,
especially on gymnosperms, emphasized shoot apical com-
parisons (Gunckel and Wetmore 1946a, 1946b; Titman and
Wetmore 1955; Cutter 1965; Zimmermann and Brown 1971;
Owens and Molder 1979) in which differences in pith rib
meristematic activity were reported. Hoddinott and
van Zinderen Bakker (1974) and Ghosh (1976) have compared
leaf anatomy qualitatively for Ginkgo and quantitively
for Malus, respectively. A few studies have been reported
for ferns (Webster and Steeves 1958; Gottlieb 1961, 1962).
In addition to differences in rib meristematic activity,
Owens and Molder (1979) have recently pointed out diff-
erences in apical dome size and vigour in Larix occidentalis

prior to dormancy. However, Cutter (1965) in her review, has remarked that the apices of long and short shoots are "anatomically the same". In the case of Cercidiphyllum (Titman and Wetmore 1955), she contends that the apices are "histologically alike". Betula species have not been described. Organogenic studies (Macdonald and Mothersill, 1983; Macdonald et al. 1983) have revealed that the potential for a bud developing as a long shoot is predetermined the year before flushing, as early as mid-July, when a slight extension of internode 4 and internode 5 may be evident in long shoot axillary buds.

Developmental anatomical studies and the anatomical basis for development of long and short shoots of Betula papyrifera Marsh are unknown. Garrison's work (1949) did not compare the anatomy of long and short shoot buds. Her studies involved the successive developmental phases of axillary buds of B. papyrifera and Euptelea polyandra with respect to the sequence of procambium, phloem and xylem differentiation during bud formation. She made no distinction between potential long shoot axillary and potential short shoot axillary buds, although it is clear that she used axillary buds on a long shoot. Since potential short shoot axillary buds do not carry secondary axillary buds (bud primordia in the axils of leaf primordia within a primary bud), as revealed by organogenic studies (Macdonald and Mothersill 1983; Macdonald et al. 1983),

it seems probable that Garrison (1949) examined pseudoterminal, i.e., long shoot buds.

Growth analysis techniques are used in this study to compare long and short shoot growth, as well as vegetative and reproductive shoot growth in order to correlate morphological and anatomical differences. The development of plant growth analysis stems mainly from Gregory (1918) and Blackman (1919) as well as studies by Briggs and co-workers (Briggs et al. 1920a, 1920b). The primary concepts as defined by West et al. (1920) still remain the basis of growth analysis (Radford 1967; Evans 1972; Hunt 1978). The concept has largely been employed by horticulturists and foresters. Most of the earlier studies employed destructive sampling procedures, involving whole plants and trees (all leaves, stems and roots). As noted by Ledig (1974), classical growth analysis was not applied to forest species until recently, "because of the obvious difficulties in harvesting and weighing large trees". Thus, such applications have been restricted to seedling stages (e.g. Rutter 1957; Sweet and Wareing 1968a, 1968b; Ledig and Perry 1969; Madgwick 1971). It is my belief that growth analysis of twigs utilizing appropriate sampling and modelling techniques could yield useful data, without destroying the trees. This study is an evaluation of shoot growth utilizing some of these techniques as a basis

for correlating and/or explaining morphological and
anatomical differences between long and short shoots
of paper birch. A comparison of vegetative and repro-
ductive growth is also made. Growth analysis of long
and short shoots using classical growth indices has
not been reported.

Objectives

The primary objectives of this research were:

1) To compare long and short shoot growth
   utilizing growth analysis techniques.

2) To describe the general morphology of
   long and short shoots and to compare them
   using morphometric analysis.

3) To compare vegetative and reproductive
   shoot growth and to assess the cost of
   reproduction.

4) To study the inception and apical organ-
   ization of potential long and short shoot
   buds at selected stages of development and
   ascertain any differences.

5) To compare the anatomy of long and short
   shoots, with emphasis on stem anatomy.

6) To correlate these data in order to develop
   an understanding of how long and short shoot

growth in paper birch relates to other
studies of long and short shoots.

The results/observations and discussion, with
appropriate introductory paragraphs pertaining to specific
portions of this study are presented as separate chapters.
A general summary chapter will collate and discuss the
pertinent findings. This format is adopted to enhance
convenience of presentation and readability since some
of the chapters have been submitted for publication.

CHAPTER 2

CHAPTER 2

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twigs (i.e., developing branches and their buds) were collected twice a week in April - August, 1981 and 1982 from open-grown 40 year-old paper birch (<u>Betula papyrifera</u> Marsh.) trees situated in the Aboretum of Lakehead University. During September collections were made once a week in both years. These trees, together with others of comparable age in Fort William and Port Arthur locations, were examined for branching patterns and flushing sequences during the same period. In all 11 trees comprising 3 juvenile (20-year-old) and 8 older trees (40 years-old or more) were studied. Samples were collected from the Aboretum trees from branches maximally exposed to sunlight, from the mid-crown region. It is assumed that leaves were mostly sun-leaves, since leaf thickness is affected by shading (Jackson 1967). Both one-year-old long and short shoots growing on the previous year's long shoots are more exposed to light than older short shoots, due to position within the crown.

Determination of leaf shape

Leaf shape was determined according to the classification of Radford et al. (1974).

Determination of leaf area

An Apple II plus microcomputer equipped with a

digitizer was used to determine leaf areas from photo-
copies of fully expanded leaves (Caesar and Macdonald
1983), by tracing outlines on to a graphics tablet.
Freshly collected samples and material fixed in formalin-
acetic acid-alcohol were used for the various quantitative
analyses. To supplement data in the case of plastochron
determination in long shoots, five pseudoterminal buds
were tagged during winter 1982 and leaf measurements
were recorded daily in a non-destructive manner after
leaf emergence. These data complemented those of fixed
material. Preliminary investigations indicated that dry
weight of material was not significantly affected by
fixation. Leaf size was not affected either. Shrinkage
of fixed leaves was $1.70 \pm 0.75\%$ of fresh leaf size and
it depended on leaf size. To alleviate any unwarranted
problem of further shrinkage during the recording of
measurements, leaves of fixed material were placed between
two large microscope slides and photocopied immediately.
Leaves which were too small, as at the time of dissection,
were outlined by means of a camera lucida attached to a
Zeiss microscope before area was determined by the
computer technique.

Determination of dry weight

Dry weight of buds, leaves and stems were obtained by drying

to constant weight in an oven at 70°C. For developmental

analyses, 15 - 28 randomly selected buds were used for

dry weight determinations for each collection date from

April 21 to June 15 in 1982. Comparable data for 1981

was restricted to May 15 to May 29, the period most sen-

sitive to annual variations, if any. For each collection

the buds were partially dissected to determine whether

they were reproductive or vegetative. Sample size of

leaves was more than twice the sample size of buds (i.e.

n > 30), in short shoots, since a number of short shoot

buds (25%) possess 3, or even 4, preformed foliage leaves

(early leaves). In long shoots minimum sample size was

30 since they usually possess two early leaves. Long

shoots which were transformed from short shoots usually

(approximately 20% cases) may possess, 3 or

4 early leaves. This is possibly due to prior short

shoot determination before transformation into long shoot

was induced. All of these long shoots, however, were

not included in short shoot/long shoot comparisons.

Growth analysis

The following growth indices were used as a basis

for comparing long and short shoots and vegetative and

reproductive short shoots: relative growth rate of buds

(Bud-RGR); relative leaf growth rate (Leaf-RGR); Stem:leaf

ratio (SLR); Stem weight ratio (SWR); relative growth rate

of stem (Stem-RGR); stem dry weight increment (SDWI);
leaf area ratio (LAR); leaf weight ratio (LWR); Specific
leaf area (SLA); Specific leaf weight (SLW);Unit leaf
rate (ULR) or net assimilation rate (NAR). In addition,
leaf, petiole and internode lengths were determined during
expansion for long and short shoot comparisons. Leaf-RGR
(based on leaf dry weight or leaf area), SLA, SLW, LAR, and
LWR were derived from standard formulae of Evans (1972) and
Hunt (1978). Based on RGR derivations of Evans and Hunt,
Bud-RGR and Stem-RGR were formulated using dry weights
of buds and stems, respectively.

The following formulae were used:

1. $\text{Bud-RGR} = \dfrac{1}{Wb} \cdot \dfrac{dWb}{dT} = \dfrac{\log_e Wb_2 - \log_e Wb_1}{T_2 - T_1}$

Wb - bud dry weight; T - time in days.

2. $\text{Stem-RGR} = \dfrac{1}{Ws} \cdot \dfrac{dWs}{dT} = \dfrac{\log_e Ws_2 - \log_e Ws_1}{T_2 - T_1}$

Ws - stem dry weight; T - time in days.

3. (a) $\text{Leaf-RGR} = \dfrac{1}{WL} \cdot \dfrac{dWL}{dT} = \dfrac{\log_e WL_2 - \log_e WL_1}{T_2 - T_1}$

WL = leaf dry weight; T - time in days.

(b) $\text{Leaf-RGR*} = \dfrac{1}{LA} \cdot \dfrac{dL}{dT} = \dfrac{\log_e LA_2 - \log_e LA_1}{T_2 - T_1}$

LA - leaf area; T - time in days.

4. $SLR = \dfrac{Ws}{WL}$

5. $SWR = \dfrac{Ws}{W_{SL}}$ where W_{SL} is stem + leaf dry weight

6. $LAR = \dfrac{LA}{W_{SL}}$

7. $LWR = \dfrac{WL}{W_{SL}}$

8. $SLA = \dfrac{LA}{W_{L}}$

9. $SLW = \dfrac{WL}{LA}$ or $SLW = \dfrac{1}{SLA}$

10. $ULR^{1} = \dfrac{\text{Leaf-RGR}}{LAR}$

The complete list of growth analysis abbreviations and definitions are summarized in Table 2-1.

In determinations of SLR and SWR of each bud, bud scales were not included in dry weights.

Allometry

Allometry, as defined by Hunt (1978), is "the study of the growth and development of one part of an organism in relation to another". Allometric growth, otherwise termed heterogonic growth (Hammond 1941), is based on Huxley's (1932) formula $y = bx^{k}$, the main developments being

[1]Although I am aware of the limitations and inherent errors in this instantaneous derivation of ULR (Evans 1972; Hunt 1978) I have used it only to provide a rough estimate of what I would term "Bud-ULR".

TABLE 2-1. List of growth analysis abbreviations.

SYMBOL	DEFINITION	EVANS (1972) AND HUNT (1978) CONNOTATION	UNITS
Bud-RGR	Mean relative growth rate of a bud		day^{-1}
Stem-RGR	Mean relative growth rate of a stem		day^{-1}
Leaf-RGR	Mean relative growth rate of a leaf based on leaf weight	$\bar{R}$	day^{-1}
Leaf-RGR*	Mean relative growth rate of a leaf based on leaf area	$(\bar{R}A)$	day^{-1}
SLR	Ratio of stem dry weight to leaf dry weight		dimensionless
LAR	Leaf area per shoot dry weight	LAR (=F)	$cm^2 \cdot g^{-1}$
SWR	Stem to shoot dry weight ratio	SWR	dimensionless
SLA	Specific leaf area; leaf area per unit leaf dry weight	SLA	$cm^2 \cdot g^{-1}$
SLW	Specific leaf weight; leaf weight per unit leaf area; reciprocal of SLA	SLW	$g \cdot cm^{-2}$
LWR	Leaf weight ratio; the ratio of leaf weight to shoot weight	LWR	dimensionless
ULR(=NAR)	Unit leaf rate otherwise termed net assimilation rate; ratio of leaf-RGR to LAR; an approximate measure of the efficiency of leaves as producers of new photosynthetic material	E	$g \cdot cm^{-2} \cdot day^{-1}$

due to Pearsall (1927, cited by Hunt 1978) and Troughton
(1955, cited by Hunt 1978). In the formula x and y are
the two dimensions of the organ (e.g. leaf length and leaf
width, or leaf weight and stem weight), k is the constant
ratio between their growth rates, and b is a constant repre-
senting an initial relation between the dimensions.

Striking differences between lamina length to width
ratios of long and short shoot early leaves as well as
late leaves of long shoots influenced my applying the
allometric formula. Consequently, it was useful to analyse
the growth relations between stem and leaf, petiole length
and lamina length, leaf area and leaf weight, and shoot
dry weight and total early leaf area in the manner used
by Whitehead and Myerscough (1962).

The following formulae and their logarithmic
transformations were used:

1) $LW = bLL^k$

   $\log LW = \log b + K \log LL$ where LW and LL are
   lamina width and length,
   respectively.

2) $SDW = bLDW^k$

   $\log SDW = \log b + K \log LDW$ where SDW and LDW are
   stem and leaf dry weight
   respectively.

3) $PL = bLL^k$

  $\log PL = \log b + K\log LL$ where PL is petiole

length, and LL as in

equation 1.

4) $LA = bLDW^k$

  $\log LA = \log b = K\log LDW$ where LA is leaf area

and LDW is leaf dry

weight as in equation 2.

This concept was also used to evaluate the anatomical relationship between wood and bark formation in one-year-old long and short shoots, using the formula:

$$X_R = bB_R^{\,k}$$

  $\log X_R = \log b + K\log B_R$ where X_R and B_R are

xylem and bark radii,

respectively.

The K values were obtained using regression analysis of log transformations and were compared for statistical differences based on regression (Sokal and Rohlf 1981).

Leaf and internode extension

Buds collected from April to August were used. Prior to flushing, buds were dissected in 70% alcohol, and

the leaf lengths and subjacent internodes were measured
using a dissecting microscope calibrated to the nearest
0.1 mm. After leaves and internodes had attained lengths
of 1 cm they were measured by means of a ruler to the
nearest 0.5 mm. In all, a minimum of 16 and a maximum of
22 buds were measured for each collection date in 1981
and 1982, except for the April collections in which sample
size averaged 10 buds (8 - 13 buds). To complement these
measurements, ten each of long shoots and short shoots were
tagged on a younger tree (about 25 years old) and the leaf
lengths and internodes were measured every two days. Data
from both methods were found to be similar.

Morphometric measurements and analyses

 Fully mature organs were used in all morphometric
measurements. The following were measured:

  1) leaf area (early and late leaves)

  2) lamina length to width ratio

  3) number of side nerve pairs

  4) number of serrations per unit length of leaf
    margin

  5) petiole length

  6) petiole dry weight

  7) internode length

  8) bud dry weight per node

9) specific leaf area

10) total early leaf area per node of n + 2

   year old long shoots

11) lamina length to petiole length ratio.

Histological methods

Material fixed in FAA was washed in 70% ethanol prior to dehydration in tertiary butyl alcohol (TBA) series (Johansen 1940). After dehydration material was infiltrated with Paraplast (MP. 58 - 61°C) at 60°C in an oven for 2 - 3 days. Material was subsequently embedded in disposable Tissue-Tek embedding rings on a Tissue-Tek II thermoelectric embedding centre (Fisher Scientific Co., Ltd), and kept in a refrigerator at 4°C until ready for sectioning.

Transverse and longitudinal sections (4 - 10 μm) were made with an American Optical 820 rotary microtome. Sections were floated on to Corning micro-slides (thickness 0.96 - 1.06 mm) in 3% Formalin. Slides were precleaned in 100% entanol and then pretreated with Haupt's adhesive (Johansen 1940; Jensen 1962) to hold sections on to slides. These were then placed on a Precision Scientific Company slide warmer at 35° to 40°C. Slides were stored in slide boxes until ready for staining.

The following histological stains of BDG and Fisher Scientific Company grades were used:

a) Safranin

b) Fast Green

c) Orange G

d) Toluidine blue O

e) Tannic acid

Safranin-Fast green combination of the composition given by Johansen (1940) and Sharman's (1943) tannic acid-iron alum with Safranin and orange G were used. For rapid staining,the polychromatic toluidine blue O stain was used (Sakai 1973). A combination of Sharman's (1943) technique counterstained for 5 seconds in Fast green, was also found to give excellent contrast. Original staining schedules (Johansen 1940; Sharman 1943) were modified to obtain desirable results. Stained sections were routinely mounted in permount.

Photomicrography

A Zeiss microscope fitted with a camera mount was used. Kodak technical pan film 2415 with different stain-filter combinations depending on type of stain was employed. Films were developed to maximum contrast using Kodak HC-110 developer, dilution D, for 6 minutes. Silhouette drawings of bud composition were obtained with the aid of a Zeiss camera lucida. In the case of mature leaves, as in Fig. 4 -3a draw-

ings were made directly from photocopies.

Comparative anatomical analysis

To compare shoot apical organization transverse
and longitudinal sections of potential long and short
shoot buds (n+1 year-old) were used in addition to terminal
short shoot buds (n+2 to n+5 years). Both shoots had a
2/5 phyllotaxy. It was also noted that the rudimentary
leaves of the current season's growth occurred on the same
orthostichies as previous year's rudimentaries in older
short shoots.

The following anatomical characters were observed
qualitatively or quantitatively to compare internode 2 of
n+2 year old long and short shoots and internode 4 of
older short shoots, after the internodes have ceased to
elongate:

1) wood to bark ratio (wood radius divided by bark
   radius)

2) radial diameter of xylem vessels

3) secondary xylem radial width

4) secondary phloem radial width

5) diameter of pith

6) mean diameter of pith parenchyma cells

7) radial width of cortex

8) periderm width
   See Fig. 2 - 1 for locations of measurements.

FIG. 2-1. Locations of various anatomical features
           measured.

ABBREVIATIONS:

PER	:	PERIDERM
COR	:	CORTEX
PH	:	PHLOEM
X	:	XYLEM
CAM	:	CAMBIUM
PHF	:	PHLOEM CAP FIBRES
P	:	PITH

PH, X : SECONDARY TISSUE

2-1

Statistical analysis

Standard parametric statistical techniques were used as described by Snedecor and Cochran (1980), Parker (1973) and Sokal and Rohlf (1981). To compare the various growth characteristics, morphometric and anatomical data, Student's t-test ($p = 0.05$; 0.01; 0.001) and analysis of variance were calculated for each data set. Where F-ratios indicated that the homogeneity of variance did not hold, a conservative t-test (Snedecor and Cochran 1980) was used. In the case of allometric relationships, transformation of data to natural logarithms (Hunt 1978; Sokal and Rohlf 1981) was desirable in order to obtain linear relationships between variables in addition to stabilizing residual variance between respective growth and morphometric measurements.

Linear regressions were run comparing various attributes e.g. lamina length : width, bud weight : node number etc. of long and short shoots as well as vegetative and reproductive shoots. Significant linear regressions were determined using Student's t-test and analysis of variance ($p = 0.05$; 0.01; 0.001). Slope of the regression different from zero was tested using analysis of variance at $p = 0.01$.

CHAPTER 3

CHAPTER 3

COMPARISONS BETWEEN MORPHOLOGY, GROWTH
CHARACTERISTICS AND EXPRESSION OF VEGETATIVE LONG
AND SHORT SHOOTS.[1]

Introduction

Paper birch, Betula papyrifera Marsh., is one of

a number of temperate woody species which bear two distinctly

different shoot types broadly classified as long and short

shoots (Clausen and Kozlowski 1965; Kozlowski and Clausen

1966). Either may be vegetative or reproductive. Long

shoots tend to be predominant in the upper crown (Kozlowski

1971; Maillette 1982a) and short shoots are more common

in the lower crown. Furthermore, juvenile trees bear more

vigorous long shoots than older trees. The proportion of

the long shoots decreases with tree age, which has also

been clearly demonstrated for Ginkgo (Gunckel and Wetmore

1949) and Fagus (Müller 1947). In the latter, the percentage

of leaves borne on short shoots increased with age from

55% in 24-year-old trees to 92% in an 83-year-old tree.

---

[1]Most of the growth data in this chapter have been
submitted for publication in the Canadian Journal of Botany
as:
a) Macdonald, A. D., D. H. Mothersill and J. C. Caesar.
        Shoot development in Betula papyrifera III.
        Long shoot organogenesis; and,
b) Caesar, J. C. and A. D. Macdonald. IV. Comparisons
        between growth characteristics and expression of
        vegetative long and short shoots.

What, then, determines the long and short shoot habit
and when during bud development can the distinction be
seen? Is determination of a bud a pre- or post-dormancy
phenomenon? Finally, how can bud growth be related to
the differences in shoot types?

Studies on long and short shoots are comparatively
few. The majority of them relate to anatomy (e.g., Foster
1938; Gunckel and Wetmore 1946a, 1946b; Rouffa and Gunckel
1951; Titman and Wetmore 1955; Zimmermann and Brown 1971;
Owens and Molder 1979) as well as shoot expression in
Ginkgo (Gunckel et al. 1949; Critchfield 1970a),
Cercidiphyllum (Swamy and Bailey 1949; Titman and Wetmore
1955), Fagus (Müller 1947, 1954), Larix (Frampton 1960;
Clausen and Kozlowski 1967, 1970; Owens and Molder 1979),
Acer (Schüepp 1929; Critchfield 1971; Fischer 1977;
Metzger 1977; Gregory 1980; Powell et al. 1982), Betula (Clausen and
Kozlowski 1965; Kozlowski and Clausen 1966; Metzger 1977;
Maillette 1982a), Pinus (Dickson 1886; Doak 1935; Sacher
1955; Hanawa 1967; Kozlowski 1971; Zimmermann and Brown
1971), Populus (Critchfield 1960; Kozlowski and Clausen
1966; Maini 1966a, 1966b; Isebrands and Nelson 1982),
Parthenocissus (Millington 1963; Critchfield 1970b;
Moore 1975), Liquidambar (Smith 1967; Zimmermann and
Brown 1971; Lam III and Brown 1974), Magnolia (Postek
and Tucker 1982), and Malus (Felber 1948; Pratt et al. 1959).

In addition to these, Bügsen and Münch (1929) have
discussed aspects of the two shoot types in some of the
above species as well as in Quercus, Fraxinus, Ulmus,
Berberis, Prunus and Rhamnus carthatica L. Some of these
studies concentrated on aspects of heterophylly (e.g.,
Critchfield 1960, 1970b, 1971; Kozlowski and Clausen 1966;
Kozlowski 1971; Curtis and Lersten 1978; Gregory 1980; Powell et al.
1982; Steingraeber 1982). Although in many of these
studies growth of leaves and internodal extension are
described, none of them evaluates growth indices such
as relative growth rate, specific leaf area, leaf area
ratio, leaf weight ratio, etc., from a developmental
perspective. Nelson and Michael (1982) recently reported
studies on specific leaf weight (SLW) in mature leaves
only, with respect to photosynthetic rate and leaf
conductance in long and short shoots of a Populus
hybrid clone. Similar variations in leaf size and
specific leaf weight between long and short shoots of
Populus has recently been reported (Isebrands and Nelson
1982).

This study reports general observations on shoot
morphology, bud organography and shoot expression utilizing
1) growth analysis procedures, and 2) morphometric
measurements to establish differences between the two
shoot types in paper birch.

Observations and Discussion

Gross morphology

Morphologically, paper birch, B. papyrifera
Marsh., possesses two distinctly different shoot types,
broadly classified as long and short shoots. Long
shoots (Fig. 3-1a) have extended internodes (0.5 to
about 5.0 cm) and short shoots (Fig. 3-1b), which fail
to elongate more than a few millimetres, rarely exceed
4 cm in length after 10 years. Only one-year-old long
shoots and short shoots of all ages bear leaves directly
on their axes. Long shoots bear two sets of foliage
leaves, "early" leaves and "late" leaves; and short
shoots form only "early" leaves. Consequently, long
shoots are termed heterophyllous shoots (Clausen and
Kozlowski 1965). In contrast to Magomedmirzaev (1970)
who describes 4 types of shoots, 6 subcategories of long
and short shoots may be identified in B. papyrifera.
These are: 1) vegetative long shoots with late shoot
tip abortion (shoot tip abortion occurring from August
till the end of the growing season) (Fig. 3-2a); 2)
long shoots which exhibit early shoot tip abortion i.e.,
in late June - early July (Fig. 3-2b, d); 3) repro-
ductive long shoots in which the terminal vegetative
apex is transformed into the male inflorescence apex

FIG. 3-1a. Long shoot showing early leaves, late leaves,

and extended internodes.

FIG. 3-1b. Vegetative (b_1) and reproductive (b_2) short

shoots. Note larger and widely ovate leaves

associated with vegetative shoots. Reproductive

shoots have smaller and elliptical leaves.

A branched short shoot system is shown.

3-1a

3-1b

1

2

FIG. 3-2. x0.5. Drawings of various categories of long

shoots with leaves removed.

a. vigorous long shoot with terminal

cluster of small unexpanded late leaves.

b. long shoot with aborted shoot tip due

to insect damage (see Fig. 3-7).

c. male reproductive long shoot.

d. long shoot formed terminally on

a previous year's short shoot.

e. long shoot which developed from

an axillary bud on a previous year's

reproductive short shoot.

L10
L9
L8
L7
L6
L5
L4
L3
L1
L2
a.

L7
L6
L5
L4
L2 L1
L3
b.

L6
L5
L4
L1
L2
L3
c.

shoot tip
aborted
L8
L7
L6
L4
L5
L3
d.

shoot tip
aborted
L7
L6
L5
L4
L3
e.

3-2

(Fig. 3-2c);   4)   vegetative short shoots (Fig. 3-1b$_1$);
5)   reproductive short shoots with terminal female inflores-
cence (Fig. 3-1b$_2$), and 6)   short shoots which metamorphose
into long shoots (Figs. 3-2d and 3-3).

Only long shoots bear more than one bud, which
are all axillary; there is no true terminal bud because
shoot tip abortion occurs. Thus, the last formed axillary
bud becomes the presumptive terminal, hence it is referred
to as the pseudoterminal. Reproductive long shoots have
one terminal male inflorescence and 1 - 3 distal, axillary
inflorescences. Short shoots on the other hand develop a
single terminal bud as a rule. However, axillary buds
develop only when the terminal apex is transformed into
a female inflorescence apex. Such short shoots have a
greater internodal extension between early leaves than
comparable vegetative shoots. What triggers the slight
internodal extension is not known. Two axillary buds
may occur in female short shoots in the axils of each
early leaf.

Bud organography

In B. papyrifera axillary buds are borne in all
leaf axils of long shoots except leaves 1 (a rudimentary
leaf) and usually 2 and 3 (early leaves). Leaf position
on an axis is described in acropetal sequence as L$_1$, L$_2$,
L$_3$... etc. Occasionally, the early leaves may bear small

FIG. 3-3. Long shoot developed from terminal vegetative

bud of an eight-year-old short shoot - note

the cluster of four early leaves at the base.

Also note browning of distal internode (arrow),

onset of shoot tip abortion.

LS

SS

3-3

abortive buds in their axils. In a centripetal order,
that is, in acropetal sequence, axillary buds on a long
shoot have the following characteristics: 1 stipulate
rudimentary leaf, 2 large embryonic foliage leaves with
stipular bud scales, 1 small embryonic leaf with stipules,
three progressively smaller leaf primordia with attached
stipules and a leaf buttress (Fig. 3-4). On a long shoot
distal buds usually develop into long shoots and proximal
buds flush as short shoots. Therefore, based on position
these buds are termed potential long shoots and potential
short shoots, respectively. Both bud types have the same
bud composition except a fewer number of leaf primordia
in potential short shoot buds. Before the end of the
growing season, however, potential long shoot buds exhibit
a slight expansion of the internodes between $L_3 - L_4$ and
$L_5 - L_6$, the presumptive late leaves (Fig. 3-5). This
is first noticeable upon dissection in mid-July (Macdonald
and Mothersill 1983; Macdonald et al. 1983). A slight
internodal expansion has similarly been observed prior to
the end of the growing season in long shoots of <u>Larix</u>
(Owens and Molder 1979). Thus for buds of comparable age,
potential long and short shoot buds (n + 1 year-old) have
similar bud composition and characteristics and differ only
in that long shoot buds exhibit 2 - 3 slightly expanded
internodes and 1 - approximately 3 additional leaf primordia.

FIG. 3-4. X 9. Bud compositions of axillary long
shoot buds.

    a. Composition of a vegetative bud
situated distally on a long shoot i.e.,
potential long shoot bud. L_1, rudimentary
leaf; L_2, L_3, embryonic foliage leaves;
L_4, smaller embryonic foliage leaf;
L_5, L_6, L_7, L_8, primordial foliage
leaves.

    b. Bud composition and aestivation of
appendages of a proximally situated
bud on a long shoot i.e., potential
short shoot bud. L_1, rudimentary leaf;
L_2, L_3, embryonic foliage leaves;
L_4, L_5, L_6, primordial rudimentary
leaves.

L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 L6

L7, L8 are on the apex

3-4A

LEGEND

⊕ axis   leaf   stipule

L6 is on
the apex

L2

L3

L5

L4

L1

D.M.

3-4B

FIG. 3-5. Dissected buds of potential long and short
shoots of 14 July 1981 collection showing
relative internodal distances (from
Macdonald et al. 1983).

However, the terminal bud of older vegetative short shoots is different from potential long and short shoot buds, in that it has 3 rudimentary leaves with prominent stipular bud scales (instead of 1), 2 - 3 (rarely four) embryonic foliage leaves with stipules and three very small leaf primordia with stipules. These last primordia suffer lamina abortion and become the three rudimentary leaves of a subsequent year. Fig. 3-6 illustrates the aestivation. For a seven-node long shoot i.e., so-called "determinate" long shoots, bud size, as defined by the number of leaf primordia contained in the bud, increases acropetally. This may not be related to bud length as in Populus (Maini 1966a) and Acer (Metzger 1977). It is possible that in extremely long, long shoots, the most distal axillary buds i.e., at nodes 11, 12, 13 in a thirteen-node long shoot may have fewer primordia than other more developed potential long shoot buds immediately proximal to them. Does this relate to flushing sequence on a long shoot?

Shoot expression

Long shoots develop from distal axillary buds of a previous year's long shoot; short shoots form from proximal axillary buds of the previous year's long shoot, short shoot terminal and short shoot axillary buds

Fig. 3-6. X 6.   Composition and aestivation of

appendages of vegetative short shoot

terminal buds. L_1, L_2, L_3, rudimentary leaves;

L_4, L_5, L_6, embryonic foliage leaves;

L_7, L_8, L_9, primordial rudimentary

leaves.

LEGEND

⊕ hole

(foot

(dimple

Light on the apex

3-6

(Macdonald et al 1983). Long shoots may also develop from terminal short shoot buds (long shoot meta-morphosis) or axillary buds of reproductive short shoots.

In spring, it is the potential long shoot buds which flush first on previous year's long shoots, but this may be related to age and developmental stage of the buds. In extremely long long shoots flushing begins in the middle portion of the shoot, progressing first acropetally and then basipetally. The potential long shoots in the middle portion are definitely older and perhaps more developed than the most distal ones. The extent of branching subsequently depends on the vigour/length of the long shoot. The number of current-year long shoots on a previous year's long shoot is highly correlated with previous year's long shoot length or node number. For a sample of 115 vegetative long shoots the relation between the number of buds (X) on a previous year's long shoot and the number of current year long shoots (Y) which develop from them is:

$$Y = 0.48X + 0.87, \quad (r = 0.857; \ p < 0.001).$$

Mean number of buds on previous year's shoots was 8.8 ± 3.3 and mean number of buds which develop into long shoots was 5.1 ± 2.7. This implies that 44 to 64% of the buds have long shoot growth potential depending on the length of the parent long shoot.

In mature B. papyrifera trees used in this study,
buds of both long and short shoots do not flush during
the same season in which they are formed, that is,
"sylleptic" branches (Hallé et al 1978) or "lammas"
shoots (Kramer and Kozlowski 1960; Kozlowski 1971) do
not occur. Thus axillary buds on mature paper birch
trees produce only proleptic branches. Nevertheless,
I have observed the induction, by pruning, of syllepsis
in 40-year-old trees. Syllepsis has been observed in
Populus species (Nelson et al 1979), silver birch (Maillette
1982a) and young paper birch (Caesar, unpublished ob-
servation). The same phenomenon which Champagnat (1965)
terms "rameau anticipees" has been observed in very vigorous
long, long shoots of juvenile trees. The vigour and
branching potential of long shoots will determine crown
expansion of individual trees and this presumably relates
to photosynthetic potential (Macdonald et al 1983) and/or
efficiency. Short shoots, as a rule, do not branch.
However, when the short shoot terminal apex is transformed
into an inflorescence apex, axillary buds are formed.
Usually two axillary buds are formed in the axils of the
early leaves but the one subtended by the first early
leaf is smaller and aborts. Depending on the size and
vigour of both axillary short shoot buds, both may flush
as short shoots in the following year and result in a

branched short shoot (Fig. 3-1b). Müller (1947) did
not, however, observe branched short shoots in beech.

Although long shoots determine crown size which
may be related to photosynthetic turnover, on the other
hand, shoot tip abortion and male inflorescence induction
curtail long shoot vigour, reduce branching potential
and therefore limit photosynthetic capacity (i.e., fewer
late leaves occur on less vigorous long shoots hence
smaller photosynthesizing area). Long shoots of birch
frequently suffer shoot tip abortion (Millington 1963;
Romberger 1963; Millington and Chaney 1973) as is typical
of most indeterminate species (Kozlowski 1971) including
paper birch (Marks 1975). The causes are probably chiefly
insect damage (Fig. 3-7) and also water stress and/or
other less understood physiological phenomena which
Kozlowski (1971) refers to as "a natural characteristic
of a wide variety of temperate zone woody plants". How-
ever, it is clear that the incidence of shoot tip abortion
during the growing season is bimodal, with two peaks, one
in late June-early July, and one in August. Perhaps the
latter may be related more to water stress, an area which
must be examined. The size or length of a long shoot is
determined when shoot tip abortion occurs, or when the
vegetative long shoot terminal apex is transformed into
a male inflorescence apex. Invariably, reproductive long

FIG. 3-7. x 5G. Insect damage of long shoot tip

i.e., shoot tip abortion. Note

insect larva (INS) with head in

basipetal direction, lying in pith

of main axis which has been eaten

away. Axillary bud (AB) next to

larva could be affected if damage

is further basipetal. Cell contents

of axillary bud stain intensely,

and may indicate onset of bud destruc-

tion. (Section was stained with

0.25% Azure A and counterstained

with 1% Rose Bengal).

3-7

shoots are shorter than vegetative types (Fig. 3-8),
but they may be comparable (i.e., in length) to long
shoots which suffer early shoot tip abortion. An
extreme example is in Parthenocissus in which Millington
(1963) has implicated shoot tip abortion as the cause
of the short shoot habit. Although the physiological
basis of shoot tip abortion is not understood, recent
studies on the cessation of apical growth and concommitant
shoot tip abortion in Salix (Juntilla 1976) indicate some
hormonal correlations. In kinetin-treated control plants,
100 per cent occurrence of the abscission zone was
observed in July, whereas in gibberellin-treated plants,
abscission peaked in September (ie., end of the growing
season). It is not known if Juntilla's results may have
any bearing on the control mechanism of early and late
shoot tip abortion in B. papyrifera.

Growth analysis

Growth of axillary buds on a long shoot before
and after flushing differs from one node to the other.
Most distally positioned axillary buds accumulate dry
matter at a faster rate than buds proximal to them.
Consequently, they have the highest Bud-relative growth
rate (Bud-RGR) (Fig. 3-9). The axillary bud immediately
proximal to the pseudoterminal bud grows faster than all

FIG. 3-8. Frequency distribution of lengths of
           various categories of mature shoots
           of paper birch.

FREQUENCY (%)

LENGTH OF MATURE SHOOT (cm)

3-8

VSS n=195 (RSS is similar)
LS METAMORPHOSED FROM SS n=36
VLS WITH EARLY SHOOT TIP ABORTION n=273
VLS WITH LATE SHOOT TIP ABORTION n=189
RLS n=151

FIG. 3-9. Mean relative growth rate of axillary

buds on a previous season's long shoot.

B_1 to B_5 indicate acropetal sequence of

axillary buds normally subtended by late

leaves on a long shoot. Differences

between B_1 to B_4 are not significant

until May 25 when B_4 has higher Bud-RGR

(p   0.01). B_5 has a higher average

growth rate (p < 0.001). N = 30 for

each point.

buds proximal to it. The differences in Bud-RGR

between all axillary buds except the most distal or

pseudoterminal bud is not statistically significant (p $<$ 0.05)

until after May 18, a few days after flushing. The Bud-

RGR's of the more proximal buds seem to overlap at

various points before and after flushing (Fig. 3-9).

Thus the only bud which behaves distinctly differently

on a long shoot with seven nodes or less is the pseudo-

terminal which is, incidentally, the potential long

shoot. It has been observed that in extremely long,

long shoots (with more than 7 nodes) this may not necessarily

be the case, since the axillary buds of the median nodes

may be bigger than the pseudoterminal. This is possibly

related to bud length as in yellow birch (Metzger 1977).

One must be cautious about any conclusions pertaining

to bud dry weight as it relates to shoot length, unless

the number of nodes of the particular long shoots are

specified. It is however, clear from this study that

the growth rate of the potential long shoot bud (i.e.,

pseudoterminal bud) is considerably greater than other

axillary buds before and after flushing. Although in

Populus (Maini 1966b), Pinus (Kozlowski et al. 1973)

and Abies (Powell 1977a) bud length has been correlated

with the length of the shoot which develops from it, this

does not seem to be the general case in paper birch. In

<u>Populus</u>, <u>Pinus</u> and <u>Abies</u> the most distal buds are usually the longest buds. However, in yellow birch Metzger (1977) found that the longest buds are located in the middle portion of a long shoot (i.e., of juvenile trees). His data do not include bud dry weight therefore, the results presented here cannot be compared. A few days after flushing distal buds of long shoots with seven nodes or less have higher bud dry weights than the proximal ones. In all cases, including extremely long, long shoots, the bud at node 4, i.e., the one subtended by L_4, the first late leaf, has the lowest bud dry weight (Fig. 3-10) irrespective of whether the long shoot is reproductive or vegetative (see Chapter 5). Bud dry weight can be more accurately correlated with node number only when a long shoot has at most seven nodes (ie., 4 axillary buds). For these long shoots the relationship of bud dry weight (Y) to node number (X), a week after flushing (Fig. 3-10) is:

$$Y = 0.088X - 0.128, \quad (r = 0.934, \; p < 0.001).$$

Consequently, mean early leaf size is related to node number (i.e., bud position) on a seven-node long shoot (Fig. 3-11). The relationship between node number (X) and leaf size of mature early leaves (Y), three weeks after flushing is:

$$Y = 5.89X - 3.51, \quad (r = 0.847, \; p < 0.001).$$

FIG. 3-10.   Mean bud dry weight of two-year-old

vegetative long shoots (seven-node type)

as a function of node number one week

after flushing.   N = 115.   Value at

node 8 was added to show how relation-

ships derived between bud dry weight

and node number in text can be altered

when long shoots with more than seven

nodes are considered.

FIG. 3-11.   Mean total early leaf area of two-

year-old vegetative long shoots (seven-

node type) as a function of node number

three weeks after flushing.   N = 43.

Figure 3-10: y = 0.088x −0.128, r = 0.934, n = 115, F = 13.64***

Figure 3-11: y = 5.89 x −3.51, r = 0.847, n = 43, F = 9.17***

This reinforces the notion that at least in the reference long shoot (i.e., seven-node type) node number is important in determining bud vigour. Zieslin et al. (1976) have, however, presented data on rose plants (Rosa hybrida "Baccara") in which bud position is correlated to bud weight. From the present study it may be concluded that axillary buds with higher Bud-RGR's are the potential long shoots and those with lower Bud-RGR's are the potential short shoots This reflects the vigour of potential long shoot buds, and is consistent with evidence from dissected buds, namely, that potential long shoot buds have more leaf primordia in addition to slight inter-nodal extension before bud burst. These conclusions are based on long shoots with seven internodes or less. With increase in node number variations alter the above conclusions. However, correlation between node number and Bud-RGR is even greater in shoots with six nodes (i.e., 3 axillary buds). Consequently, the inhibitory influence of the distal bud becomes more pronounced with decreasing long shoot length and/or number of nodes. Probably, in long shoots with early shoot tip abortion, the pseudoterminal bud obtains a greater share of nutrients as it becomes the major "sink". As a rule, the pseudo-terminal always flushes as a long shoot. Its subtending

leaf, which is also the youngest of the late leaves,
may be importing from mature leaves, since younger leaves
are net importers of assimilates from mature leaves
(Larson et al. 1980). A major source would be mature
leaves on the same orthostichy (Milthorpe and Moorby 1969).

The question is, what factors influence the
distal-most bud to exhibit the inhibitory characteristics
associated with apical dominance/control. Clearly a
positional effect exists, but in addition, temporal
factors must also be considered. For example, the pseudo-
terminal bud is morphogenetically determined prior to
winter dormancy; it forms more primordia and shows inter-
nodal extension. These features are not found in the
proximal buds, the potential short shoot buds. Further-
more, determination is correlated, in time, with shoot
tip abortion or induction of male inflorescences. Leaves
subtending the pseudoterminal bud are also the last to
abscise at the end of the growing season. Other than
these it is not clear what physiological factors are
involved in regulating the differences in the bud types.
This subject will be discussed at the end of this chapter.

The pseudoterminal bud invariably has a higher
long shoot growth potential than all buds proximal to it.
Accordingly flushing sequence is strictly basipetal (Fig. 3-12).
This feature may be correlated with higher Bud-RGR of

FIG. 3-12. Flushing sequence in a vegetative long

shoot. Note distal half of buds have

flushed. Median buds were first to

flush. Proximal buds (arrows) have

expanded and are just about to flush.

3-12

potential long shoot buds (Fig. 3-9), node versus bud
dry weight (Fig. 3-10) and node versus total early leaf
area (Fig. 3-11). Buds with higher Bud-RGR i.e., potential
long shoot buds flush before potential short shoot buds.
Although Felber (1948) did not evaluate Bud-RGR he observed
that in apple the terminal bud flushes first and then
the wave of flushing moves basipetally. Probably potential
long shoot buds have a head-start in initiating the events
of bud burst i.e., internodal extension and leaf expansion
(Bügsen and Münch 1929; Felber 1948; Romberger 1963;
Kozlowski 1971; Powell 1982). This will be substantiated
later when leaf and internode extension trends are discussed.

Relative leaf growth rate (Leaf-RGR) based on both
leaf area and leaf dry weight is higher in long shoots
than in short shoots a week after flushing, i.e., on May 21.
Thereafter it falls for long shoots, then increases, but
to a level lower than that of May 21 (Fig. 3-13). In
contrast, Leaf-RGR of short shoots increases almost
linearly till May 28 and then falls only slightly. Growth
rate is higher in short shoots than long shoots between
May 25 and 31, becoming almost equal on June 4, three
weeks after flushing (Fig. 3-13). This trend may be
explained by the fact that during the same period short
shoot early leaves do not contribute to internodal extension
while in long shoots they do. Such a contribution of

FIG. 3-13. Mean relative leaf growth rate of long (LS)
            and short shoot (SS) early leaves. N = 40
            at each point.

assimilate is probably due to internodes 3 and 4 (see

Fig. 3-22) and cambial activity. This is supported by

the very high allometric K value of 3.41 (Table 3-1) in

the growth relationship between stem and leaf, on the

basis of dry matter production during the same period.

Subsequently, stem growth is greater in long shoots than

in short shoots, to the detriment of "early" leaf growth.

It is also possible that the elongating internode above

the early leaves (I_4) of long shoots as well as the

developing late leaves (see Fig. 3-22) and cambial activity

in lower internodes may be acting as competing "sinks"

which depend on the importation of assimilates from

early leaves. At the same time, developing axillary buds

in the axils of late leaves may be importing from the

fast growing early leaves. In addition to this, they

may be competing with late leaves which subtend them.

This may explain why extension growth of late leaves is

more gradual than that of early leaves (see Fig. 3-22).

This is substantiated by the work of Kozlowski and Clausen

(1966) for the same species growing in Massachusetts. A

similar trend occurs in Populus (Critchfield 1960).

Competition for nutrients between late leaves and their

axillary buds probably occurs, since in birch the bud

trace is an offshoot of the central leaf trace (Garrison

1949), as in Populus deltoides (Larson and Pizzolato 1977;

Richards and Larson 1981). Is this the pathway of sink

competition?

Stem to leaf ratio (SLR), the ratio of stem dry weight to leaf dry weight, decreases with time for short shoots until it approaches zero, i.e., assymptotes close to zero (Fig. 3-14). In long shoots, however, the trend is different. There is a sharp decline between May 25 and 28 and it increases thereafter. Stem weight ratios (SWR) of the two shoot types follow the same trend (Fig. 3-15). In long shoots the decline in May is due to increased extension of the fourth internode (I_4). This may explain the fall in long shoot leaf-RGR (Fig. 3-13) between May 21 and 25. These SLR and SWR trends indicate that in short shoots, early leaves grow at the expense of stem elongation and the subsequent development and expansion of later formed leaves. Late leaves do not, however, occur in short shoots because the comparable late leaf primordia suffer lamina abortion before bud break in a manner probably similar to the case in <u>Populus</u> (Goffinet and Larson 1982). Such leaves have been termed rudimentary leaves (Macdonald and Mothersill 1983; Macdonald et al. 1983). In potential short shoots (i.e., year n + 1 long shoot proximal axillary buds), L_1 is a rudimentary leaf as in potential long shoot buds. In sequentially formed short shoots (i.e., years n + 2, n + 3, n + 4, ... etc.) three rudimentary leaves L_1, L_2, and L_3 occur (Macdonald and Mothersill 1983). What role does

FIG. 3-14. Mean stem: leaf ratios of LS and SS indicating

preferential allocation of dry matter to stem

in LS (p $<$ 0.01). Each point represents a

sample of 20 for Fig. 4 to 11.

FIG. 3-15. Ratio of stem dry weight to shoot dry weight

indicates higher stem dry weight allocation

in LS (p $<$ 0.01).

the aborting, i.e., rudimentary, leaves play in the
formation of the primary vascular pattern and subsequently
secondary vascular differentiation of the short shoot
axis? Answers to this may be directly or indirectly
related to the trends in SLR and SWR. The fall in SLR
in late May (Fig. 3-14) coincides with the period of
rapid early leaf growth (see Fig. 3-22).

Stem-RGR is significantly higher in long shoots
(p $<$ 0.01) a few days after flushing (Fig. 3-16) and
it remains consistently higher due to subsequent stem
elongation and cambial activity. There is a consistent
gradual decrease in Stem-RGR of short shoots, but this
is not so in long shoots. A fairly rapid increment in
stem weight of long shoots occurs between May 28 and
June 1 (Fig. 3-17). This coincides with the logarithmic
phase of I_4 extension (see Fig. 3-22). Afterwards
Stem-RGR falls slightly (Fig. 3-16), possibly due to
competition for assimilates by the expanding L_4, the
first late leaf, and the concommitant increase in
"leafiness".

Leaf area ratio (LAR), an "index of leafiness"
(Hunt 1978), increases with time immediately after
flushing (Fig. 3-18) indicating that early leaves
expand at a rapid rate while stem or internode extension,
if any, lags. LAR is higher in short shoots and lower in
long shoots. This becomes statistically significant (p $<$ 0.01)

FIG. 3-16. Mean relative growth rate of LS and SS stems.

(p$<$ 0.001)

FIG. 3-17. Increment in stem dry weight of LS and SS after

flushing. p$<$ 0.001 after May 30.

by the end of May. The lower LAR of long shoots is explained by higher stem dry weight increment (Fig. 3-17) and rapid stem growth (Fig. 3-16) in long shoots, since LAR is an expression of the ratio of leaf area to entire shoot weight. Some changes in LAR may be due to changes in SLA, as has been reported in Impatiens (Hughes 1965). Subsequent increase in LAR of long shoots is due entirely to the expansion of late leaves.

Leaf weight ratio (LWR), the ratio of leaf dry weight to shoot dry weight, fluctuates for short shoots but remains almost constant for long shoots (Fig. 3-19). The high value of LWR on May 28 coincides with the logarithmic phase of early leaf expansion and growth immediately after flushing. The increase in short shoot LWR needs supplementary explanation. It may indicate that new material (assimilates) remain stored in the expanding early leaves of short shoots. The stable trend in long shoot LWR, on the contrary, may suggest that their early leaves are involved in the supply of photosynthates for shoot extension. The small fluctuation in LWR for long shoots is possibly because of an "equilibrium" between assimilate allocation to the stem, and retention within leaves for growth and expansion, since both LAR and LWR represent the ratio of photosynthesizing to respiring material.

Assimilate distribution in the growing shoots seems

FIG. 3-18.   Changes in leaf area ratio of LS and SS with
             time, after flushing, $p < 0.01$ only after
             May 30.

FIG. 3-19.   Post-flush changes in leaf weight ratio
             of LS and SS with time.   Differences between
             values are not significant except between
             May 25 and May 31 and at maturity ($p < 0.05$).

to reflect differences in specific leaf area (SLA) and specific leaf weight (SLW). This is shown in Fig. 3-20 and 3-21, respectively. SLA, the ratio of leaf area to leaf weight, is slightly lower in long shoot early leaves than in comparable short shoot leaves, but becomes significantly higher in long shoots than short shoots ($p < 0.05$) after which the converse situation persists (Fig. 3-20). This indicates that although early leaves of long shoots accumulate less dry matter per leaf area than short shoots between May 25 and June 3 especially, they possibly retain more dry matter at maturity. Also, it has been suggested that increase in SLA is a consequence of the greater expansion of the same amount of leaf dry material and, more precisely, the greater expansion of possibly the same number of cells (Evans and Hughes 1961; Hughes 1965). Probably during the same period (May 25 - June 3), expanding long shoot early leaves actively export assimilates to the stem for internode extension and to the developing late leaves, their expanding internodes and associated axillary buds. This may further explain trends in LWR. The important contribution of long shoot early leaves to subsequent shoot elongation has long been suggested (Kozlowski and Clausen 1966). This demand on early leaves is a possible cause of higher SLA in long shoots a few days after flushing. However, 4 - 6 weeks after flushing and before the end of the growing season,

FIG. 3-20. Post-flushing changes in specific leaf

area of LS and SS as a function of time.

Differences between values are significant

between May 28 and June 1, and at maturity

$(p < 0.01)$.

FIG. 3-21. Changes in mean specific leaf weight

after flushing. $p < 0.01$ between May

28 and June 1.

SPECIFIC LEAF AREA (cm².g⁻¹)

LS
SS

3-20

20   25   30   4
MAY   JUNE

SPECIFIC LEAF WEIGHT × 10⁻³ (g. cm⁻²)

3-21

LS
SS

20   25   30   4   9   14
MAY   JUNE

when most late leaves on long shoots have expanded, the
early leaves either fail to export to mature and less
"dependent" late leaves, import assimilate from the latter,
or retain assimilates. This means the expanding young
late leaves become "photosynthetically independent". As
a result, long shoot early leaves at maturity have lower
SLA and hence are thicker than short shoot early leaves
(Fig. 3-33). There is a possibility that the relative
positions of long shoots and short shoots on an axis
within the crown results in less shaded long shoot early
leaves, hence higher leaf dry weight in long shoots.
The most typical shade leaves in beech are short shoot
leaves (Müller 1954). To minimize such problems only
long and short shoots on the same axis were used in all
analyses. SLW which is actually the reciprocal of SLA
shows a trend (Fig. 3-21) consistent with the above
concept. Accumulation of more dry matter per unit leaf
area in long shoots than comparable short shoot early
leaves is suggestive, and possibly reflects leaf thickness
in long shoots. The fall in SLW values between May 21
and June 4 (Fig. 3-21) may be due to the net demand on
long shoot early leaves to export assimilates to the
extending internodes and the developing late leaves.
SLW for long shoots begins to increase only at a time
when resource demand seems to be reduced. The fall in

SLW for both shoot types between May 20 and June 4

(Fig. 3-21) is possibly caused by the rapid expansion

of early leaves during the same period. In long shoots,

the rapidly expanding L_4 (first late leaf) (see Fig. 3-22)

probably maximizes its photosynthetic efficiency with

concurrent expansion and development. Younger, fully

expanded leaves are known to be photosynthetically more

efficient (Larson and Gordon 1969; Dickmann 1971). Higher

photosynthetic rate in long shoots than short shoots of

Populus have been attributed to the younger average age

of leaves due to the formation of late leaves (Nelson

and Michael 1982). Few reports based on mature early

leaves (Isebrands and Nelson 1982; Nelson and Michael

1982) indicate that long shoot early leaves have higher

SLW than short shoots. Although they did not evaluate

SLA, it is clear that short shoots have higher SLA than

long shoots since SLA is the reciprocal of SLW. Nelson

and Michael (1982) did not find any statistical difference

in photosynthetic rates between long shoot and short

shoot early leaves. These data indicate that, on a

developmental basis, SLW is not at all times higher in

long shoot early leaves than short shoot early leaves.

Such differences manifest themselves only when the leaves

are approaching maturity and retain the distinction till

they abscise at the end of the growing season.

Leaf and internode extension in long shoots

Leaf and internode extension measurements revealed interesting correlations. For example, it was found that internode elongation ceases before petiole elongation; the elongation of the lamina is the last to cease. A similar trend was recently reported in Populus (Pieters 1983) while this thesis was in preparation. Leaf and internode elongation for L_2 to L_7 and subjacent internodes I_2 to I_7, respectively, are presented in Fig. 3-22 for a hypothetical long shoot with mean values taken from actual measurements. Early leaves elongate very rapidly and attain final length 18 - 21 days after flushing. Rapid early leaf elongation has similarly been observed in Populus trichocarpa (Critchfield 1960), Acer (Critchfield 1971; Wilson and Fischer 1977; Gregory 1980), P. balsamifera (Macdonald et al., unpublished data) and in the same species in another location (Kozlowski and Clausen 1966). In most cases L_3 attains a slightly greater length than L_2, although they may both be about the same length immediately after flushing. The growth of L_3 exceeds that of L_2 during the second week after flushing. In 1982, they attained the same length between May 26 and 27, in long shoots. The comparable state in one-year-old short shoots lags behind that of long shoots by 5 to 6 days i.e., it occurred between June 2 and 3 in 1982, and May 30 and 31 in

FIG. 3-22. A hypothetical 7-node long shoot of
paper birch with internode distances
drawn to scale and showing the rate
and duration of expansion in length
of leaves, L_2 - L_7, and their sub-
jacent internodes, I_2 - I_7.

3-22

1981. Flushing occurred on May 12 and 14 in 1981 and

1982, respectively. In 1983 unusually low temperatures

in spring, delayed flushing till May 27. For both shoot

types, however, L_3 is longer than L_2 at maturity. Kozlowski

and Clausen's (1966) data (i.e., see Fig. 3) for the

same species indicate that L_2 (i.e., L_1 in theirs) is

slightly longer than L_3 (i.e., L_2 in theirs) at maturity.

This disparity cannot be readily explained. The plastochron

between L_2 and L_3 for long shoots was determined according

to Erickson and Michelini's (1957) method as 1.5 ± 0.5 days; that

for short shoot early leaves was 2.25 ± 0.25 days. As

determined from inception times, it averages 7 days.

The plastochron between late leaves averaged 7 - 10 days,

while that between L_3 and L_4 (i.e. first late leaf)averaged

13 - 20 days. This is possibly a consequence of the organ-

ogenic pause between L_3 and L_4 inception times (Macdonald

et al. 1983).

Subjacent internodes of early leaves attain

finite lengths rapidly. Growth of I_4, the internode

subjacent to L_4 elongates more gradually than other

late leaf internodes; it takes 21 - 30 days to mature.

Usually it is the longest internode. Dissections of

April 16 collections indicated that there was already

appreciable difference between internodes of long and

short shoot buds, complementing the observation that a

slight internodal extension occurs in potential long

shoot buds prior to dormancy. Between April 16 and
May 21 there was a gradual increase in I_3 (internode
between early leaves) of long shoots, thereafter a sudden
jump and more rapid growth occurs (Fig. 3-23). There
is virtually no extension growth in short shoots until
two days before flushing i.e., May 11, when a fairly
rapid extensional growth begins and ceases about 7 - 10
days before that of the long shoot. The gradual but
early increase in I_3 of potential long shoots prior to
flushing may substantiate the earlier suggestions re-
lating Bud-RGR to the observation that potential long
shoot buds flush first. What factors induce the precocious
extension of the potential long shoot buds are not,
unfortunately, known. Nevertheless, for both shoot types,
the onset of the logarithmic phase of I_3 extension seems
to coincide with the time of flushing. Perhaps data on
stipule elongation may also be meaningful, but they are
not available. Early mobilization of stored carbohydrate
prior to bud burst in potential long shoot buds may also
explain the precocious extension of internode. As
indicated by Maini (1966b), stem extension may be deter-
mined by environment as well as growth substances and by
photosynthate produced. Progressively increased kinetin-
like activity (implicated in bud burst) prior to bud
burst has been reported in the same species (Domanski and
Kozlowski 1967). Unfortunately, they did not distinguish

between one-year-old potential long and short shoot

buds, hence I cannot relate these observations to the

activity of growth substances. This is an area to be

explored. Notwithstanding the inability to relate these

observations to hormonal activity, one may presume that

the greater number of leaves and primordia in potential

long shoot buds contributes towards the greater extension

of long shoot internodes. Thus there possibly exists

a reciprocal contribution to total shoot length by

leaf growth and internode extension (Esau 1954; Allsopp

1964; R. M. Sachs 1965; Roberts 1969; Halperin 1978; T. Sachs

1981). Does this mean that lamina abortion of short

shoot bud primordia may causally be related to the absence

of internodal elongation? Or is this related to the

state and timing of cambial activity in short shoots?

Crucial studies on the timing of cambial activity, for

example, are possible clues for further research. From

studies relating stem/internode extension to morphometric

anatomy (e.g., Bindloss 1942; Holmsen 1960; Garrison 1973;

Lam III and Brown 1974) there is a clear indication

that cell division (intercalary activity) and consequently

cell number accompanied by cell elongation (perhaps hormonally

mediated) result in internode elongation. Cell elongation

alone is not the important factor per se. Mature internode

length of over 200 each of one-year-old long and short

shoots are presented in Fig. 3-24. Internodes of the

FIG. 3-23. Comparison of the % increment in length of
the internode 3 (I_3) i.e., internode between
early leaves of potential long and short
shoots. N = 37 and 33 for long and short
shoots, respectively.

FIG. 3-24. Mean internode lengths of long shoots and
short shoots in late August-early September
when most internodal extension has ceased.
$N \geqslant 217$ for each internode. Internodes 1, 2 and
3 are significantly shorter than 4, 5, 6 and
7 ($p < 0.001$) in long shoots.

Figure 3-23

Figure 3-24

middle portion of the shoots are longest, decreasing at
the proximal and distal ends.

Morphometric measurements

Measurements based on fully mature leaves and
subjacent internodes are reported. Only early leaves
of long and short shoots are considered in this section.
Relations between leaves on the same shoot e.g., early
and late leaves of long shoots i.e., heterophyllous
shoots, are discussed in Chapter 5.

Leaf size:

Long shoots have larger early leaves than short shoots of
comparable age (Fig. 3-25). Mean values are compared in Table
3-2. It is possible that overall shoot vigour of long
shoots influences leaf size. This may be related to bud
position versus dry weight (Fig. 3-10) and bud position
versus mean total early leaf area (Fig. 3-11). Shoots
of comparable age (i.e., n + 2 year old) show this
difference. In contrast, older short shoots, which are
further removed from long shoots have larger leaves
than n + 2 year-old short shoots (i.e., short shoots on
a previous year's long shoot) possibly because of greater
autonomy of older short shoots. The role of apical
dominance/control (Brown et al. 1967; Little 1970;
Phillips 1969, 1975) in shoot expression is a plausible
explanation. This feature will be discussed further at

FIG. 3-25. Frequency distribution of early leaf size in LS and SS. Mean values are significantly different ($p < 0.01$).

the end of this chapter.

The higher Bud-, Stem- and Leaf-RGR's and the presence of developing secondary axillary buds in the pseudoterminal bud may all contribute in its establishment as a large metabolic sink drawing nourishment away from the proximal buds. Perhaps this may be analogous to the strong terminal (metabolic) sinks reported by Ragneker and Forward (1973) and Powell (1977a).

The ratio of lamina length to width:

Early leaves of long shoots grow more in length than in width than do leaves of short shoots (Fig. 3-26). Mean values are compared in Table 3-2. Changes in the ratios of lamina length to width occur immediately after flushing and thereafter become constant till maturity (Fig. 3-27). The first embryonic early leaf (L_2) of short shoots usually has the highest ratio, but falls rapidly to become the lowest at maturity relative to the second early leaf (L_3). A similar trend occurs in long shoots. Comparative "lengthiness" of long shoot early leaf laminae than those of short shoots is presumably due to a number of factors, for example, higher Bud-RGR and Leaf-RGR, greater intercalary growth or lamina expansion in the spring, and better secondary vascular tissue development.

FIG. 3-26.   Frequency distribution of lamina length

to width ratios in LS and SS early leaves.

Mean values are significantly different.

($p < 0.05$).

FIG. 3-27.   Changes in lamina length, width ratios

of early leaves after flushing.

LONG SHOOT
SHORT SHOOT

3-26

FREQUENCY (%)

40

30

20

10

1·2   1·4   1·6

LAMINA LENGTH: WIDTH RATIO

L_2 ⎫
L_3 ⎬ VSS
L_2 ⎫
L_3 ⎬ VLS

3-27

LAMINA LENGTH: WIDTH RATIO

2·0

1·5

1·0

0·5

20   25   30   4
MAY   JUNE

Side nerve pairs:

The number of side nerve pairs is greater in
long shoot early leaves than in short shoot early leaves
(Fig. 3-28; Table 3-2). Is this related to lamina
length? Or is it a consequence of poorer vascularization
of short shoot leaf laminae? Can this be related to
shoot vigour? Further research is needed. However, it
is possible that this results in a difference in trans-
location efficiency.

Petiole length and dry weight:

Petioles of long shoot early leaves grow longer
than those of comparable short shoots (i.e., one-year-
old). Similarly, dry weights of petioles are higher in
long shoots (Figs. 3-29 and 3-30; Table 3-2). These
observations, i.e., petiole lengths, are consistent with
those of Jentys-Szaferowa (1937) for other Betula species.
However, petioles of older (2 - 10 year-old) short shoot
leaves are longer than petioles of both long shoot and
one-year-old short shoot early leaves (Fig. 3-31). This
survey was conducted to confirm the observations of
Kozlowski and Clausen (1966) who reported longer short
shoot petioles than long shoot petioles in paper birch.
The results shown here do not agree with their finding.
Realising that older short shoot early leaves seem to
be larger, it was decided to evaluate the data on a

FIG. 3-28.   Frequency distribution of number of side

nerve pairs of LS and SS early leaves.   Mean

values for the two shoot types are significantly

different for shoots of the same age ($p < 0.05$).

FIG. 3-29.   Frequency distribution of lengths of 1 year old

LS and SS early leaf petioles.   LS early

leaves have longer petioles than SS early leaves

($p < 0.01$).

FIG. 3-30. Frequency distribution of early leaf petiole

dry weight of 1 year old LS and SS. Difference

between mean values is highly significant

(p < 0.001).

FIG. 3-31. Frequency distribution of petiole lengths

in 1 year old and 2 - 10 year old SS.

Leaves from short shoots which are more

than 1 year old have longer petioles (p < 0.001).

Sample size for 2 - 10 years old SS leaves

is 185.

shoot-age basis. It can be concluded that for comparable

shoots of the same age, long shoots have longer petioles

than short shoots. No data comparing petiole dry weights

is available for any other species, so comparisons cannot

be made with other studies. Perhaps in successively older

short shoots, petioles attain a greater length because of

1) autonomy from the possible inhibitory influence

of the pseudoterminal bud and/or 2) the location of

older short shoots in the crown which, in turn, relates

to light intensity. Similar findings, i.e., short shoot

leaves as predominantly shade leaves, have been reported

for Fagus (Müller 1947, 1954). In shoots of comparable

age, a more developed petiole may be correlated with

the growth of lamina length and width, and probably also

to the number of side nerve pairs. Although the allometric

relationship between lamina length and petiole length

for long shoot early leaves differ significantly (p $<$ 0.01)

from that of short shoot early leaves (Table 3-1), the

ratio of lamina length to petiole length for mature early

leaves of long shoots did not differ significantly (p $>$ 0.05)

from that of short shoots (Table 3-2). This may be

explained by the fact that in mature early leaves there

is a high correlation between the final lamina length

and petiole length in both shoot types. Growth of the

lamina and petiole may, indeed by closely related (Humphries

and Wheeler 1963). Petiole dry weight is probably related

TABLE 3-1. Comparisons of allometric constants between various growth parameters of long and short shoots. Correlation coefficients (r) for each shoot type are stated in parentheses.

GROWTH CHARACTERISTICS	ALLOMETRIC CONSTANT, K		ANOVA F-RATIO
	SHORT SHOOT	LONG SHOOT	
1. Lamina length versus lamina width	1.06 (0.772)	1.32 (0.745)	8.94 **
2. Lamina length versus petiole length	0.69 (0.675)	0.42 (0.459)	11.32 **
3. Stem dry weight versus leaf dry weight	3.41 (0.955)	1.04 (0.946)	22.47 ***
4. Leaf dry weight versus leaf area	1.21 (0.981)	0.48 (0.302)	17.63 ***

**, $P < 0.01$;

***, $P < 0.001$.

TABLE 3-2. Mean values of various morphometric measurements of vegetative long and short shoots.

| CHARACTERISTIC | MEAN ± STANDARD DEVIATION | | ANOVA F-RATIO |
	SHORT SHOOT	LONG SHOOT	
1. Early leaf area (cm^2)	13.2 ± 3.3	20.4 ± 2.9	7.31**
2. Lamina length (mm)	55.1 ± 7.5	69.8 ± 4.9	9.76**
3. Lamina width (mm)	40.9 ± 9.2	45.5 ± 4.3	4.19*
4. Lamina length:width ratio	1.35 ± 0.16	1.53 ± 0.05	4.83*
5. Lamina length: petiole length ratio	3.92 ± 0.10	3.97 ± 0.13	2.26^NS
6. Petiole length (mm)	14.1 ± 2.1	17.6 ± 1.6	5.33**
7. Petiole dry weight (mg)	12.9 ± 3.5	19.8 ± 4.9	11.41**
8. Number of side nerves	7.1 ± 1.2	7.6 ± 0.9	3.91*
9. Number of serrations per unit margin length (cm^{-1})	3.47 ± 0.15	3.39 ± 0.21	1.94^NS
10. SLA ($cm^3.g^{-1}$)	104.2 ± 5.7	92.6 ± 3.3	7.78**

*, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.001$; NS, not significantly different.

to the extent of petiolar xylem development. Does

this make long shoot early leaves better importers

and exporters of assimilate? Would this relate to

localized water stress and attendant reduction of photo-

synthetic efficiency? Studies correlating water content

with anatomical and physiological attributes of the leaves

are needed. It is not known whether assimilation potential

of leaves is influenced by differences in leaf morphology

in long and short shoots of paper birch. However, work

on Ginkgo by Hoddinott and van Zinderen Bakker (1974)

indicates that, at least in Ginkgo, there is no difference

in net assimilation between long and short shoots. There

is an indication in apple, Malus domestica Borkh., that

higher light-absorbing potential of long shoot leaves is

due to greater thickness (lower SLA) and better development

of palisade tissues (Ghosh 1973).

Work on Populus has revealed that photosynthetic

rates are higher in long shoots than in short shoots when

all leaves, both early and late, are considered (Nelson

and Michael 1982). These authors did not find the difference

between comparable early leaves of the two shoot types to

be significant. Petiole development may, however, be

related to the number of water-conducting vessels in the

stem of the particular shoot. To ascertain any differences

in photosynthetic efficiency between long and short shoot

early leaves, ULR (=NAR, net assimilation rate) was derived

by obtaining ratios of Leaf-RGR to LAR. Fig. 3-32
shows trends in ULR. Long shoot early leaves have higher
ULR immediately after flushing possibly because they flush
first and their leaves are subsequently exposed earlier.
In fact, it has been observed in B. papyrifera (long and
short shoots were not distinguished) that early leaves
have a low diffusive resistance even when they are small.
A feature which was viewed as "an advantage in quickly
developing photosynthetic capability in spring" (Federer
1976). Although differences in net assimilation rate
(i.e., ULR) between long and short shoot leaves of Ginkgo
were not statistically significant, long shoot leaves
have a lower diffusive resistance and a slightly higher
net assimilation rate than short shoot leaves (Hoddinott
and van Zinderen Bakker 1974, i.e., Table 1). It must
be noted that the ULR of long shoot early leaves in
paper birch are slightly higher than short shoot early
leaves about two weeks after flushing although the
difference is not statistically significant (Fig. 3-32).

Bud dry weight and total early leaf area per node:

In a two-year-old long shoot bearing one-year-old
long shoots distally and same-age short shoots proximally,
total early leaf area increases acropetally especially
for seven-node long shoots. The correlations between
post-flush bud dry weight and leaf area have been discussed
and need not be repeated (see page 52).

FIG. 3-32. Post-flush derived values of Unit Leaf
Rate (ULR). Initial difference between
LS and SS is significant ($P < 0.01$).

Number of serrations:

Early leaves are double-serrate. Differences in leaf sizes and lamina lengths as well as number of side nerve pairs prompted this investigation. However, the differences between long and short shoots are not significant (Table 3-2). These may, however, relate to the development of laminae.

Specific leaf area:

At maturity SLA of long shoot early leaves are lower than those of short shoots (Fig. 3-33). These may be the consequence of leaf thickness or the capacity of the long shoot leaves to retain more dry matter. It is also possible that in long shoots early leaves import assimilates from younger and photosynthetically more efficient late leaves (Nelson and Michael 1982). Storage of assimilates long before senescence may lead to lower SLA. Changes in SLA of Betula platyphylla have been reported (Araki 1972). Autumn leaves contained more dry matter per unit area than spring leaves, although no distinction between long and short shoots was drawn. The capacity for birch leaves to store dry matter during the growing season is implicated.

An hypothesis to explain shoot expression:

The inhibition of axillary buds has been reviewed by Champagnat (1965), Phillips (1969, 1975), Rubinstein

FIG. 3-33.   Frequency distribution of specific leaf

area of mature LS and SS early leaves

at the end of August.   LS sample size =

148; SS sample size = 173.   Sample

sizes are the same for Figs. 12 - 16.

Mean values are significantly different

$(p < 0.01)$.

and Nagao (1976) and McIntyre (1977). The phenomenon
is almost always attributed to apical dominance, which
Brown et al. (1967) re-examined and suggested the term
"apical control". The mechanism of whole-plant morpho-
genesis has also been attributed to this phenomenon
(Zajączkowski et al. 1983), and involves hormonal,
nutritional and water factors. The apparent inhibitory
influence of the pseudoterminal long shoot bud over
axillary buds proximal to it may not be a simple case
of apical dominance within one growing season alone.
An hypothesis has been suggested for it in birch on
the basis of organogenic studies (Macdonald et al. 1983).
During the year of secondary bud inception, the parent,
or primary bud shoot tip may strongly influence or
regulate secondary bud development and bud determination.
The response of the secondary bud may depend on its
stage of development relative to the activity of the
primary bud shoot tip. It can be hypothesized that,
initially, the proximal, first-formed secondary buds are
affected by strong apical control or dominance, thus
promoting short shoot determination. As the primary bud
shoot tip grows, forming late leaves, control of dominance
weakens due to senescence (short tip abortion), or due
to the transformation into a male inflorescence apex.
Consequently, the more distal secondary buds, being less

influenced by the primary bud shoot tip, develop as long

shoot buds. Therefore, as axillary buds are formed

sequentially, in time and space, they are affected

differently because of the changing growth activities of

the shoot tip. It was also suggested that at least

the qualitative nature of apical control or dominance

changes with time (Macdonald et al. 1983) and possibly

organogenic events within the primary bud. These affect

the secondary axillary buds in some way, depending on

the stage of development of the axillary bud and likely,

also, its axillant leaf and subjacent internode and

possibly supradjacent internode, the position of the

branch within the crown, the age of the tree, etc. It

can be hypothesized that short shoot vegetative terminal

buds do not form secondary buds because of a high degree

of apical control. Only in the event that the short

shoot apex is transformed into a female inflorescence

apex, is the control reduced and thus secondary axillary

buds are formed (Macdonald et al. 1983). This occurs in

late June - early July, at which time the single secondary

bud forms rapidly in the axil of the second early leaf.

Two secondary axillary buds may also be formed in the

axils of both early leaves. Such reproductive short

shoots have greater internodal extension between their

early leaves. There is evidence for a stimulation of stem

elongation concommitant with anthesis induction (Thomas 1963). An extreme case is that of bolting in rosette plants in which rapid stem elongation and the onset of anthesis are closely correlated. Such instances have been shown to be the consequence of the stimulation of cell division by gibberellic acid (Sachs et al. 1959). Perhaps internodal extension of reproductive short shoots is yet another example of anthesis-induced internode elongation. Thus, it can be suggested, inflorescence induction results in the partial loss or diminution of apical dominance or control. Experimental, anatomical and physiological experiments (e.g, gibberellin and/or other hormonal activities during inflorescence induction) are called for. It is possible that at the onset of inflorescence induction in short shoots there is some sort of "hormonal imbalance" which activates internodal cell division and elongation as well as the inception of secondary axillary bud apices. Exactly what these relations are can only be speculative at the moment. Nevertheless, an hypothesis has been suggested for testing.

CHAPTER 4

CHAPTER 4

COMPARISON OF VEGETATIVE AND REPRODUCTIVE
SHORT SHOOT GROWTH[1]

Introduction

Recent studies on shoot morphogenesis in Betula
papyrifera Marsh indicate variations in the time of in-
ception and early development of appendages of vegetative
and reproductive short shoot buds (Macdonald and Mothersill
1983). That study shows the difference in the composition
and development of buds of reproductive and vegetative
short shoots. As stated earlier, short shoots develop
from the proximal axillary buds on long shoots and from
short shoot terminal buds. Either may carry an embryonic
female inflorescence. Long shoots, on the other hand,
develop from pseudoterminal long shoot buds, or the most
distally positioned axillary buds on a long shoot. Axillary
short shoot buds are comprised of an outer rudimentary
leaf, 2 - 3 embryonic foliage leaves, and 3 rudimentary
leaf primordia (Fig. 4-1). The stipules of the outer leaves
form the bud scales. A terminal bud, which forms from an
axillary bud apex when the bud flushes, is composed of 3
outer rudimentary leaves and stipular bud scales, 2 - 3

FIG. 4-1. Bud composition and aestivation of appendages

of a proximally situated bud on a long shoot

i.e., potential short shoot bud. L_1, rudimentary

leaf; L_2, L_3, embryonic foliage leaves;

L_4, L_5, L_6, primordial rudimentary leaves.

L₂

L₁

D.M.

L₃

L₆ is on
the apex

L₅

L₄

LEGEND

⊕ axis

⌣ leaf

⌒ stipule

4-1

embryonic foliage leaves and finally, 3 rudimentary leaf primordia (Fig. 4-2). If floral induction occurs at the end of June, the last formed 3 rudimentary leaf primordia in both bud types do not form. Instead, at the time of induction, 2 transitional leaves arise in sequence, and the apex continues to broaden, forming inflorescence bracts (Macdonald and Mothersill 1983).

Preliminary observations show differences in the rate of growth of foliage leaves in these buds during and after bud break. Foliage leaves of the reproductive shoot seem to develop more in length than width, in contrast to the vegetative shoot. Reproductive short shoot foliage leaves at maturity are unequal in size, whereas vegetative short shoot foliage leaves attain almost the same size at maturity (see Figs. 4-6, 4-14 and 3-1b$_1$). Foliage leaves of the reproductive shoot seem to have fewer serrations per unit length of leaf margin than other foliage leaves (Fig. 4-3). This study illustrates the quantitative differences in leaf growth of the vegetative and reproductive short shoots.

Observations and Discussion

Short shoot buds have been grouped in the following age classes: 1. one-year-old buds which are the proximal axillary buds on long shoots; 2. 2-4-year-old short shoot

FIG. 4-2. Composition and aestivation of

appendages of vegetative short shoot

terminal buds. L_1, L_2, L_3, rudimentary

leaves; L_4, L_5, L_6, embryonic foliage leaves;

L_7, L_8, L_9, primordial rudimentary leaves.

LEGEND

axis
leaf
stipule

L_3

L_5

L_8

L_7

L_9 is on the apex

L_2

L_4

L_6

L_1

4-2

FIG. 4-3. Frequency distribution of the number of

serrations per unit length (cm) leaf margin

of vegetative and reproductive short shoots.

terminal buds and 3. 5-10-year-old short shoot terminal
buds. Short shoot buds of different age classes differ
in their mean relative growth rates (RGR's) per day (Fig.
4-4). RGR's of short shoot buds are lower than the RGR's
of pseudoterminal buds. Pseudoterminal buds on the previous
season's long shoots give rise to long shoots. One-year-
old short shoot buds exhibit lower RGR's than 2-4 year
old short shoot terminal buds until May 18. The RGR of
5-10 year old short shoot terminal buds is higher than
than of younger short shoot buds. One possible explanation
is that growth of the pseudoterminal bud which forms the
new long shoot, exhibits an inhibitory influence on the
more proximal buds. Pruning experiments in young yellow
birch, Betula alleghaniensis Britton, by Metzger (1977)
clearly demonstrate this apparent dominance of distal
buds. Older short shoot terminal buds are further removed
from expanding long shoots and consequently possess a
greater degree of autonomy. This notion is reinforced by
my observations of flushing sequences, i.e., distally sit-
uated buds which will grow into long shoots flush before
proximally situated incipient short shoot buds. Further-
more, short shoot terminal buds flush before axillary short
shoot buds. Seasonal height growth of a number of deciduous
trees, including paper birch (Kozlowski and Ward 1957) and
seedlings (Kozlowski and Ward 1961), begins rapidly in May
in Massachusetts.

FIG. 4-4. Mean relative growth rate of short shoot buds
before and after flushing. ━●━━●━ , axillary
short shoot bud; ‒ ‒●‒ ‒●‒ ‒, 2-4 year old short
shoot terminal bud; ⋯O⋯⋯O⋯, 5-10 year old
short shoot terminal bud; ‒·‒□‒·‒·□‒·‒ , long
shoot pseudoterminal bud. Each dot represents
the mean value for 15 - 28 buds.

The rise in RGR of buds (Fig. 4-4), which occurs toward the end of May, may be explained by the rapid increase in dry weight after bud burst brought about by the rapidly expanding foliage leaves (Fig. 4-5). Leaf elongation and expansion are very rapid in May immediately after bud burst (Fig. 4- 6). Rapid leaf growth rate of early leaves has also been documented for species of Populus and Betula by other authors (i.e., Critchfield 1960; Kozlowski 1971; Kozlowski and Clausen 1966). Mobilization of soluble sugars and increase in water content in April-May was recorded for twigs of B. populifolia (Gibbs 1940). A similar situation presumably occurs in paper birch as indicated earlier. There is an increase in RGR of leaves of both vegetative and reproductive short shoots. The RGR of leaves of the latter is significantly lower, particularly after flushing, than that of vegetative short shoot leaves (Fig. 4-5). This is likely a consequence of developing female inflorescences and foliage leaves of the same shoot competing for nutrients which must enter the shoot along a common translocation pathway. The developing inflorescence presumably is a preferred "sink" (Kozlowski and Keller 1966; Hansen 1967; Cockshull and Hughes 1968; Kriedmann 1968, 1969; Dickman and Kozlowski 1970; Ryle and Powell 1972; Davis and Sparks 1974; Tse et al. 1974; Powell 1977a, 1977b; Sachs 1977, 1979; Ramina et al. 1979;

FIG. 4-5. Relative foliage leaf growth rate of vegetative
(vss) and reproductive short shoots (rss) before
and after flushing. Each dot represents the
mean for 30 - 45 leaves. Values are significantly
different after flushing ($p < 0.01$).

FIG. 4-6. Early leaf expansion of vegetative and
reproductive short shoot leaves showing
slower expansion in reproductive short
shoots. Note that in vegetative shoots
L_3 expansion exceeds that of L_2 in May but
this is not so in reproductive shoots.

Crawford et al. 1982; Malstrom and McMeans 1982; Tuomi
et al. 1982). Reproductive short shoots also produce
axillary buds which are not found on vegetative short
shoots; although it is not common, these axillary buds
may flush during the next growing season as long shoots
(see Fig. 3-1e). Nevertheless, these developing buds
may also compete for assimilates. In addition, high seed
production also decreases annual wood increment in trees
(Antevs 1917; Hustich 1956; Eis et al. 1965), indicating
that the inflorescence also competes for assimilates which
could have been exported to the cambial "sink". Both
inflorescence and axillary bud development may have a
correlative influence in suppressing leaf growth.

Competition for nutrients exists the summer
before bud burst, probably as early as the time of trans-
formation of the apex from the vegetative to the inflorescence
state. At the time of transformation growth at the apex
is very rapid (Macdonald and Mothersill 1983). This has
been recorded for other species (i.e. Bernier 1971; Lyndon
1977). Increased RNA synthesis (Gifford and Tepper 1962;
Bronchart et al. 1970; Jacqmard et al. 1972; Usciati et al.
1972; Lyndon 1977) and mitotic activity (Thomas 1961;
Bernier 1964; King 1972; Bodson 1975; Lyndon 1977) in shoot
apices have been reported during the transition to anthesis -
induction and/or development. Carbohydrate mobilization

toward a reproductive apex has been reported by Bodson

1977) for Sinapis. It is possible that in birch early

leaf development may be disadvantaged during this period

of rapid early inflorescence development. Thus con-

siderable competition between developing embryonic leaves

and the inflorescence precedes the onset of dormancy.

The potential for rapid growth in the spring of reproductive

short shoot leaves, therefore would be lower.

Specific leaf area, the ratio of leaf area to dry

weight, increases more rapidly for developing reproductive

short shoot leaves (Fig. 4-7), especially after flushing.

Consequently, mature leaves of reproductive short shoots

are thinner than leaves of vegetative short shoot leaves

(Fig. 4-8). Ryle and Powell (1972) found that in Lolium

net allocation of resources to expanding leaves is reduced

during inflorescence development and, at the same time,

expanded leaves on reproductive shoots export more assimilate

than comparable leaves on vegetative shoots. The signifi-

cance of thinner leaves in this study is not clear. In

birch, it is possible that during their early development,

leaves on reproductive shoots may not be receiving optimal

levels of assimilates. On the other hand, leaves of repro-

ductive shoots may be exporting more assimilates than leaves

of vegetative shoots. Either situation or a combination

of both might explain the higher SLA values for reproductive

short shoot leaves.

FIG. 4-7. Specific leaf area of vegetative (vss) and
reproductive short shoots (rss) as a function
of time: pre- and post-flushing. Sample size
is the same as in Fig. 4-8. Differences on
the day of flushing, May 14, and after, are
significant ($p < 0.05$).

FIG. 4-8. Frequency distribution of specific leaf

area values for vegetative and reproductive

short shoot mature leaves, based on a minimum

of 113 and 91 randomly selected leaves,

respectively. Mean values are significantly

different (p < 0.001).

Leaf area ratio, the ratio of leaf area to shoot
dry weight, is lower in expanding leaves of reproductive
shoots than in expanding leaves of vegetative shoots (Fig.
4-9). Reproductive shoots have a lower leaf area per
unit shoot weight, hence less "leafiness", according to
Hunt (1978) who defines LAR as "an index of leafiness".
Export of assimilates from expanding leaves to the developing
inflorescence, may be the cause of lower LAR in repro-
ductive shoots. Leaf area as affected by reproduction has
been noted in some Gramineae (Borill 1959) and apple
(Maggs 1963; Heim et. al. 1979). In *Populus tremuloides*
total annual leaf production was reduced due to spring
production of catkins (Ovington 1963). Reproductive short
shoot leaves are smaller than vegetative short shoot leaves
(Fig. 4-10); this is another example of reproductive cost
(Calow 1979; Tuomi et al. 1982). It is well documented
that fruiting has a marked effect on vegetative growth
(Mattirolo 1899, cited by Loomis 1953; Roberts 1920;
Chandler and Heinicke 1925; Murneek 1926, 1932; Chandler
1934; Cameron and Borst 1938; Morris 1951; Loomis 1953;
Mochizuki 1962; Maggs 1963; Rogers and Booth 1964; Kozlowski
and Keller 1966; Harper and Ogden 1970; Gross 1972; Lindoo
and Noodén 1976; Powell 1977a, 1977b; Calow 1979; Heim et al.
1979; Lovett Doust 1980; Malstrom and McMeans 1982; Lovett
Doust and Lovett Doust 1983; Weiland et al. 1982). This

FIG. 4-9. Leaf area ratio of vegetative (vss) and

reproductive short shoots (rss) as a function

of time: pre- and post-flushing. Each

dot represents a mean for 20 buds. Differences

between values are highly significant

$(p < 0.001)$.

FIG. 4-10. Frequency distribution of leaf area:

vegetative and reproductive short shoot mature

leaves. Sample sizes for vss and rss are

137 and 107, respectively. Mean values of

all leaves for each shoot type differ

$(p < 0.05)$.

effect, I believe, is manifested as a slower rate of leaf growth from the time of floral induction.

Ratios of lamina length to width (Fig. 4-11) suggest that the reproductive short shoot leaves expand in length more than in width when compared to leaves of vegetative short shoots. This results in elliptical leaves (Figs. 3-1b; 4-12; 4-13b,c, d, e). Leaves on vegetative short shoots are widely ovate (Figs. 3-1b; 4-14a; 4-13a). A regression analysis of lamina length on width for leaves from the two shoot types (Fig. 4-15) confirms the tendency toward comparative "lengthiness" of lamina at the expense of width in reproductive short shoot leaves. This feature has been documented in five other Betula species (Jentys-Szaferowa 1937). In both cases there is a high correlation between length and width of the leaf blade; r = +0.912 and +0.944 for reproductive and vegetative short shoot leaves, respectively.

The cause of the differences in leaf length : width ratios in birch is not known, however, this aspect should be examined. Borrill (1961) shows that differences in leaf blade width in Lolium is due largely to variations in cell number and that differences in blade length result from differences in cell length. In birch, if lamina width is due to the number of cells formed during embryonic leaf development the summer before flushing, the time of rapid

FIG. 4-11. Frequency distribution of leaf lamina length

to width ratios in vegetative (vss) and

reproductive short shoots (rss). Sample size

is the same as in Fig. 4-12. Mean value for

vss differs significantly from rss value

$(p < 0.01)$.

FIG. 4-12. x 0.70. Reproductive short shoot with elliptical

leaves and female inflorescences

(catkins).

4 –12

FIG. 4-13 x 0.675. Early leaves of vegetative shoot (a) and reproductive shoots (b - f), showing various gradations in size. Leaf f is a transition leaf, associated with reproductive short shoots.

4-13　　　a　　　　　b　　c　　d　　e　　f

FIG. 4-14 x 0.675. Silhouette drawings of reproductive
and vegetative short shoots showing
size variations between shoot types
and leaves L_2 and L_3. In reproductive
shoots L_3 the second foliage leaf
is smaller than L_2; this is not so
in vegetative shoots.

rss

vss

4-14

FIG. 4-15.   Relationship between leaf lamina length and
             width of vegetative and reproductive short
             shoot leaves. Solid dots represent values
             for reproductive short shoots and open dots
             for vegetative short shoot leaves.

Y = 1.31X + 1.92
r = +0.912***
N = 83

Y = 1.38X - 0.33
r = +0.944***
N = 117

(4-15)

early inflorescence development would correspond to the
period of leaf lamina formation and this might result
in suppressed mitotic activity in these leaves. Thus,
it is possible that embryonic leaves of reproductive short
shoots have a reduced potential for growth in the spring.
Another intriguing morphological difference between leaves
of the two shoot types is the occurrence of fewer pairs of
side nerves in reproductive short shoot leaves (Fig. 4-16).
Does this imply that these leaves are less efficient
exporters? It is possible that the occurrence of fewer
nerve-pairs is associated with less accumulation of dry
matter in reproductive short shoot leaves. The result of
reproductive cost seems to be reflected in the differences
in leaf morphology.

These findings - smaller leaf area, thinner leaves,
slower leaf growth rate, higher lamina length to width
ratios, fewer number of side nerve-pairs and serrations
per length all reflect the cost of reproduction in short
shoots of B. papyrifera.

FIG. 4-16. Frequency distribution of number of pairs of
side nerves of vegetative and reproductive
short shoot leaves. Sample size is the same
as in Fig. 4-12. Mean number of side nerve
pairs are significantly different for the
two shoot types (p $<$ 0.01).

CHAPTER 5

CHAPTER 5

EFFECT OF MALE REPRODUCTION ON LONG SHOOTS
AND THEIR SUBSEQUENT DEVELOPMENT

Introduction

Reproductive short shoots have been observed to
have smaller leaf sizes and other morphometric distinctions
from comparable-aged vegetative short shoots (Caesar and
Macdonald, 1983, in press). However, the short shoot is
not the only reproductive unit. Male inflorescences are
borne terminally only on long shoots but not on each long
shoot. A description or evaluation of male reproductive
cost in birch has not been previously reported. Previous
studies have emphasized the effect of seed production on
subsequent year's growth of paper birch (Gross 1972) and
the cost of female reproduction on short shoots (Jentys-
Szaferowa 1937; Tuomi et al. 1982). In Populus the effect
of female catkin production has been similarly reported
(Ovington 1963) in addition to the effects of female
strobili on vegetative growth in conifers (Powell 1977a,
1977b).

Compared to a non-flowering long shoot, fewer
long shoots flush on a previous season's flowering long
shoot and, in extreme cases, only short shoots may flush
on a reproductive long shoot. Thus branch vigour decreases
when male inflorescences are formed. Since long shoots
provide the framework of the developing tree crown (Macdonald

et al. 1983), the analysis of the effect of reproduction on trees, based on female reproductive units alone, is not sufficient unless the cost of male reproduction is also quantified. It could be argued that since long shoots appear to be more vigorous than short shoots that reproductive cost may be considered negligible. Is it only female catkin production and subsequent seed set, therefore, which affects the vegetative growth of paper birch?

This paper presents data on the cost of male reproduction on long shoots, their axillary buds and growth potential in the subsequent year. Some aspects are compared with female reproductive cost. Correlations between previous year's shoot vigour and current season's shoot vigour/bud growth are discussed. The effect of terminal male inflorescence development on proximal female buds is also examined.

In assessing the cost of male reproduction on a node-to-node basis, further distinctions between early and late leaves of the heterophyllous long shoots are reported, in addition to those of Clausen and Kozlowski (1965) and Kozlowski and Clausen (1966).

Observations and Discussion

To evaluate data on male reproductive cost long
shoots have been grouped into two age classes: 1) current
year long shoots i.e., n + 2 year-old shoots, otherwise
termed one-year-old shoots; 2) one-year-old (i.e., n + 2
year-old) long shoots which have overwintered and hence
are n + 3 year-old. The year of bud apex inception is
year n; bud development occurs in year n + 1; and bud
burst in year n + 2.

Male inflorescence induction commonly occurs at
the beginning of the growing season of year n + 2 (Macdonald
et al. 1983). However, based on the length attained by
some comparatively shorter long shoots it is possible that
in male-bearing long shoots male induction possibly occurs
before the end of the previous growing season. Development
of the male catkin occurs in one year and pollen is released
the following spring. The catkin, when mature, is compact,
but prior to pollen release it undergoes enormous elongation.
This occurs at the same time as the buds flush. What,
therefore, is the effect on the expansion of leaves and
internodes of the axis carrying the developing inflorescences
(year n + 2)? Also, is there an effect the following year
(n + 3) due to flowering on the flushing of the axillary
buds carried on the flowering long shoot?

The heterophyllous shoot: vegetative versus reproductive

As noted in Chapter 3 long shoots are hetero-
phyllous i.e., they possess early leaves and late leaves.
Early leaves form from the two large embryonic foliage
leaves contained within the bud, and late leaves develop
from the more distal primordial leaves in the bud. Although
Critchfield (1960) defined late leaves as primordia which
are initiated and which expand as leaves in the same season,
in contrast, two sets of late leaves have been identified
in birch: 1) late leaves which develop from leaf primorida
formed before the end of the previous growing season and
2) "true" late leaves as Critchfield defines them. Thus it
is only long, long shoots with more than eight internodes
which bear "true" late leaves. Shoots bearing male
inflorescences cannot produce true late leaves, simply be-
cause the shoot apex is transformed into a male inflorescence
at the beginning of the growing season (Macdonald et al.
1983).

Differences between early and late leaves have been
reported in paper birch (Clausen and Kozlowski 1965) and
these have been confirmed by this study. However, among others,
differences in SLA are reported here. Early leaves, L_2 and
L_3, and late leaves L_4 and L_5, differ in leaf area (Fig. 5-1)
in both vegetative and reproductive shoots, as tested by
Duncan's Multiple range test (p = 0.01). Vegetative shoots

FIG. 5-1. Frequency distribution of leaf area for

L_2 - L_5 of vegetative and reproductive one-

year-old long shoots. Based on 53 and 47

vegetative and reproductive long shoots,

respectively. Solid bars represent repro-

ductive long shoots and bars with slashes

represent vegetative long shoots.

FREQUENCY (%)

LEAF AREA (cm²)

develop larger leaf laminae than reproductive shoots

(Table 5-1). Reproductive cost, defined as the reduction

in leaf area, calculated according to the method of Tuomi

et al. (1982) is 16.1%, 16.3%, 25.3% and 21.4% for L_2, L_3,

L_4 and L_5, respectively. The cost to early leaves is

significantly (P <0.01) lower than that for late leaves.

Interestingly, the cost of reproduction is higher in L_4

than in L_5. The reason why L_4 should be more affected by

male inflorescence development than L_5 which is proximally

closer to the inflorescences is not clear. Invariably in

all long shoots L_4 may attain a size distinctly smaller

than L_5 although this relationship depends on the ratio

of the supradjacent internode length (I_5) to the subjacent

internode length (I_4). Data, based on over fifty long

shoots, indicate that when the ratio of the supradjacent

internode length (I_5) to subjacent internode length (I_4)

is less than 1, the ratio of L_5 leaf area to L_4 leaf area

is greater than 1, and vice versa. Consequently, leaf-

internode relations are important here. The significance

of these relationships is not clear, however, these corre-

lations are more pronounced in reproductive shoots than in

vegetative ones.

Clausen and Kozlowski (1965) reported that early

leaves of paper birch were of a firmer texture than late

leaves. However, such a difference has not been quantified.

TABLE 5-1. Comparisons between leaf areas of vegetative
and reproductive heterophyllous (long) shoots.

| Leaf number | Type | Mean Leaf Area (cm^2) | | Difference |
		Vegetative	Reproductive	
L$_2$	Early	18.6±2.6	15.6±2.2	3.0**
L$_3$	Early	22.1±3.3	18.5±2.0	3.6***
L$_4$	Late	9.1±3.5	6.8±1.4	2.3**
L$_5$	Late	9.8±2.5	7.7±2.2	2.1**

** Difference is statistically significant at the 1% level.

*** Différence is statistically significant at the 0.1% level.

SLA, the ratio of leaf area to leaf dry weight was,

therefore, determined for L_2 to L_5. In both vegetative

and reproductive long shoots, SLA's of early and late

leaves were statistically different using Duncan's Multiple

Range Test, p = 0.01; and so were the differences between

vegetative and reproductive leaves (Table 5-2). Late

leaves have higher values for leaf area per unit dry weight,

and hence are thinner. SLW, which is the reciprocal of SLA,

indicates that late leaves have smaller values for leaf dry

weight per unit leaf area. A difference between early

and late leaves based on SLA has not previously been

reported. The fact that differences exist in SLA between

the two sets of leaves, regardless of whether they are borne

on vegetative or reproductive long shoot axes, is note-

worthy. Early leaves may indeed be firmer in texture (Clausen

and Kozlowski 1965) because they are thicker i.e., have

lower SLA than late leaves. How these features relate

to photosynthate production and contribution to shoot growth

has yet to be investigated. Nevertheless in _Populus_ the

higher photosynthetic efficiency of long shoots is invariably

due to late leaves (Nelson and Michael 1982).

Reproductive cost on leaf area is statistically

different from that of SLA. While the effect of reproductive

cost is lower in early leaves than in late leaves when cal-

culated as a function of leaf area, the converse is true

TABLE 5-2. Comparisons between specific leaf area of
vegetative and reproductive long shoots on
a node-to-node basis.

| Leaf number | Type | Mean SLA $(cm^2.g^{-1})$ | | Difference |
		Vegetative	Reproductive	
L_2	Early	130.6±11.2	158.5±15.9	27.9***
L_3	Early	136.9±13.2	154.8±13.4	17.9**
L_4	Late	156.9±22.4	167.5±23.4	10.6**
L_5	Late	172.7±11.6	177.0±16.50	4.3*

*, **, ***, Significantly different at 5% (*), 1% (**)

or 0.1% (***) level.

when calculated as a function of SLA (Table 5-3). This discrepancy requires an explanation. The effect of reproduction on leaf area may reflect how reproduction affects some aspect of leaf development and expansion. SLA differences on the other hand suggest that not only leaf lamina thickness, but the assimilate retention capacity of leaves and/or export-import relations are altered in leaves of reproductive shoots. Higher reproductive cost for early leaves based on SLA would indicate that in reproductive long shoots the capacity to retain/import assimilates is diminished and/or the tendency to export is increased. It is indeed likely that, compared to vegetative shoots, early leaves of reproductive long shoots may be exporting more assimilates distally, i.e., to the inflorescence apex and the developing late leaves. A further explanation is that, in reproductive long shoots, the importation of assimilates from late leaves by early leaves would be minimized due to stronger sink effect of the developing inflorescences.

Late leaves subtending male inflorescences have higher SLA but smaller leaf areas than comparable leaves subtending the pseudoterminal bud in the event of shoot tip abortion (Figs. 5-2 and 5-3). In this case, the effect of proximity to developing male inflorescences on leaf expansion, even within the bud just prior to bud burst, is pronounced. Such late leaves also have fewer side nerve

TABLE 5-3. Relative cost of male reproduction between leaves on a long shoot on i) area and ii) SLA basis.

| Leaf number | Reproductive Cost % | | Difference |
	Area	SLA	
L_2	16.1%	21.3%	5.2**
L_3	16.3%	13.1%	3.2*
L_4	25.3%	6.8%	18.5***
L_5	21.4%	2.5%	18.9***

*, **, ***, Significantly different at 5% (*), 1% (**)

or 0.1% (***) level.

FIG. 5 - 2.   Leaf size variations between male

inflorescence subtending late leaves

(N = 68) and late leaves subtending

pseudoterminal buds (N = 72) of shoots of

comparable length and internode number.

FIG. 5 - 3.   Frequency distribution of SLA of the

same leaves as in FIG. 5-2.

Figure 5-2. Histogram of frequency (%) versus leaf area (cm²) for VLS and RLS.

Figure 5-3. Histogram of frequency (%) versus specific leaf area (cm².g⁻¹) for VLS and RLS.

pairs, fewer numbers of serrations per unit length of leaf margin, and higher lamina length to width ratios (Figs. 5-4, 5-5, 5-6). These differences, which reflect the cost of male reproduction on leaf morphology, are similar to those in reproductive short shoots (Chapter 4). Because late leaves are very close to the male inflorescences during development, explanations advanced for female reproductive cost will apply here, and they need not be reiterated.

The ratio of lamina length to width for successive early and late leaves, $L_2 - L_5$, show slight variations (Table 5-4). In vegetative shoots L_3 has a higher ratio (i.e., grows more in length than width) than L_2. However, in reproductive long shoots the difference is not significant. This could mean two things: 1) in vegetative shoots L_3 grows more in length than L_2, while in reproductive shoots there is no difference, or 2) reproductive cost reduces lamina width expansion of L_2. It is not possible to test these hypotheses without carrying out more detailed studies of leaf growth (e.g., morphometric growth anatomy of leaf development). However, since male reproduction affects both early and late leaves, what then, is the effect on the axillary buds?

Effect of male inflorescences on axillary buds

A few days after bud burst observations indicated

FIG. 5-4. Frequency distribution of the number of
side nerve pairs of the same leaves as in
FIG. 5-2.

FIG. 5-5. Frequency distribution of the number of
serrations per unit length (cm) of leaf
margin of the same leaves as in FIG. 5-2.

FIG. 5-6. Frequency distribution of lamina length
to width ratios of the same leaves as in
FIG. 5-2.

TABLE 5-4. Differences in ratios of lamina length to width ratios of early and late leaves of vegetative and reproductive heterophyllous shoots.

LEAF NUMBER	TYPE	MEAN LAMINA LENGTH TO WIDTH RATIO +		DIFFERENCE
		VEGETATIVE	REPRODUCTIVE	
L_2	Early	1.51 ± 0.10	1.56 ± 0.09	0.05 NS
L_3	Early	1.56 ± 0.18	1.57 ± 0.08	0.01 NS
L_4	Late	1.65 ± 0.12	1.68 ± 0.13	0.03 NS
L_5	Late	1.64 ± 0.12	1.79 ± 0.20	0.15 *

* , significantly different at 5% (*) level.

NS, not statistically significant.

+, Values not vertically side-scored by the same line are significantly different $(P < 0.05)$.

that two-year-old vegetative long shoots (i.e., n + 3 year-old) appeared to be more leafy than shoots with flushing inflorescences (Figs. 5-7 to 5-10). The reduction in "leafiness" seemed to be more pronounced if the proximal axillary buds flushed as reproductive short shoots (Fig. 5-10). To test the hypothesis that male development affects the development of subjacent axillary buds, dry weights were obtained one week after flushing of buds on four categories of two-year-old long shoots. The four categories of long shoots were: 1) vegetative shoots with axillary buds expanding only as vegetative short shoots and long shoots (Fig. 5-7); 2) vegetative shoots with proximal axillary buds flushing as reproductive short shoots (Fig. 5-8); 3) reproductive long shoots with terminal male inflorescence and vegetative axillary buds (Fig. 5-9); and 4) reproductive long shoots with proximal axillary buds developing as reproductive short shoots (Fig. 5-10). In all, 242 long shoots were analyzed, representing 66, 38, 80 and 58 shoots of the categories listed above, respectively. Although vegetative long shoots with one or two proximal reproductive short shoots had slightly lower mean bud dry weight and almost identical distribution of mean bud dry weight as comparable vegetative long shoots without any proximal reproductive short shoots, the difference was not statistically significant. Hence, only three of the categories were compared.

FIG. 5-7 x 0.34. Two-year-old vegetative long shoots

with flushed proximal and distal

vegetative short and long shoots

respectively.

5-7

FIG. 5-8 x 0.41.   Two-year-old vegetative long shoots

with distal flushed vegetative long

shoots and proximal vegetative and

reproductive short shoots. Note:

in all buds at node 4 have flushed

as reproductive short shoots but

this is not so at node 5.

FIG. 5-9 x 0.41.   Reproductive long shoots (two-year-old)

with proximal and distal buds flushed

as vegetative short shoots and long

shoots, respectively.

FIG. 5-10 x 0.47. Reproductive long shoots (two-year-old)

with proximal buds flushed as

reproductive short shoots. Note

small leaves associated with reproductive

short shoots.

5-8

5-9

5-10

Mean bud dry weight is lower in reproductive long
shoots which bear proximal developing reproductive short
shoots than in vegetative long shoots (Fig. 5-11). Mean
bud dry weight of reproductive long shoots with reproductive
short shoots is lower than that of reproductive long shoots
without reproductive short shoots. This indicates that
the growth potential of axillary buds is indeed lowered
by the presence of the terminal male inflorescence. To
further substantiate this, bud dry weight was evaluated
and compared on a node-to-node basis for over 130 long
shoots of comparable length, and node number. The results
indicate that in all cases the presence of proximal repro-
ductive short shoots lowers the mean bud dry weight sig-
nificantly (Fig. 5-12). The difference between vegetative
long shoots and reproductive long shoots without repro-
ductive short shoot axillary buds is not significant
$(p > 0.05)$ at nodes 4 and 5, but it is significant at node
6 $(p < 0.01)$ and it is highly significant $(p < 0.001)$ at
node 7. It must be noted that the distal nodes (i.e.,
nodes 6 and 7) usually bear developing long shoots. It
may, therefore, be concluded that the distal, potential
long shoot buds are more affected by the terminal male
inflorescence than the proximal potential short shoot buds.
In fact, the intensity of this effect is what possibly in-
fluences all reproductive long shoot axillary buds to flush
as short shoots only (see Gross 1972).

Three weeks after flushing, a sample of over 180

long shoots was collected and grouped into the same four

classes to determine mean total early leaf area on a

node-to-node basis. A trend similar to that for bud dry

weight was obtained (Fig. 5-13). In reproductive long

shoots with proximal reproductive short shoots, total

leaf area at nodes 4 and 5 is greatly affected by the local

competition between developing female inflorescence apices

and the early leaves, thus further lowering the leaf area

significantly (p < 0.001). Although at node 4 differences

in bud dry weight between vegetative and reproductive long

shoots with reproductive short shoot axillary buds were

not significant (p > 0.05), a significant difference (p < 0.05)

was obtained on the basis of total early leaf area (Fig. 5-13).

The expanding long shoot proximal to the flushing pseudo-

terminal bud i.e., at node 6, does not seem to be as affected

by the presence of a terminal male inflorescence as the

flushing pseudoterminal bud (Fig. 5-13). It is common to

find that the long shoot closest to the male inflorescence

develops far more slowly than its subjacent long shoot. The

effect is that the most distal long shoot bears smaller

early leaves (Fig. 5-14). Consequently, the presence of a

terminal male inflorescence alters the relationship between

node number and total early leaf area (Chapter 3). Compared

to the usual situation of two proximal buds and distal buds

FIG. 5-11. Frequency distribution of mean bud dry

weight per shoot of three categories of

two-year-old long shoots. Distribution of

vegetative long shoots and vegetative

long shoots with proximal reproductive

short shoots are identical, hence the

latter is not shown.

FIG. 5-12. Relationship between node number and mean

bud dry weight for different categories

of two-year-old long shoots. Vertical

bars represent the magnitude of significant

differences.

FIG. 5-13. Relationship between node number and mean

total early leaf area three weeks after

flushing for different categories of

two-year-old long shoots. Vertical bars

represent the magnitude of significant

differences.

Figure 5-11

Figure 5-12

Figure 5-13

flushing as vegetative/reproductive short shoots, and long shoots, respectively, all axillary buds, proximal and distal buds close to the male inflorescence may flush as short shoots. In such instances there is a similar basipetal reduction in leaf size at specific nodes (Fig. 5-15). The short shoot at node 4 exhibits the extreme synergistic effect of terminal male inflorescence and "local" female inflorescence on total early leaf area (cf. Figs. 5-16 and 5-17). Male reproduction indeed lowers the vigour and growth potential of axillary buds and hence reduces extension of the canopy the subsequent year (Macdonald et al. 1983). An extreme case is reported in "moneymaker" pecan, Carya illinoensis, in which previous years fruiting long shoots had a markedly decreased flower and nut production the following year (Malstrom and McMeans 1982).

FIG. 5-14 x 0.675.Silhouette drawings of early leaves
of one-year-old shoots from a two-
year-old reproductive long shoot.
Note that the most distal long shoot
which is closer to the inflorescence
has considerably smaller early leaves.

FIG. 5-15 x 0.675.Silhouette drawings of early leaves
of one-year-old short shoots from a
two-year-old reproductive long shoot
with no distal long shoot. Note
the extremely small size of node 4
reproductive short shoot. Synergistic
effect of male and "local" female
reproduction on leaf size.

TERMINAL ♂

LS

N6

LS

N5

SS

N4

5-14

TERMINAL ♂

VSS

N6   L3   L2

RSS

N5   L3   L2

RSS

N4   L3   L2

5-15

FIG. 5-16 x 0.72. Two-year-old vegetative long shoot
with axillary buds flushed as one
distal long shoot, median short shoot
and most proximal reproductive
short shoot. Note smaller size of
node 4 early leaves (i.e., associated
with female inflorescence).

FIG. 5-17 x 0.72. Two-year-old reproductive long shoot
with most distal axillary bud flushed
as long shoot. Two proximal buds
flushed as reproductive short shoots.
Compare with Fig. 5-16 and note
smaller size of early leaves at
node 4 and also smaller leaves of
the distal long shoot when male
inflorescence is present.

5-16

5-17

CHAPTER 6

CHAPTER 6

COMPARATIVE ANATOMY OF LONG AND SHORT SHOOTS: A QUANTITATIVE
ANALYSIS WITH EMPHASIS ON ASPECTS OF STEM ANATOMY.

Introduction

Comparative anatomy has long been recognized as
an important tool especially in phytogenetic (Bailey and
Sinnott 1914; Hoar 1916; Bailey and Tupper 1918; Tippo
1946; Moseley 1948; Hall 1952), systematic (e.g. Boubier
1896; Solereder 1908; Tippo 1948; Metcalfe and Chalk 1950)
and taxonomic studies (e.g. Sinnott 1914; Cousins 1933;
Heimsch and Wetmore 1939; Metcalfe and Chalk 1950; Hall
1952). Comparative anatomy is helpful in clonal studies
evaluating wood quality (Isebrands 1969) and in studying
within-crown variations.

Observations on cells of birch wood were first
reported by Leeuwenhöek (1695). Since then the earliest
generalized but brief descriptions of the wood structure
of Betulaceae were made by Hartig (1859) and Sanio (1863).
Subsequently, Moeller (1876) studied the systematic anatomy
of the family with emphasis on Corylus, Carpinus, Betula
and Alnus. During the twentieth century Solereder's
account of systematic anatomy was the first in which the
Betulaceae was comprehensively covered (Solereder 1908,
cited by Hall 1952). Other studies were subsequently reported

(E.g., Bailey and Sinnott 1914; Hoar 1916; Hall 1952).

Comparative anatomy of long and short shoots are
very few. The earliest study was reported by Herrmann (1916,
cited by Bügsen and Münch 1929) who compared long and short
shoots of Fagus, Acer, Pomaceae, Ginkgo and Berberis. He
observed "greater narrowness" of the vessels and a greater
proportion of "starch storing cells" (i.e., cortical and
pith parenchyma) in dwarf shoots and concluded that the
occurrence of fewer strengthening tissues (i.e., xylem)
but more starch storing cells may favour the formation of
flowers - usually borne on dwarf shoots. Since then the
only report of this nature on angiosperms is that of the
unigeneric Japanese species Cercidiphyllum japonicum (Titman
and Wetmore 1955). Invariably much of the work involving
long and short shoot comparisons with emphasis on shoot
apical ontogeny have been on gymnosperms (e.g., Gunckel
and Wetmore 1946a, 1946b; Sacher 1954, 1955; Frampton 1960;
Hanawa 1967; Curtis and Popham 1972; Gabilo and Mogensen
1973; Owens and Molder 1979). Perhaps Doak (1935) was the
first to notice such apical differences but erroneously
labelled the dwarf shoot apex as an abortive leaf primordium
(Gabilo and Mogensen 1973).

So far the single-distinct feature differentiating
the two shoot apices in species other than birch has been
differences in the pith rib meristem (Gunckel and Wetmore

1946a; Titman and Wetmore 1955; Romberger 1963; Cutter 1965; Sachs 1965). More recently, apical vigour and internodal extension prior to winter dormancy in long shoots of larch have been reported (Owens and Molder 1979). Differences in stem anatomy of long and short shoots of Ginkgo (Wetmore 1956) and Cercidiphyllum (Titman and Wetmore 1955), and leaf anatomy of Ginkgo (Bertrand 1874; Seward and Gowan 1900; Chamberlain 1935; Kanis and Karstens 1963) and Malus (Ghosh 1973) have been described. Most of the descriptions, except that of Malus, were qualitative. The differences in leaf anatomy reported for Ginkgo have fairly recently been questioned (Hoddinott and van Zinderen Bakker 1974). No anatomical comparisons have been reported for long and short shoots of paper birch.

It is not the purpose of this study to compare the apical dynamics of long and short shoots. Rather, this analysis of the mature stem anatomy concentrates on comparing wood to bark ratio, widths of secondary xylem, secondary phloem, cortex and periderm as well as the radial diameter of vessels and diameters of pith and pith parenchyma cells, in order to infer possible differences in the developmental pathways of the two shoot types. This, therefore, possibly forms the basis for further studies.

To correlate growth, morphological and morphometric

differences between long and short shoots with anatomical features, the stem anatomy of internode 2 of one-year-old long and short shoots was compared quantitatively. Since these tissues arise as a result of shoot apical activity, a quantitative study of a mature portion of the stem should depict differences which might not be discernible in the shoot apex itself.

Results and Discussion

Not only do short shoots lack significant internodal extension, but diameter increment is also affected. Long and short shoots were grouped into age-classes 1 - 10 years, and their basal diameters were measured with a micrometer gauge. For age-classes 1 - 6 years a minimum of 45 each of long and short shoots were measured; and for age-classes 7 - 10 years a minimum of 22 of each shoot type were measured. Age versus diameter increment relationships are shown in Fig. 6-1. Differences in diameter increment were not significant until after the third year. A regression analysis of diameter increment on stem-age indicated that the slope of the long shoot curve was statistically different ($p < 0.001$) from that of the short shoot. Normally, incremental differences are associated

FIG. 6-1.   Relationship between stem-age and diameter
            increment of long and short shoots.

with cambial activity, primarily xylem production.
Therefore an analysis was made of xylem differences in
long and short shoots of different age classes. In
short shoots cambial activity diminishes or ceases in the
second year (Fig. 6-2) and definitely is not evident after
the third year (Fig. 6-3). On the other hand, cambial
activity in the long shoot is normal (Fig. 6-4). The
two situations have been termed manoxylic and pycnoxylic,
respectively (Titman and Wetmore 1955). The similarity
in diameter increment during the first three years (Fig.
6-1) needs explanation. Upon examination of sections
it was observed that, compared to long shoots, short
shoots have greater phellogen activity resulting in com-
paratively more periderm (Fig. 6-5). The enhanced phellogen
activity together with the minimal vascular cambial act-
ivity results in stem diameter increments in the first 3
years which are equivalent to diameter increments of long
shoots.

To ascertain the relationship between wood and
bark production, the ratio of wood radius to bark radius
was evaluated for one-year-old long and short shoots only.
Bark is defined here as that portion of the stem tissue
from the vascular cambium to the external surface (Esau
1977) of the stem. The ratio was higher in long shoots
than in short shoots (Table 6-1). This indicates that even

FIG. 6-2 X 217. Transverse section of an eight-year-

old short shoot stem showing an inactive

cambium and secondary vascular tissue

formation in internode 2. Note the

dense material in the zone of "dead"

cambium which has ceased activity during

the second year. First-year xylem (X_1)

has smaller vessels than second-year

xylem (X_2). Phloem (PH) is less crushed.

FIG. 6-3 X 135. Transverse section of a ten-year-old

short shoot stem showing the end of

cambial activity immediately after the

commencement of third-year growth

(arrows). Note that first-year xylem

(X_1) vessels are typically smaller than

subsequent years.

6-2

6-3

FIG. 6-4a x 123. Transverse section of internode 2 of a one-year-old long shoot showing normal cambial activity and extensive development of secondary xylem in the first year alone. Compare the radial width of first-year secondary xylem (X_1) with the corresponding one of short shoots i.e. X_1 of Figs. 6-2 and 6-3. Note the occurrence of larger vessels in the long shoot immediately after the onset of secondary growth (arrow). Also, higher frequency of large vessels in X_1 of long shoots. Cortex (COR) is more crushed in the long shoot.

FIG. 6-4b x 857. A higher magnification of a portion of the long shoot TS in Fig. 6-4a showing active cambium (arrows) without the dense (orange G or Safranin staining) material associated with the short shoot "dead" cambium (c.f. Fig. 6-2 and 6-3).

FIG. 6-5a x 92. Transverse section of a portion of a two-year-old short shoot stem showing a wider, less crushed cortex (COR) and more vigorous phellogen activity producing more phellem,part of which has sluffed off (arrows)

6-4a

6-4b

6-5a

within the first year of growth the activity of the short shoot vascular cambium lags behind that of the long shoot. Consequently, more xylem is produced in the long shoot than in the short shoot (c.f. Figs. 6-2 and 6-4). Whatever curtails short shoot cambial activity is effective during the first year. Also, vigorous xylem differentiation in the long shoot may have resulted in the crushing of secondary phloem and primary cortex, resulting in a smaller bark radius (c.f. Figs. 6-4 and 6-5a).

The radial widths of long and short shoot secondary xylem were compared. Measurements were taken on the opposite side from leaf trace divergencies since larger dimensions are sometimes associated with the side of the leaf trace divergence. Secondary xylem width is greater in internode 2 of long shoot stems than in the comparable internode of short shoots (Fig. 6-6; Table 6-1). Flushing long shoot buds have higher Bud-, Stem- and Leaf-RGR, extended internodes and produce late leaves with secondary axillary bud apices in their axils. Flushing short shoot buds, on the other hand, have lower Bud-, Stem- and Leaf-RGR, no extended internodes and produce no late leaves but form, instead, rudimentary leaves with aborting laminae and no secondary axillary buds (Chapter 3). The features exhibited by potential long shoot buds may possibly regulate earlier cambial reactivation prior to bud burst in long

TABLE 6-1. Comparisons between measurements of various anatomical features of internode 2 of one-year-old long and short shoot stems.

| ANATOMICAL PARAMETER | MEAN ± S. D. | | DIFFERENCE |
	LONG SHOOT	SHORT SHOOT	
Wood:Bark ratio[1]	0.998±0.191	0.443±0.142	0.555***
Periderm width (μm)	62.6±18.0	92.7±30.2	30.1 ***
Secondary xylem width (μm)	1284.6±311.9	629.3±268.1	655.3***
Secondary phloem width (μm)	110.81±23.85	88.02±24.42	22.79**
Vessel diameter (radial) (μm)	23.89±9.33	17.47±6.26	6.42***
Radial file vessel number	12.4±3.8	7.35±4.50	5.05***
Ray frequency (mm^{-1})	34.10±7.20	20.96±13.35	13.14**
Pith diameter (μm)	445.5±106.8	360.9±92.1	84.6***
Pith parenchyma diameter (μm)	27.9±7.3	21.7±5.8	6.2***
Cortex width (μm)	377.8±72.8	419.1±105.9	41.3***

** , *** , Significant at 1% and 0.1% level, respectively.

[1] Allometric K values are 1.93 and 1.09 for short shoots and long shoots, respectively. Short shoots produce more bark than wood.

FIG. 6-5b. Frequency distribution of periderm width

in internode 2 of one-year-old long and

short shoots.

LONG SHOOT 205
SHORT SHOOT 217

6-5b

FREQUENCY (%)

PERIDERM WIDTH (μm)

FIG. 6-6. Frequency distribution of the radial widths

of first-year secondary xylem (X_1) in long and

short shoot stems, of the same age and internode

as in Fig. 6-5b.

LONG SHOOT 164
SHORT SHOOT 181

6-6

FREQUENCY (%)

SECONDARY XYLEM RADIUS (mm)

shoots than in short shoots. Consequently, in short shoot

buds, the effect of lack of late leaf primordia development

and the development of associated secondary axillary bud

primordia, is the suppression of cambial activity. It

is well known that flushing buds and young growing leaves

strongly promote cambial reactivation in the spring, in

temperate woody plants (Romberger 1963; Reinders-Gouwentak

1965; Zimmermann and Brown 1971).

Radial width of secondary phloem is greater in

long shoots than in short shoots (Fig. 6-7) although in

long shoots the phloem seems to be somewhat crushed (Fig.

6-8). It is obvious that a relatively inactive cambium

in short shoots would produce less secondary vascular

tissue. The obvious necessity of maintaining a nutrient

flow to the single short shoot terminal bud which produces

foliage leaves yearly and which may form female inflores-

cences, suggests that there is continued phloem production.

However, the fact that short shoots eventually die usually

after 10 - 12 years suggests that, with age, continued phloem

production may also be suppressed.

In long shoots the production of late leaves

increases the foliage percentage and consequently its

effect on vascular tissue formation is considerable. In

trees there is evidence that the amount of foliage or canopy

size is closely related to the amount of wood formed in the

FIG. 6-7. Frequency distribution of the radial widths
of secondary phloem in long and short shoots
of comparable age as in Fig. 6-5b.

FIG. 6-8 X   A portion of the transverse section of a
one-year-old long shoot stem showing a
somewhat crushed phloem (PH) with dense
contents (arrow).

FREQUENCY (%)

LONG SHOOT 287
SHORT SHOOT 291

6-7

SECONDARY PHLOEM RADIAL WIDTH (µm)

6-8

stem (Larson and Isebrands 1971; Grier and Waring 1974;
Cheng 1976; Rogers and Hinckley 1979; Waring et al. 1980).
It is clear that extra foliage would imply more contribution
of leaf traces to the sympodium, which, in turn, should
result in an increase in stem diameter. Invariably more
wood production would result in more conduction of water
and other substances translocated in the xylem to promote
an increase in foliage. The radial diameter of secondary
xylem is highly correlated with the number of vessels in
the radial file (r=0.792 and 0.886 for long and short shoots,
respectively) (Fig. 6-9). Consequently, the number of vessels
is greater in larger secondary xylem increments/annual ring.
Does vigorous secondary xylem production influence the
development and dimensions of vessels?

Radial diameter of vessels was measured to
evaluate the relationship between xylem increment and
vessel diameter. In all, over 1500 vessels each of long
and short shoots were measured. Vessel diameter was
greater in long shoots than in short shoots (Fig. 6-10).
Also the frequency of comparatively large vessels was
higher in first year wood of long shoots (c.f. Figs. 6-2
and 6-4). This aspect is considered to be important be-
cause vessle dimensions are "parameters that determine
the efficiency and safety of water conduction" (Zimmermann
1982). Vessel diameter was chosen because of its positive

FIG. 6-9. Relationship between secondary xylem radial
width (x-axis) and the number of vessels
in radial file (y-axis) for first-year wood
(X_1) of long and short shoots (internode 2).

Number of vessels in radial file plotted against secondary xylem width (mm) for long shoots (▲, N = 228) and short shoots (○, N = 263).

SS: $Y = 0.055X - 0.564$, $r = 0.886$ ***

LS: $Y = 0.022X + 6.38$, $r = 0.792$ ***

FIG. 6-10. Frequency distribution of vessel diameter

(radial) in first-year wood (X_1) of long and

short shoots.

correlation with length (Zimmermann and Potter 1982),

the latter being more difficult to accurately measure.

Furthermore, because of wide variations in vessel diameter

in different species its effect on conductivity, accord-

ing to Zimmermann (1982) is far greater than that of vessel

length. Larger vessels conduct more water than small

ones. Although conductivity measurements have not been

determined per se, one can visualize greater bulk trans-

location in stems with larger vessels. Similar differences

between long and short shoots have been reported in Fagus,

Acer, Pomaceae, Ginkgo and Berberis (Herrmann 1916) and

Malus (Sokolova and Ghosh 1970) on the basis of vessel

frequency. The differences in water relations between

long and short shoots may be significant here. In apple

Simons (1956) observed that increased water supply resulted

in thicker leaves and larger dimensions of palisade cells.

This may explain why there is "better" leaf development in

long shoots in birch, i.e., larger leaves, longer petioles,

heavier petioles (i.e., more xylem) and lower SLA/higher

SLW. Presumably, this is the consequence of water conduc-

tion efficiency due to the presence of more and larger

vessels in long shoots, i.e., stem-node-leaf continuum.

In a previous study (White 1954) there was a high correla-

tion and an allometric relationship between petiolar xylem

area and lamina size in the runner-bean, Phaseolus multiflorous

Willd. Water stress and its attendant limitations on various physiological processes including photosynthesis (Hsiao 1973) may directly or indirectly by implicated. Studies on the evaluation of water potential in long and short shoot buds prior to and after flushing are needed.

Frequency of rays was determined since it relates to the degree of cambial activity (Gregory 1977). Ray number was determined by counting the rays along a 100 μm line perpendicular to the rays on transverse sections. It was not surprising that long shoots had a larger number of rays per millimeter, tangentially (Fig. 6-11). If ray cells and pith parenchyma are associated with pre-dormancy storage of photosynthate, does this imply that long shoots store more and therefore have a ready supply of stored food at the beginning of the growing season?

From bud organogenic studies it was observed that the occurrence of a slight internodal extension in potential long shoot buds occurs in mid-July (Macdonald et al. 1983). Buds of that collection were, therefore, sectioned longitudinally, for general observations relating to internodal extension. As shown in Fig.6-12, there is more internodal extension in potential long shoots than in potential short shoots (Fig.6-13) The typical effect of pith rib meristematic activity associated with the long shoot habit is evident (c.f. Fig. 6-12 and 6-13). The arrest of pith rib meristematic activity in the terminal bud apices of older short shoots (year n+2 or more) is well marked especially by presence of ergastic substances and some degree of cell wall thickening immediately below the corpus (Fig. 6-14). These features are further illustrated in Fig.6-15 and occur very early in the growing season, by late May. Pith rib meristematic

FIG. 6-11.   Frequency distribution of ray frequency

(rays per millimetre (tangential)) obtained

from transverse sections of the same internode

and shoots of Fig. 6-5b.

LONG SHOOT 424
SHORT SHOOT 396

6-11

FIG. 6-12 x 105. Longitudinal section of a potential

long shoot bud (pseudoterminal bud) collected on

14 July 1981 showing more internodal extension

and generally more densely staining apical and

subjacent tissues. Note the width of maturing

subjacent internodes.

FIG. 6-13 x 97. Longitudinal section of a potential

short shoot bud collected on 14 July 1981

showing slightly less internodal extension and

less densely staining subjacent tissues, a

sign of early maturation of tissues beneath the

apex. Note that the width of the maturing

subjacent internodes is smaller than in Fig.

6-12 i.e., potential long shoots despite

slightly different magnifications.

FIG. 6-14 x 96. Longitudinal section of the terminal bud

of a four-year-old short shoot showing virtually

no internodal extension. This bud was collected on

25 May 1982. Note that after the formation of

L_5 primordium (i.e. second early leaf; $L_1 - L_3$ are

rudimentaries) there appears to be no activity at

the rather broadened apex. This feature may be

analogous to the stage of an organogenic pause.

Less pith rib meristematic activity (RM) is evident.

A concentration of ergastic substances in subjacent

cells begins immediately below the apex - a possible

sign of inactivity (dormancy) and maturation of tissues.

6-14

6-13

6-12

FIG. 6-15.   Enlarged long-sections of apices in Figs.
6-12, 6-13, and a terminal short shoot bud.

a. x 235.   Apex of a potential long shoot bud showing
extensive pith rib meristematic activity
(RM) and a rather low, more active apical
dome, with intensely staining nuclei.

b. x 243.   Apex of a potential short shoot bud showing
less pith rib meristematic activity (RM),
early maturation of subjacent tissues,
and typically less active, high apical
dome.

c. x 250.   Apex of the terminal bud of a four-year-
old short shoot collected on 25 May 1982.
Note less pith rib meristematic activity
(RM), early maturation of subjacent tissues
(arrows) and a preponderance of ergastic
substances immediately below the apex.

activity is well known to be the possible cause of the long

and short shoot habit. However, apart from qualitative des-

criptions of cell size in the pith rib meristem as in Pinus

(Zimmermann and Brown 1971), no quantitative data is available.

This meristem is the progenitor of the pith, hence the final

dimensions of the mature pith parenchyma would relate to the

activity of the meristem. Subsequently, pith and pith parenchyma

diameters were measured. The results are shown in Figs. 6-16

and 6-17, respectively. Mean values are compared in Table 6-1.

Cortical width is greater in short shoots than in long shoots

(Fig. 6-18), the differences may also reflect the activity of

the flank meristem of short shoots. In addition to this,

vigorous secondary xylem differentiation in long shoots may

facilitate the radial crushing of the cortex.

Transverse sections indicate that the phyllotaxy

for potential long and short shoots (n + 1 buds) is 2/5.

Interestingly, in older short shoots the rudimentary leaves

of the previous and current year growth occur on the

same orthostichy. Thus L_1 of the previous year is on

the same orthostichy as the L_6 of that year. Thus L_6,

that is, the first-formed rudimentary leaf primordium,

becomes L_1 of current year. These phyllotactic obser-

vations may relate to the sequential production of 3

rudimentary leaves on older vegetative short shoot apices

(Macdonald and Mothersill 1983). However, in axillary

FIG. 6-16. Frequency distribution of pith diameter
            in internode 2 of one-year-old long and
            short shoots.

FIG. 6-17. Frequency distribution of pith
            parenchyma size (diameter) of long and
            short shoots from the same sections as
            in Fig. 6-16.

FIG. 6-18. Frequency distribution of cortical widths

in long and short shoots from the same

sections as Fig. 6-16.

potential short shoot buds there is only one rudimentary
leaf, but in subsequent years 3 rudimentary leaves are
formed. The cause of this transition is not understood.
Specific details of how rudimentary leaf formation relates
to primary vascularization has not been studied. Never-
theless, it has been observed that leaf-traces of rudimentary
leaves are very small and the central leaf-trace, in
particular, dissipates and becomes obscure as it enters
the sympodium of the main shoot axis (Fig. 6-19). This
indicates that rudimentary leaf traces do not contribute
significantly to primary vasculature and hence to the
development of secondary tissue. There is a more detailed
evidence that in Populus xylem differentiation in the
main axis is arrested in the traces of leaves with aborted
laminae (Goffinet and Larson 1982) or scale-leaves (Richards
and Larson 1982). Thus it could be inferred that since
actively growing foliage promotes xylem differentiation
(Young 1954; Wangermann 1967; Richards and Larson 1982),
then, the insignificant contribution of the rudimentary
leaf-traces to the axis would result in less xylem
differentiation in short shoots than in long shoots because
short shoots possess more rudimentary leaves than long
shoots. Long shoots develop late leaves, short shoots do not.
Perhaps because of there being fewer leaves, vital "physio-

FIG.6-19. Relative contributions of rudimentary and
foliage leaf-traces to the short shoot sympodium
in a four-year-old shoot.

a. x 100 Transverse section of internode 4 (i.e. below
the first early leaf) of a short shoot showing the
central trace (r3C) of the third rudimentary leaf,
L_3, "fizzling out" or dissipating prior to entering
the sympodium. The right lateral trace of L_5, 5L,
is shown in comparison with r3C.

b. x 103 The central trace of the first early leaf (L_4),
4C, of almost the same magnification as Fig. 6-19a
is shown entering the sympodium. Its sympodial
contribution is far greater.

c. x 100 Transverse section of internode 4 of another,
a six-year-old short shoot showing the same
situation as in Fig. 6-19a. The central trace
of L_3 (r3C) is "fizzling out" long before
it enters the sympodium (arrows). 5L is
shown in comparison.

d. x 97 Transverse section of short shoot stem
several microns below that of Fig. 6-19a
showing remnants of dissipated r3C and peculiar
reorganization of xylem vessels (arrow) in its
gap, during secondary growth.

logical factors" contributed by active foliage leaves for
xylem differentiation is reduced in short shoots. Although
I have no direct evidence such factors could be hormonal
(Larson 1964; Roberts 1969; Zimmermann and Brown 1971),
they have not been identified in potential long and short
shoot leaves of paper birch. However, young leaves are
known to be a source of naturally occurring growth regulators
(Jacobs 1952; Jones and Phillips 1966; Critchfield 1971;
Shininger 1979). In addition, gibberellin-stimulated
cambial activity in spur shoots of apricot has been reported
(Bradley and Crane 1957). It is not known if short shoots
produce less gibberellins or other growth regulators than
long shoots in birch. Trends implicating auxin concent-
rations in long shoot extension in Ginkgo and Cercidiphyllum
have, however, been reported (Gunckel et al. 1949; Titman
and Wetmore 1955). Higher Stem-RGR in potential long
shoot buds than short shoot buds may relate to the insig-
nificant contribution of rudimentary leaf-traces to the
sympodium, the inactive cambium and consequently less
secondary xylem formation in potential short shoots. In
addition, pith rib meristematic activity in potential
long shoots would also facilitate internodal extension
which is lacking in short shoots. Since defoliation strongly
inhibits stem elongation (Sachs 1965) one could assume that
lamina abortion in short shoots may be analogous to defoliation.

Vital physiological and biochemical studies are needed
to complement these observations.

CHAPTER 7

CHAPTER 7

. GENERAL DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

The purpose of this chapter is to collate

the results/observations and discussions of Chapters

3 to 6 in order to provide a better understanding of the

long and short shoot habit in B. papyrifera. The previous

chapters provide the foundation/framework for the correla-

tions between observations and these correlations have been

discussed. Growth analysis, morphometric measurements and

various other observations have been used to establish

differences between long and short shoot expression. These

data have been related to organogenic studies (Macdonald

and Mothersill 1983; Macdonald et al. 1983).

Based on the results/observations of this study,

a descriptive model can be advanced to explain shoot

expression in paper birch. Take, for example, a hypothetical

seven-node long shoot. At the end of the growing season it

has four buds subtended by late leaves. The distal one

or two buds will flush as long shoots the next growing

season, and the proximal buds as short shoots. The long

shoot potential is morphogenetically determined prior to

the end of the growing season. Post-dormancy Bud-,Stem-

and Leaf-RGR is higher in potential long shoot buds than

potential short shoot buds. Bud-, Stem- and Leaf-RGR

seem to correlate with flushing sequences. Since potential

long shoot buds flush first, the branch will exhibit a

basipetal flushing sequence. The potential long shoot bud(s)

seem to exhibit apical dominance/control over the potential

short shoot buds proximal to them. It has been hypothesized

that such a dominance or control may be traced to the various

morphogenetic events operating in time and space during

secondary bud inception. Hormonal, nutritional and probably

other physiological phenomena may be involved. But these

are not known. Potential long shoots may possess a stronger

metabolic sink which makes them compete more successfully

for nutrients than the proximal short shoots. The two early

leaves of the long shoots, after flushing, have greater

surface area, lower SLA/higher SLW and longer laminae than

those of the short shoots. Early leaves of long shoots con-

tribute assimilates for the development and expansion of

late leaves, their subjacent internodes, as well as for the

growth and development of axillary buds. Fully developed

late leaves increase the photosynthetic surface area and

possibly also the photosynthetic turnover of the long shoot.

Short shoots lack internodal extension and late leaves. Con-

sequently, they do not contribute to canopy expansion and

may only be acting primarily as photosynthetic units exporting

assimilates for cambial activity in other (e.g. long shoot

portions) units of the canopy and root growth. Long shoot

extension is terminated by shoot tip abortion or by male

inflorescence formation.

If the hypothetical seven-node long shoot develops

terminal male inflorescences, not only is shoot extension

limited, but strong sink effect caused by both inflorescence

formation and flowering, results in a suppression of long

shoot development. Consequently, leaves of reproductive

long shoots have smaller leaf sizes and higher SLA/lower SLW

than comparative vegetative long shoots. Reproductive cost

is manifested, not only in the leaves of the current long

shoot, but it is also realized the following year, during

flowering; the growth/developmental potential of the axillary

buds proximal to the male inflorescences is markedly reduced.

As a result, the reproductive cost is reflected in lower

post-flush bud dry weights and total early leaf areas. The

potential of the distal buds to flush as long shoots is also

reduced. In extreme cases all buds may flush only as short

shoots. In the event that the apices of proximal short

shoot buds are transformed into female inflorescence apices,

the reproductive cost is compounded. Such synergistic effect

of both male and female reproduction is reflected in smaller

early leaves of reproductive short shoots developing proximally

to terminal male inflorescences. Thus the production of

inflorescences decidedly reduces shoot vigour for at least

two years.

The higher growth potential, and the occurrence
of late leaves and associated axillary buds in long shoots
contributes to greater cambial activity and consequently the
production of more secondary xylem, larger vessels and more
rays. A compounding effect due to the production of more
leaves and associated axillary buds leads to greater cambial
activity and better developed vascular system with larger
vessels in long shoots, in a complementary manner. Short
shoots on the other hand, have only early leaves since the
laminae of the distal leaves, that is, those that are com-
parable to the late leaves of long shoots, abort. Consequently,
rudimentary leaves do not contribute to the development of
sympodial traces because their leaf-traces are suppressed.
The differences in the secondary vascular development between
long and short shoots is pronounced, and these differences,
which may reflect the morphological differences may be
related to differences in growth characteristics. For example,
the presence of many leaf primordia and, also, secondary
axillary bud apices in potential long shoot buds may be
related to higher Bud- and Stem-RGR early flushing and
greater cambial activity. Hormonal relations, although not
known, are obviously involved. However, greater cambial
activity in long shoot buds results in more secondary tissue
production and larger vessel diameter. The larger vessel
diameter in long shoots presumably, in turn, provides a more
efficient conduit (translocation pathway) for water and other

nutrients. Larger early leaves of long shoots may be the direct result of the conduction of more water vital to expansion of cells and consequently to the expansion of leaf laminae.

Answers to some of the questions posed in this thesis have not been provided or perhaps adequately substantiated due to limitations imposed by this type of study and also to a lack of specific physiological information. One thing is obvious, there is a dire need for morphologists, anatomists, physiologists and biochemists to collaborate in order to better understand the problems associated with tree growth.

LITERATURE CITED

ABBE, E. C. 1935. Studies in the phylogeny of the
Betulaceae. I Floral and inflorescence anatomy
and morphology. Bot. Gaz. 97: 1 - 67.

ABBE, E. C. 1938. Studies in the phylogeny of the
Betulaceae. II. Extremes in the range of
variation of floral and inflorescence morphology.
Bot. Gaz. 99: 431 - 469.

ACATAY, A. 1951. Memleketimiz ormanlarinda bulundugu
tesbit edilen Betula medwedewi Rgl. Istanbul Univ.
Orm. Fak. Derg. Ser. A. 1: 212 - 214.

ALLSOPP, A. 1964. Shoot Morphogenesis. Ann. Rev.
Plant Physiol. 15: 225 - 254.

ANDERSON, E., AND E. C. ABBE. 1934. A quantitative
comparison of specific and generic differences
in the Betulaceae. J. Arnold Abor. 15: 43 - 49.

ANTEVS, E. 1917. Die Jahresringe der Holzgewachse
und die Bedeutung derselben als klimatischer
Indikator. Progr. Rei. Bot. 1917(5): 285 - 386
(Original not seen. Cited by Studhalter et al.
1963, Bot. Rev. 29: 245 - 365).

ARAKI, M. 1972. The studies on the specific leaf
area of forest trees II. The effects of RLI,
season and shading on the specific leaf area in
young birch (Betula platyphylla var. Japonica (MIQ)
stand. J. Japanese For. Soc. 54: 184 - 191.

ARESCHOUG, E. 1877. Beiträge zur Biologie der
      Holzgewächse. Lund Univ. Arsskrift XIII. Ludd.
      (Cited by Bügsen and Münch 1929, pp. 21).

BAILEY, I. W., and E. W. SINNOTT. 1914. Investigations
      on the phylogeny of the angiosperms II. Anatomical
      evidence of reduction in certain of the Amentiferae.
      Bot. Gaz. 58: 36 - 60.

BAILEY, I. W., and W. W. TUPPER. 1918. Size variation
      in tracheary cells I. A comparison between the
      secondary xylem of vascular cryptogams, gymnosperms,
      and angiosperms. Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts and Sci.
      54: 149 - 204.

BALCH, R. E., and J. S. PREBBLE. 1940. The bronze
      birch borer and its relation to the dying of birch
      in New Brunswick forests. For. Chron. 16: 179 - 201.

BARTER, G. W., and R. E. BALCH. 1950. On the apparent
      spread of birch "dieback". Can. Dept. Agr., For.
      Ins. Invest. Bi-monthly Prog. Report 6(3): 1.

BERNIER, G. 1964. Etude histophysiologique et histochimique
      de l'évolution du méristème apical de Sinapis alba L.
      cultivé en milieu conditionné et en diverses durées
      de jour favorables ou défavorables a la mise a
      fleurs. Mem. Acad. Roy. Belg. Cl. Sci., 16:
      1 - 150.

BERNIER, G. 1971. Structural and metabolic changes in the
      shoot apex in transition to flowering. Can. J. Bot. 49:
      803 - 819.

BERRY, E. W. 1923. Tree ancestors. Williams and
    Wilkins Co., Baltimore. 270 p.

BERTRAND, C. E. 1974. Anatomie comparée des tiges
    et des feuilles chez les Gnetacées et les Conifères.
    Ann. Sci. Nat., 5e Ser., Bot., 20: 5 - 153.

BHAT, K. M. 1982. Anatomy, basic density and shrinkage
    of birch bark. IAWA Bulletin N. S. 3: 207 - 213.

BHAT, K. M., and M. KÄRKÄINEN. 1981. Wood anatomy and
    physical properties of wood and bark in Betula
    tortuosa Ledeb. Silva Fenn. 15: 148 - 155.

BINDLOSS, E. A. 1942. A developmental analysis of
    cell length as related to stem length. Amer. J.
    Bot. 29: 179 - 188.

BJÖRKMAN, E. 1941. Mykorrhizans utbildning och
    frekvens hos skogsträd på askgödslade och
    ogödslade delar av dikad myr. Medd Stat.
    Skogsförsöksanstalt. 32: 255 (Zusammenfassung
    in Deutsch).

BJORKMAN, E. 1949. The ecological significance of the
    ectotrophic mycorrhizal association in forest
    trees. Sv. Bot. Tidskr. 43: 223 - 237.

BJORKBOM, J. C. 1967. Seedbed preparation methods for
    birch. USDA Forest Serv. Res. Paper NE-79,
    15 pp. NE Forest Exp. Sta., Upper Darby, Pa.

BJORKBOM, J. C. 1969. Seeding and planting birch. In:
    Birch Sym. Proc: 79 - 82. USDA For. Serv., NE
    For. Exp. Stn., Upper Darby, Pa.

BJORKBOM, J. C. 1971. Production and germination of
paper birch seed and its dispersal into a forest
opening. USDA Forest Serv. Res. Paper NE-209,
14 pp. NE Forest Exp. Sta. Upper Darby, Pa.

BJORKBOM, J. C. 1972. Stand changes in the first 10
years after seed bed preparation for paper birch
USDA For. Serv. Res. Paper NE-238, 10 pp. NE For.
Exp. Sta., Upper Darby, Pa.

BLACKMAN, V. H. 1919. The compound interest law
and plant growth. Ann. Bot. 33: 353 - 360.

BODSON, M. 1975. Variation in the rate of cell division
in the apical meristem of Sinapis alba during
transition to flowering. Ann. Bot. N.S. 39:
547 - 554.

BODSON, M. 1977. Changes in the carbohydrate content
of the leaf and the apical bud of Sinapis during
transition to flowering. Planta 135: 19 - 23.

BORILL, M. 1959. Inflorescence initiation and leaf size
in some Gramineae. Ann. Bot., N. S. 23: 217 - 227.

BORILL, M. 1961. The developmental anatomy of leaves
in Lolium temulentum. Ann. Bot., N. S. 25: 1 - 11.

BOUBIER, A. M. 1896. Recherches sur l'anatomie
systematiques des Betulacées Corylacées. Malpighia
10: 349 - 436.

BRADLEY, M. V., and J. C. CRANE. 1957. Gibberellin-
stimulated cambial activity in stems of apricot
spur shoots. Science 126: 972 - 973.

BRAUN, E. L. 1950. Deciduous forest of eastern North
America. Blakiston Co., Philadelphia. 596 pp.

BRIGGS, G. E., R. KIDD, and C. WEST. 1920a. A
quantitative analysis of plant growth. Part I.
Ann. Appl. Biol. 7: 103 - 123.

BRIGGS, G. E., R. KIDD, and C. WEST. 1920b. A
quantitative analysis of plant growth. Part II.
Ann. Appl. Biol. 7: 202 - 223.

BRITTAIN, W. H., and W. F. GRANT. 1965. Observations
on Canadian birch (Betula) collections at the
Morgan Aboretum II. B. papyrifera var. cordiforlia.
Can. Field-Nat. 79: 254 - 257.

BRITTAIN, W. H., and W. F. GRANT. 1966. Observations
on Canadian birch (Betula) collections at the
Morgan Aboretum III. B. papyrifera of British
Columbia. Can. Field-Nat. 80: 147 - 157.

BRITTAIN, W. H., and W. F. GRANT. 1967. Observations
on Canadian birch (Betula) collections at the
Morgan Aboretum V. B. papyrifera and B. cordifolia
from eastern Canada. Can. Field-Nat. 81: 251-262.

BRITTAIN, W. H., and W. F. GRANT. 1968. Observations
on Canadian birch (Betula) collections at the
Morgan Aboretum VI. B. papyrifera from the Rocky
Mountains. Can. Field-Nat. 82: 44 - 48.

BRONCHART, R., G. BERNIER, J.-M. KINET, and A. HAVELANGE.
1970. RNA synthesis in the cells of the apical
meristem of Sinapis alba during transition from
the vegetative to the reproductive condition.
Planta 91: 255 - 269.

BROWN, C. L., R. G. McALPINE, and P. P. KORMANIK. 1967.
Apical dominance and form in woody plants: a
reappraisal. Amer. J. Bot. 54: 153 - 162.

BÜGSEN, M., and E. MÜNCH. 1929. The sturcture and Life
of Forest Trees. John Wiley and Sons, New York
436 p.

BURTON, D. H., and A. P. LESLIE. 1952. Some silvical
characteristics of yellow birch. Birch Die-back
Symp. Proc.: pp. 57 - 58, Ottawa, Ont., Canada.

CAESAR, J. C. and A. D. MACDONALD. 1983. Shoot development
in Betula papyrifera. II. Comparison of vegetative
and reproductive short shoot growth (in press).

CALOW, P. 1979. The cost of reproduction - a physiological
approach. Biol. Rev. 54: 23 - 40.

CAMERON, S. H., and G. BORST. 1938. Starch in the
avocado tree. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 36:
255 - 258.

CARPENTER, R. D. 1969. Bolt, log and tree grades for
birch. In: Birch Symp. Proc. pp. 26 - 32.
USDA For. Serv., Northeast. For. Exp. Stn.,
Upper Darby, Pa.

CHAMBERLAIN, C. J. 1935. Gymnosperms. Structure and
evolution. Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.

CHAMPAGNAT, P. 1965. Rameau courts et rameau longs:
Problèmes physiologiques. Handb. Pflanzenphysiol.
15: 1165 - 1171.

CHANDLER, W. H. 1934. The dry matter residue of trees
and their products in proportion to leaf area.
Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 31: 38 - 56.

CHANDLER, W. H., and A. J. HEINICKE. 1925. Some
effects of fruiting on the growth of grape vines.
Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 22: 74 - 80.

CHENG, W-F. W. 1976. The anatomical properties of
selected Populus clones. Univ. Microfilms Int.,
Ann Arbor, Michigan.

CLAUSEN, J. J., and T. T. KOZLOWSKI. 1965. Heterophyllous
shoots in Betula papyrifera. Nature 205: 1030 - 1031.

CLAUSEN, J. J. and T. T. KOZLOWSKI. 1967. Seasonal growth
characteristics of long and short shoots of Tamarack.
Canad. J. Bot. 45: 1643 - 1651.

CLAUSEN, K. E. 1962a. Introgressive hybridization
between two Minnesota birches. Silvae Genet. 11:
142 - 150.

CLAUSEN, K. E. 1962b. Size variation in pollen of
three taxa of Betula. Pollen Spores 4: 169 - 174.

CLAUSEN, K. E. 1963. Characteristics of a hybrid Birch
and its parent species. Can. J. Bot. 41: 441 - 458.

CLAUSEN, K. E. 1965. Yellow and paper birch seeds

   germinate well after four years of storage.

   USDA For. Serv. Res. Note LS-69, 2 p. Lake

   States For. Exp. Stn., St. Paul, Minn.

CLAUSEN, K. E. 1973. Genetics of yellow birch.

   USDA For. Serv. Res. Pap. WO-18. 28 p.

CLAUSEN, K. E. 1980. Survival, growth and flowering

   of yellow birch progenies in an open-field test.

   Silv. Genet. 29: 108 - 114.

CLAUSEN, K. E., and P. W. GARRETT. 1969. Progress in

   birch genetics and tree improvement. In: Birch

   Symp. Proc. pp. 86 - 94. USDA For. Serv.,

   Northeast. For. Exp. Stn., Upper Darby, Pa.

COCKSHULL, K. E., and A. P. HUGHES. 1968. Accumulation

   of dry matter by Chrysanthemum morifolium after

   flower removal. Nature, London 217: 979 - 980.

CONKLIN, J. G. 1969. Insect enemies of birch. In:

   Birch Symp. Proc. pp. 151 - 154. USDA For.

   Serv., Northeast For. Exp. Stn., Upper Darby, Pa.

COUSINS, S. 1933. The comparative anatomy of the stems

   of Betula pumila, Betula lenta and the hybrid

   Betula jackii. J. Arnold Arbor. 14: 351 - 355.

CRAWFORD, JR., T. W., V. V. RENDIG, and F. E. BROADBENT.

   1982. Sources, fluxes, and sinks of nitrogen during

   reproductive growth of maize (Zea mays L.). Plant

   Physiol. 70: 1654 - 1660.

CRITCHFIELD, W. B. 1960. Leaf dimorphism in Populus
trichocarpa. Amer.J. Bot. 47: 699 - 711.

CRITCHFIELD, W. B. 1970a. Shoot growth and leaf dimorphism
in Boston ivy (Parthenocissus tricuspidata). Amer.
J. Bot. 57: 535 - 542.

CRITCHFIELD, W. B. 1970b. Shoot growth and heterophylly
in Ginkgo biloba. Bot. Gaz. 131: 150 - 162.

CRITCHFIELD, W. B. 1971. Shoot growth and heterophylly
in Acer. J. Arnold Arbor., Harv. Univ. 52:
240 - 266.

CURTIS, J. D., and N. R. LERSTEN. 1978. Heterophylly
in Populus grandidentata (Salicaceae) with emphasis
on resin glands and extrafloral nectaries. Amer.
J. Bot. 65: 1003 - 1010.

CURTIS, J. D., and R. A. POPHAM. 1972. The developmental
anatomy of the long-branch terminal buds of Pinus
banksiana. Amer. J. Bot. 59: 194 - 202.

CUTTER, E. G. 1965. Recent experimental studies of
the shoot apex and shoot morphogenesis. Bot.
Rev. 31: 7 - 113.

DANCIK, B. P., and B. V. BARNES. 1972. Natural
variation and hybridization of yellow birch and
bog birch in southeastern Michigan. Silv. Genet.
21: 1 - 9.

DANILOV, M. D. 1971. Seasonal growth in surface area
of leaf laminae of some woody species. Lesoved. 4:
43 - 49.

DANSEREAU, P, and G. PAGEAU. 1966. Distribution géo-
graphique et écologique du Betula alleghaniensis.
Mém. du Jardin Bot. de Montreal 58, 56 p.

DASANAYAKE, M. D. 1960. Aspects of mprphogenesis
in a dorsiventral fern, Pteridium aquilinum (L)
Kuhn. Ann. Bot. N. S. 24: 317 - 329.

DATHE, W., G. SCHNEIDER, V. I. KEFELI, and P. V. VLASVOV.
1978. Gibberellins, abscisic acid, and related
inhibitors in branches and bleeding sap of birch
(Betula pubescens). Biochem. Physiol. Pflanzen.
173: 238 - 248.

DAVIS, E. M. 1953. Paper birch utilization in the Lake
states. Rep. U. S. For. Prod. Lab., Madison, NO.
R1953. 5 pp.

DAVIS, G. L. 1966. Systematic embryology of the angiosperms.
John Wiley and Sons, New York. 528 p.

DAVIS, J. T. and D. SPARKS. 1974. Assimilation and
translocation patterns of carbon-14 in the shoot
of fruiting pecan trees, Carya illinoensis Koch.
J. Amer. Hort. Sci. 99: 468 - 480.

DE CANDOLLE, A. P. 1827. Organographie végétale. Vol. 1,
Paris.

DE POUQUES, M. L. 1949. Études caryologiques sur les
Fagales I. Le genre Betula. Bull, Soc. Sci.
Nancy 8: 1 - 5.

DICKMANN, D. I., and T. T. KOZLOWSKI. 1970. Mobilization
and incorporation of photoassimilated ^{14}C by
growing vegetative and reproductive tissues
of adult Pinus resinosa Ait. trees. Plant Physiol.
45: 284 - 288.

DICKSON, A. 1886. On the development of bi-foliar
spurs into ordinary buds in Pinus sylvestris.
Trans. Bot. Soc. Edinb. 16: 258 - 261.

DIMBLEBY, G. W. 1952. The root sap of birch on a podzol.
Plant and Soil. 4: 141 - 149.

DOAK, C. C. 1935. Evoluation of foliar types, dwarf
shoots and cone scales of Pinus. Illinois Biol.
Monogr. 13: 125 - 230.

DOMANSKI, R., and T. T. KOZLOWSKI. 1967. Variation in
kinetin-like activity in buds of Betula and Populus
during release from dormancy. Can. J. Bot. 46:
397 - 403.

DOWNS, R. J., and J. M. BEVINGTON. 1981. Effect of
temperature and photoperiod on growth and dormancy
of Betula papyrifera. Amer. J. Bot. 68: 795 - 800.

DUGLE, J. R. 1966. A taxonomic study of western Canadian
species in the genus Betula. Can. J. Bot. 44:
929 - 1007.

DYAKOWSKA, J. 1937. Researches on the rapidity of the
falling down of pollen of some trees. Bulletin
international de l'academie polonaise des sciences et des
lettres. Serie B: Sciences naturelle (I). Cracovie.

EAGLES, C. F., and P. F. WAREING. 1964. The role of
    growth substances in the regulation of bud dormancy.
    Physiol. Plant. 17: 697 - 709.

EIS, S., S. H. GARMAN, and L. F. EBELL. 1965. Relation
    between cone production and diameter increment in
    Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii (Mirb.) Franco),
    grand fir (Abies grandis (Dougl.) Lindl.) and
    western white pine (Pinus monticola Dougl.). Can. J.
    Bot. 43: 1553 - 1559.

ERICKSON, R. O. and F. J. MICHELINI. 1957. The plastochron
    index. Amer. J. Bot. 44: 297 - 305.

ESAU, K. 1954. Primary vascular differentation in
    plants. Biol. Rev. 29: 46 - 86.

ESAU, K. 1965. Vascular differentiation in plants. Holt,
    Rinehart and Winston, New York. 160. pp.

ESAU, K. 1977. Anatomy of seed plants. John Wiley
    & Sons, New York, London. 550 pp.

EVANS, G. C. 1972. The quantitative analysis of plant
    growth. Blackwell Scientific Publications,
    London. 734 p.

EVANS, G. C., and A. P. HUGHES. 1961. Plant growth
    and the aerial environment I. Effect of artificial
    shading on Impatiens parviflora. New Phytol. 60:
    150 - 157.

EVANS, W. H. 1899. An undescribed birch from Alaska.
    Bot. Gaz. 27: 481 - 482.

FEDERER, C. A. 1976. Differing diffusive resistance
and leaf development may cause differing trans-
piration among hardwoods in Spring. For. Sci.
22: 359 - 364.

FEDERER, C. A. 1977. Leaf resistance and xylem potential
differ among broadleaved species. For. Sci. 23:
411 - 419.

FEDERER, C. A. 1980. Paper birch and white oak
saplings differ in responses to drought. For.
Sci. 26: 313 - 324.

FEDERER, C. A., and G. W. GEE. 1976. Diffusion resistance
and xylem potential in stressed and unstressed
northern hardwood trees. Ecology 57: 975 - 984.

FERNALD, M. L. 1902. Relationships of some American
and old world birches. Am. J. Sci. 14: 167 - 194.

FERNALD, M. L. 1945. Some North American Corylaceae
(Betulaceae). I. Notes on Betula in eastern
North American Rhodora. 47: 303 - 329.

FERNALD, M. L. 1922. Notes on the flora of Nova
Scotia. Rhodora 23: 165 - 180.

FLINT, R. F. 1947. Glacial geology and the Pleistocene
epoch. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 589 p.

FOSTER, A. S. 1928. Precociously expanding buds and
their relation to the problem of bud scale morphology.
The Naturalist 36: 71 - 78.

FOSTER, A. S. 1938. Structure and growth of the shoot
    apex in Gingko biloba. Bull. Torrey Bot Club 65:
    531 - 556.

FRAMPTON, C. V. 1960. Some aspects of development anatomy
    of the "long" shoots in Larix decidua Mill., with
    particular reference to seasonal periodicity.
    New Phytol. 59: 175 - 191.

FROILAND, S. G. 1952. The biological status of
    Betula Andrewsii A. Nels. Evolution 6: 268 - 282.

GABILO, E. M. and H. L. MORGENSEN. 1973. Foliar
    initiation and the fate of the dwarf-shoot apex in
    Pinus monophylla. Amer. J. Bot. 60: 671 - 677.

GARDINER, A. S. 1958. Variation in bark characteristics
    in birch. Scot. For. 12: 191 - 195.

GARRISON, R. 1949. Origin and development of axillary
    buds: Betula papyrifera Marsh. and Euptelea polyandra
    Sieb. et Zucc. Am. J. Bot 36: 379 - 389.

GARRISON, R. 1973. The growth and development of
    internodes in Helianthus. Bot. Gaz. 134: 246 - 255.

GEE, G. W., and C. A. FEDERER. 1972. Stomatal resistance
    during senescence of hardwood leaves. Water
    Resources Res. 8: 1456 - 1460.

GHOSH, S. P. 1973. Internal sturcture and photosynthetic
    activity of different leaves of apple. J. Hort.
    Sci. 48: 1 - 9.

GIBBS, R. D. 1939. Studies in tree physiology. I.
General introduction. Water contents of certain
Canadian trees. Can. J. Res., C, 17: 460 - 482.

GIBBS, R. D. 1940. Studies in tree physiology. II.
Seasonal changes in food reserves of field birch
(Betula populifolia Marsh.). Can. J. Res. 18:
1 - 9.

GIFFORD, E. M. and H. B. TEPPER. 1962. Histochemical
and autoradiographic studies of floral induction
in Chenopodium album. Amer. J. Bot. 49: 706 - 714.

GINZBURG, M. W. and L. REINHOLD. 1964. The interaction
of inhibiting and promoting factors in the growth
of short shoot buds in Pinus halepensis. Abst.
10th Int. Bot. Congr. 1964 (370).

GOFFINET, M. C. and P. R. LARSON. 1982. Lamina
abortion in terminal bud-scale leaves of Populus
deltoides during dormancy induction. Bot. Gaz. 143:
331 - 340.

GOTTLIEB, J. E. 1961. An experimental study of shoot
system of the bracken fern, Pteridium aquilinum.
(Abs). Amer. Jour. Bot. 48: 527.

GOTTLIEB, J. E. 1962. Changes in the organization of
the long and short shoot apical meristems of Pteridium
after kinetin treatment in vitro (Abs.). Amer.
Jour. Bot. 49: 654.

GREENIDGE, K, N. H. 1953. Further studies of birch

dieback in Nova Scotia. Can. J. Bot. 31: 548 - 559.

GREGORY, F. G. 1918. Physiological conditions in

cucumber houses. Annual Report, Experimental

Research Station, Cheshunt, pp. 19 - 28.

GREGORY, R. A. 1977. Cambial activity and ray cell

abundance in Acer saccharum. Can. J. Bot. 55:

2559 - 2564.

GREGORY, R. A. 1980. Annual cycle of shoot development

in sugar maple. Can. J. For. Res. 10: 316 - 326.

GRIER, C. C., and R. H. WAREING. 1974. Conifer foliage

mass related to sapwood area. Forest Sci. 20:

205 - 206.

GROSS, H. L. 1972. Crown deterioration and reduced

growth associated with excessive seed production

by birch. Can. J. Bot. 50: 2431 - 2437.

GUNCKEL, J. E., and R. H. WETMORE. 1946a. Studies of

development in long shoots and short shoots of

Gingko biloba L. I. The origin and pattern of

development of the cortex, pith, and procambium,

Can. J. Bot. 33: 285 - 295.

GUNCKEL, J. E., and R. H. WETMORE. 1946b. Studies of

development in long shoots and short shoots of

Gingko Biloba L. II. Phyllotaxis and the organization

of the primary system; primary phloem and primary xylem.

Amer. J. Bot. 33: 532 - 543.

GUNCKEL, J. E., and K. V. THIMANN. 1949. Studies of
development in long and short shoots of <u>Ginkgo</u> <u>biloba</u> L.
III. Auxin production in shoot growth. Amer. J. Bot.
36: 145 - 151.

GUNCKEL, J. E., K. V. THIMANN, and R. H. WETMORE. 1949.
Studies of development in long shoots and short
shoots of <u>Gingko</u> <u>biloba</u> L. IV. Growth habit,
shoot expression and the mechanism of its control.
Amer. J. Bot. 36: 309 - 316.

GUNNARSSON, J. G. 1925. Monografi över Skandinaviens
Betulae. Arlov. Original not seen, cited from
Johnsson 1945.

HAGMAN, M. 1963. Incompatibility in <u>Betula</u> <u>varrucosa</u>
Ehrh. and <u>Betula</u> <u>pubescens</u> Ehrh. (Abstr). XI Int.
Congr. Genetics, The Hague, Proc. Sept. 1963 (1):
211.

HALL, J. W. 1952. The comparative anatomy and phylogeny
of the Betulaceae. Bot. Gaz. 113: 235 - 270.

HALLÉ, R., R. A. A. OLDEMAN, and P. B. TOMLINSON. 1978.
Tropical trees and forests: an architectural
analysis. Springer-Verlag. New York.

HALLIDAY, W. E. D., and A. W. A. BROWN. 1943. The distribution
of some important forest trees in Canada. Ecology 24:
353 - 373.

HALPERIN, W. 1978. Organogenesis at the shoot apex.
Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 29: 239 - 262.

HAMMOND, D. 1941. The expression of genes for leaf

shape in Gossypium hirsutum L. and Gossypium

arboreum L. I. The expression of genes for

leaf shape in Gossypium hirsutum L. Amer. J. Bot.

28: 124 - 134.

HANAWA, J. 1967. Growth and development in the shoot

apex of Pinus densiflora. II. Ontogeny of the

dwarf shoot and the lateral branch. Bot. Mag.

(Tokyo) 80: 248 - 256.

HANSEN, P. 1967. ^{14}C studies on apple trees. I.

The effect of the fruit on the translocation and

distribution of photosynthates. Physiol. Plant.

20: 382 - 391.

HANSTEIN, J. 1868. Die Scheitelzellgruppe im Vegetationspunkt

der Phemerogamen. Niederrhein Ges. Natur-Heilk.

Festschr. zum 50 jährigen Jubiläum Univ. Bonn.

pp. 109 - 143.

HARPER, J. L. and J. OGDEN. 1970. The reproductive

strategy of higher plants. I. The concept of

strategy with special reference to Senecio vulgaris

L. J. Ecol. 58: 681 - 698.

HARRISON, M. A. and P. F. SAUNDERS. 1975. The Abscisic

Acid Content of Dormant Birch Buds. Planta 123:

291 - 298.

HARTIG, T. 1859. Beiträge zur vergleichenden Anatomie

der Holzpflanzen. Bot. Zeit. 17: 105 - 112.

HAWBOLDT, L. S. 1947. Aspects of yellow birch dieback
in Nova Scotia. J. Forestry 45: 414 - 422.

HEIM, G., J. J. LANDSBERG, R. L. WATSON, and P. BRAIN.
1979. Eco-physiology of apple trees: Dry matter
production and partitioning by young Golden Delicious
trees in France and England. J. Appl. Ecol. 16:
179 - 194.

HEIMSCH, C., JR., and R. H. WETMORE. 1939. The significance
of wood anatomy in the taxonomy of the Juglandaceae.
Amer. J. Bot. 26: 651 - 660.

HELMS, A. and C. A. JORGENSEN. 1927. Birkene paa
Maglemose. Bot. Tidskr. 39: 57 - 133.

HERRMANN, A. 1916. Unterscheide in der Anatomie der
Kurz-und Langtriebe einiger Holzpflanzen (Fagus,
Acer, Pomaceae, Ginkgo, Berberis) Österr. bot.
Zeitschr. p. 34. (Original not seen - quoted
from Bügsen and Münch 1929).

HESSELMAN, H. 1937. Om humustäckets beroende av
beståndets alder och sammansattning i den nordiska
granskogen av. blåbärsrik Vaccinium-typ och dess
inverkan på skogens föryngring och tillväxt.
Medd. Stat. Skogsförsöksanstalt. 30: 529 - 543.

HOAR, C. S. 1916. The anatomy and phylogenetic position
of the Betulaceae. Amer. J. Bot 3: 415 - 435.

HODDINOTT, J., and E. M. VAN ZINDEREN BAKKER, JR. 1974.
Net assimilation in long and short shoot leaves of
Gingko biloba. Can. J. Bot. 52: 329 - 330.

HOLMSEN, T. W. 1960. Pith in normal and short internode
   seedlings of Prunus persica. Amer. J. Bot. 47:
   173 - 175.

HOOKER, W. J. 1838. Flora Boreali-Americana. Vol. II.
   Henry G. Bohn, London.

HOYLE, M. C., and J. C. BJORKBOM. 1969. Birch nutrition.
   In: Birch Symp. Proc. pp. 102 - 105. USDA. For.
   Serv., Northeast For. Exp. Stn., Upper Danby, Pa.

HSIAO, T. C. 1973. Plant responses to water stress.
   Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 24: 519 - 570.

HUGHES, A. P. 1965. Plant growth and the aerial
   environment VII. The growth of Impatiens
   parviflora in very low light intensities. New
   Phytol. 64: 55 - 64.

HULTÉN, E. 1944. Flora of Alaska and Yukon. Hakan
   Ohlssons Boktryckeri, Lund. pp. 572 - 585.

HUNT, R. 1978. Plant growth analysis. Studies in
   Biology No. 96, Edward Arnold, London. 67 p.

HUSTICH, I. 1956. Notes on the growth of Scotch Pine
   in Utsjoki in Northernmost Finland. Acta Botanica
   Fenn. 56: 3 - 13.

HUTNIK, R. J. 1954. Effects of seed-bed condition on
   paper birch reproduction. J. Forestry 52: 493 - 495.

HUXLEY, J. S. 1932. Problems of Relative growth.
   Methuen and Co., London. 346 pp.

HYVARINEN, M. J. 1968. Paper Birch: its characteristics, properties and uses. A review of recent literature. U. S. For. Serv. Res. Paper. Ninth Cent. For. Exp. Stat. No. NC-22. 12 pp.

INGESTAD, T. 1957. Studies in the nutrition of forest tree seedlings I. Mineral nutrition of Birch. Physiol. Plant. 10: 418 - 439.

ISEBRANDS, J. G. 1969. Cellular variation within the wood of eastern cottonwood. Ph.D. thesis. Univ. Microfilms Int., Ann Arbor, Michigan.

ISEBRANDS, J. G., and N. D. NELSON. 1982. Crown architecture of short-rotation, intensively cultured Populus II. Branch morphology and distribution of leaves within the crown of Populus "Tritis" as related to biomass production. Can. J. For. Res. 12: 853 - 864.

JACK, F. G. 1895. Hybrid birches. Garden Forest 8: 243 - 245.

JACKSON, L. W. R. 1967. Effect of shade on leaf structure of deciduous tree species. Ecology 48: 498 - 499.

JACOBS, W. P. 1952. The role of auxin differentiation of xylem around a wound. Amer. J. Bot. 39: 301 - 309.

JACQMARD, A., J. P. MIKSCHE, and G. BERNIER. 1972. Quantitative study of nucleic acids and proteins in the shoot apex of Sinapis alba during transition from vegetative to the reproductive condition. Amer. J. Bot. 59: 714 - 721.

JENSEN, W. A. 1962. Botanical Histochemistry. W. H.
Freeman, San Francisco. 408 pp.

JENSSEN, J. 1940. Litt om bjørk. Tidsskr. Skogbr. 48:
212 - 221.

JENTYS-SZAFEROWA, J. 1937. Biometrical studies on
the collective species Betula alba L. I. Polymorphism
of the leaves of birches. Institut Badawczy Lesnictwa,
Prace. Series A, No. 26: 1 - 57.

JENTYS-SZAFEROWA, J. 1938. Biometrical studies on the
collective species Betula alba L. II. The possibility
of hybridization between species Betula verrucosa
Ehrh. and Betula pubescens Ehrh. Instytut
Badawczy lasow panstowowych. Seria A. nr 4. Warsaw.

JOHANSEN, D. A. 1940. Plant Micro-technique. McGraw-
Hill, New York. 523 pp.

JOHNSSON, H. 1941. Interspecific hybridization within
the genus Betula. Separat ur Hereditas 31:
163 - 176.

JOHNSSON, H. 1944. Triploidy in Betula alba L. Bot.
Notiser. 85 - 96.

JOHNSSON, H. 1945. Interspecific hybridization within
the genus Betula. Hereditas 31: 163 - 176.

JOHNSSON, H. 1949. Studies on birch species hybrids I.
Betula verrucosa X B. japonica., B. varrucosa X B. papyrifera
and B. pubescens X B. papyrifera. Hereditas 35:
115 - 135.

JONES, R. L. and I. D. J. PHILLIPS. 1966. Organs of
gibberellin synthesis in light-grown sunflower plants.
Plant Physiol. 41: 1381 - 1386.

JUNG, C. 1960. The forest·plant geography of China.
OTS Transl. 63-31137, 97 pp.

JUNTTILA, O. 1976. Apical growth cessation and shoot
tip abscission in Salix. Physiol. Plant. 38:
278 - 286.

KAIKKO, J. 1940. Koivun lehtiminen, lehtisato ja
vihanta-aika Suomessa (Leafing, leaf crop and
green period of birch in Finland). Terra. Helsinki.

KANIS, A., and W. K. H. KARSTENS. 1963. On the occurrence
of amphistomatic leaves in Ginkgo biloba L. Acta
Bot. Neerl. 12: 281 - 286.

KÅSA, J. 1952. Lauvtoernes rolle i granbestand. Tidskr.
Skogsbruk. 60: 129 - 137.

KAWASE, M. 1961a. Dormancy in Betula as a quantitative
state. Plant Physiol. 36: 643 - 649.

KAWASE, M. 1961b. Growth substances related to dormancy
in Betula. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc. 78:
532 - 544.

KIENHOLZ, R. 1941. Seasonal courses of height growth
in some hardwoods in Connecticut. Ecology 22:
249 - 258.

KIHLMAN (Kairamo), A. Osw. 1900. Pflanzenphänologische
Beobachtungen in Finland 1895. Bidrag till kännedom
of Finlands natur och folk. H. 58. Helsingfors.

KIKUZAWA, K. 1978. Emergence, defoliation and longevity of Alder (Alnus hirsuta Turcz.) leaves in a deciduous hardwood forest stand. Japanese J. Ecol. 28: 299 - 306.

KIKUZAWA, K. 1982. Leaf survival and evolution in Betulaceae. Ann. Bot. 50: 345 - 353.

KITAMURA, S. 1964. Flowering plants of West Pakistan. In: Plants of West Pakistan and Afghanistan. Kitamura, S. (Ed.). Yoshida-Hommachi, Sokyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan. p. 1 - 161.

KLAEHN, F. V. and F. RUNQUIST. 1952. Birch: a summary of the results of recent research on its botany and utilization with notes on its silvicultural treatment. (Zusammenfassung der neuesten Unter-suchungsergebrisse in forstbotanischer und forstbemitzlicher Itinsicht und zur walbaulichen. Behandlungweise dieser Hölzart). Allgemeine forst-und Jagd-zeitung. 123: 172 - 177.

KOZLOWSKI, T. T. 1963. Growth characteristics of forest trees. J. Forestry 61: 655 - 662.

KOZLOWSKI, T. T. 1971. Growth and development of trees. Vol. I. Seed germination, ontogeny, and shoot growth. Academic Press, New York.

KOZLOWSKI, T. T., J. H. TORRIE, and P. E. MARSHALL. 1973. Predictability of shoot length from bud size in Pinus resinosa Ait. Can. J. For. Res. 3: 34 - 38.

KOZLOWSKI, T. T., and J. J. CLAUSEN. 1966. Shoot growth

    characteristics of heterphyllous woody plants.

    Can. J. Bot. 44: 827 - 843.

KOZLOWSKI, T. T., and R. C. WARD. 1957. Seasonal height

    growth of deciduous trees. Forest Sci. 3: 168 - 174.

KOZLOWSKI, T. T., and R. C. WARD. 1961. Shoot elongation

    characteristics of forest trees. Forest Sci. 7:

    357 - 368.

KOZLOWSKI, T. T., and T. KELLER. 1966. Food relations

    of woody plants. Bot. Rev. 32: 293 - 368.

KRAMER, P. J., and T. T. KOZLOWSKI. 1960. The Physiology

    of Forest Trees. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York. 642 p.

KREIDEMANN, P. E. 1968. [14]C translocation patterns in

    peach and apricot shoots. Aust. J. Agr. Res. 19:

    775 - 780.

KRIEDEMANN, P. E. 1969. [14]C translocation in orange

    plants. Aust. J. Agr. Res. 20: 291 - 300.

KRÜSSMANN, G. 1962. Handbuch der Laubgehölze. Band I.

    Paul Parey, Berlin and Hamburg. pp. 227 - 239.

KUIVINEN, K. C., and M. P. LAWSON. 1982. Dendroclimatic

    analysis of birch in south Greenland. Arctic and

    Alpine Res. 14: 243 - 250.

KUJALA, V. 1924. Laskelmia lehtipuiden lehtikauden

    pituudesta ja puiden kukkimisajoista Suomessa.

    Referat: Berechnungen über die Länge der Laubperiode

    der Laubbäume und Bluhzeiten der Baume in Finland. MTJ. 7.

KUJALA, V. 1946. Koivututkimuksia (some recent research data on Birches. Commun. Inst. - For. Fenn. 34 (1): 1 - 36.

LAM III, O. C., and C. L. BROWN. 1974. Shoot growth and histogenesis of *Liquidambar styraciflua* L. under different photoperiods. Bot. Gaz. 135: 149 - 154.

LARSON, P. R. 1964. Some indirect affects of environment on wood formation. In: The formation of Wood in Forest Trees M. H. Zimmermann, Ed., pp. 345 - 365. Academic Press, New Yrok, London. 562 p.

LARSON, P. R., and J. C. GORDON. 1969. Leaf development, photosynthesis, and ^{14}C distribution in *Populus deltoides* seedlings. Amer. J. Bot. 56: 1058 - 1066.

LARSON, P. R., and J. G. ISEBRANDS. 1972. The relation between leaf production and wood weight in first-year root sprouts of two *Populus* clones. Can. J. Forest Res. 2: 98 - 104.

LARSON, P. R., J. G. ISEBRANDS AND R. E. DICKSON. 1980. Sink to source transition of *Populus* leaves. Ber. Deutsch. Bot. Gres. 93: 79 - 90.

LARSON, P. R., and T. D. PIZZOLATO. 1977. Axillary bud development in *Populus deltoides*. I. Origin and early ontogeny. Amer. J. Bot. 64: 835 - 848.

LAWRENCE, G. H. M. 1951. Taxonomy of vascular plants. MacMillan Co., New York. 823 pp.

LEAK. W. B., D. S. SOLOMON and S. M. FILIP. 1969. A silvicultural guide for Northern hardwoods in the Northeast. USDA Forest Serv. Res. Paper NO-143, 34 pp. NE Forest Exp. Sta., Upper Darby, Pa.

LEDIG. F. T. 1974. Concepts of growth analysis. In. Proc. Third North American Forest Biology Workshop, Fort Collins, Colorado. Pp. 166 - 182.

LEDIG, F. T. and T. O. PERRY. 1969. Net assimilation rate and growth in loblolly pine seedlings. For. Sci. 15: 431 - 438.

LEEUWENHÖEK, A. VAN. 1695. Arcana naturae detectae. Delphis Batavorum.

LEHONKOSKI, N. A. 1940. Känner du ditt bjorkbestrand. Skogen 27: 3 - 6; 57 - 59; 77 - 79.

LINDBERG, K. 1939. Björken i Skåne Skogsägaran 15: 227 - 231.

LINDOO, S. J. and L. D. NOODÉN. 1976. The interrelation of fruit development and leaf senescence in "Anoka" soybeans. Bot. Gaz. 137: 218 - 223.

LINDQUIST, B. 1947. Two species of Betula from Iceland Miocene. Svensk Bot. Tidskr. 41: 339 - 353.

LITTLE, C. H. A. 1970. Apical dominance in long shoots of white pine (Pinus strobus L.). Can. J. Bot 48: 239 - 253.

LOGAN, K. T. 1965. Growth of tree seedlings as affected by light intensity. I. White birch, yellow birch, sugar maple and silver maple. Can. Dep. For. Publ. 1121. 16 pp.

LONGMAN, K. A. and P. F. WAREING. 1959. Early induction
of flowering in birch seedlings. Nature 184:
2037 - 2038.

LOOMIS, W. E. 1953. Growth correlation. In: Growth
and differentiation in plants, W. E. Loomis, Ed.
Iowa State College Press, Ames, Iowa. pp 197 - 127.

LOVETT DOUST, J. 1980. A comparative study of life
history and resource allocation in selected
Umbelliferae. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 13: 139 - 154.

LOVELL DOUST, J., and L. LOVELL DOUST. 1983. Parental
strategy: gender and maternity in higher plants.
BioScience 33: 180 - 186.

LUBBOCK, J. 1899. Buds and stipules. Pauk, Trench,
Trubner and Co., London. 239 pp.

LYNDON, R. F. 1977. Interacting processes in vegetative
development and in the transition to flowering
at the shoot apex. Symp. Soc. Exp. Biol. 31:
221 - 250.

MACDONALD, A. D. 1971. Floral development in the
"Amentiferae". Ph.D. Thesis, McGill University,
Montreal.

MACDONALD, A. D., and D. H. MOTHERSILL, 1983. Shoot
development in Betula papyrifera I. Short shoot
organogenesis. (In Press).

MACDONALD, A. D., D. H. MOTHERSILL, and J. C. CAESAR. 1983.
Shoot development in Betula papyrifera III. Long
shoot organogenesis. (Submitted for publication).

MADGWICK, H. A. I. 1971. Growth of _Liriodendron_ _tulipifera_ seedlings with different levels of Nitrogen supply. For. Sci. 17: 287 - 292.

MAGGS, D. H. 1963. The reduction in growth of apple trees brought about by fruiting. J. Hort. Sci. 38: 119 - 128.

MAGOMEDMIRZAEV. M. M. 1970. The importance of correlations in evolutionary transformations of shoot types in Birch. (Russian). Akademiia Nauk SSSR. Doklady 194(4): 967 - 969.

MAILLETTE, L. 1982a. Structural dynamics of silver birch I. The fate of buds. J. App. Ecol. 19: 203 - 218.

MAILLETTE, L. 1982b. Structural dynamics of silver birch II. A matrix model of the bud population. J. Appl. Ecol. 19: 219 - 238.

MAINI, J. S. 1966a. Apical growth of _Populus_ spp. I. Sequential pattern of internode, bud, and branch length of young individuals. Can. J. Bot 44: 615 - 623.

MAINI, J. S. 1966b. Apical growth of _Populus_ spp. II. Relative growth potential of apical and lateral buds. Can. J. Bot. 44: 1581 - 1590.

MAINI, J. S. and B. S. P. WANG. 1967. Twin seedlings and abnormal germination in the yellow birch. _Betula alleghaniensis_ Britton. Can. Field-Nat. 81: 128 - 134.

MALMSTRÖM, C. 1954. Diskussionsinlägg i björkbekämp-
ningsfrågan vid Svenska Skogsvårdsforeningens
årsmöte den 9 mars 1954. Sv. Skogsvårdsfören.
Fidskr. 52: 115.

MALSTROM, H. L. and J. L. MCMEANS. 1982. Shoot length
and previous fruiting affect subsequent growth and
nut production of "Moneymaker" pecan. HortScience 17:
970 - 972.

MARKS, P. L. 1975. On the relation between extension
growth and successional status of deciduous trees
of the northeastern United States. Bull. Torrey
Bot. Club. 102: 172 - 177.

MARQUIS, D. A. 1966. Germination and growth of paper
birch and yellow birch in Simulated strip cuttings.
U. S. Forest Serv. Res. Paper NE-54, 19 pp.
NE For. Expt. Sta., Upper Darby, Pa.

MARQUIS, D. A., D. S. SOLOMAN and J. C. BJORKBOM. 1969.
A silvicultural guide for paper birch in the northeast.
USDA Forest Serv. Res. Paper NE-130. 47 pp. NE Forest
Exp. Sta., Upper Darby, Pa.

MATTIROLO, O. 1899. Malpighia 13: 382 (Original not
seen. Cited by Loomis 1953, in the form herein cited).

McDONALD, C. E. 1969. Birch veneer and plywood demand:
Projected needs of the industry. In. Birch Symp.
Proc. pp. 15 - 25. USDA For. Serv. Northeast For.
Exp. Stn., Upper Darby, Pa.

McINTYRE, G. I. 1977. The role of nutrition in apical
        dominance. Symp. Soc. Exp. Biol. 31: 251 - 273.

METCALFE, C. R., AND L. CHALK. 1950. Anatomy of the
        Dicotyledons. Vol. 1· 2. Clarendon Press,
        Oxford. 1459 p.

METZGER, F. T. 1977. Sugar maple and yellow birch seedlings
        growth after simulated browsing. USDA For. Serv.
        Res. Pap. N-140, 6 p. North Cent. For. Exp.
        Stn., St. Paul, Minn.

MILLINGTON, W. F. 1963. Long shoots, short shoots and
        tendril shoots in the morphogenesis of Parthenocissus
        (Abs.). Amer. J. Bot. 50: 617.

MILLINGTON, W. F. and W. R. CHANEY. 1973. Shedding of
        shoots and branches in: Shedding of Plant Parts.
        T. T. Kozlowski (Ed.). Academic Press, New York,
        pp. 149 - 204.

MILTHORPE, F. L. , and J. MOORBY. 1969. Vascular transport
        in plant growth. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 20:
        117 - 138.

MOBERG, A. 1857. Naturalhistoriska daganteckningar
        gjorda: Finland Åren 1750 - 1845. Notiser ur
        Sällsk. pro fauna et alora fennica förhandlingar
        H.3. Helsingfors. (Original not seen. Cited
        by Sarvas 1952).

MOBERG, A. 1894. Fenologiska iakttagelser i Finland.
        Åren 1750 - 1845. Bidrag till kännedom of Finlands
        natur och. folk. H.55. Helsingfors. (Original not seen.
        Cited by Sarvas 1952).

MOCHIZUKI, T. 1962. Studies on the elucidation of

    factors affecting the decline in tree vigor in

    apples as induced by fruit load. Bull. For.

    Agr. Hirosaki Univ. No. 8: 40 - 124.

MOELLER, J. 1876. Beiträge zur vergleichenden Anatomie

    des Holzes. Denskr. K. Akad. Wiss. Wien. 36:

    297 - 426. Original not seen.

MOORE, E. 1909. The study of winter buds with reference

    to their growth and leaf content. Bull. Torrey

    Bot. Club. 36: 117 - 145.

MOORE, K. G. 1975. Changes in leaf composition in

    Parthenocissus _tricuspidata_ Planch. during growth

    and senescence of short shoots. Ann. Bot. 39:

    631 - 637.

MORRIS, R. F. 1951. The effects of flowering on the

    foliage production and growth of Balsam fir. For.

    Chron. 27: 40 - 57.

MOSELEY, M. F. 1948. Comparative anatomy and phylogeny

    of the Casuarinaceae. Bot. Gaz. 110: 231 - 280.

MÜLLER, D. 1947. Bøgens Dvaerggrene (The short shoots

    of Beech)(Danish). Dansk Skovforen. Tidsskr. 32(11):

    363 - 365.

MÜLLER, D. 1954. Die Blatter und Kurztriebe der Buche.

    Forstl. Forsøgsv. Danm. 21(3): 319 - 326.

MURNEEK, A. E. 1926. Effects of correlation between

    vegetative and reproductive functions in the tomato

    (_Lycopersicon_ _esculentum_ Mill.) Plant Physiol. 1: 3 - 51.

MURNEEK, A. E. 1932. Relation of leaf area to fruit
size and food reserves in apple seeds and branches.
Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 29: 230 - 234.

NAWASCHIN, S. 1894. Über die gemeine Birke und die
morphologische Deutung der Chalazogamie Mém. acad.
St. Petersburg, VII, Ser. XLII. Nr. 12.

NELSON, N. D., and D. MICHAEL. 1982. Photosynthesis,
leaf conductance, and specific leaf weight in
long and short shoots of Populus "Tritis #1"
grown under intensive culture. Forest Sci. 28:
737 - 744.

NUTTALL, T. 1842. The North American Sylva. Vol. 1.
J. Dobson, Philadelphia.

OLDEMEYER, J. L. 1982. Estimating production of paper
birch and utilization by browsers. Can. J. For.
Res. 12: 52 - 57.

ÖQUIST, G., L. BRUNES, and J-E. HÄLLGREN. 1982a.
Photosynthetic efficiency of Betula pendula acclimated
to different quantum flux densities. Plant,
Cell Environ. 5: 9 - 15.

ÖQUIST, G., L. BRUNES, and J-E. HÄLLGREN. 1982b.
Photosynthetic efficiency during ontogenesis of
leaves of Betula pendula. Plant, Cell Environ.
5: 17 - 21.

OVINGTON, J. D. 1963. Flower and seed production. A
Source of error in estimating woodland production
energy flow and mineral cycling. Oikos 14: 148 - 153.

OWENS, J. N., and M. MOLDER. 1979. Bud development

in Larix occidentalis 1. Growth and development

of vegetative long shoot and vegetative short shoot

buds. Can. J. Bot. 57: 687 - 700.

PARKER, R. E. 1973. Introductory statistics for biology.

Studies in Biology No. 43, Edward Arnold,

London. 122 pp.

PAYER, J. D. 1858. Observations sur les fleurs mâles

du bouleau. Bull Soc. Bot France 5: 151.

PEARSALL, W. H. 1927. Growth Studies VI. On the relative

sizes of growing plant organs. Ann. Bot (old series)

41: 549 - 563 (Original not seen. Cited by Hunt 1978).

PHILLIPS,I. D. J. 1969. Apical dominance. In:

Physiology of Plant Growth and Development, M. B. Wilkins,

Ed., McGraw-Hill, London. pp 165 - 202.

PHILLIPS,I. D. J. 1975. Apical dominance. Ann. Rev.

Plant Physiol. 26: 341 - 367.

PIETERS, G. A. 1983. Growth of Populus curamericana.

Physiol. Plant. 57: 455 - 462.

PIZZOLATO, T. D. and P. R. LARSON. 1977. Axillary bud

development in Populus deltoides II. Late ontogeny

and vascularization. Amer. J. Bot. 64: 849 - 860.

PLAUT, M. 1910. Über die Veränderung im anatomischen

Bau der Wurzel während des Winters. Jahrb. f.

Wiss. Bot 48: 143 - 154.

PLAUT, M. 1918. Über die morphologischen und mikro-
skopischen Merkmale der Penodizität der Wurzel,
sowie über die Verbreitung der Metakutisierung
der Wurzelhaube im Pflanzenreich. In: Festschr.
100-jähr, Best. K. Wurttemb. Landw. Hochsch.
Hohenheim. pp. 129 - 151. Stuttgart: Ulmer.

POHL, F. 1937. Die pollenkorngewichte einiger
windblütiger Pflanzen und ihre ökologische Bedeutung.
(Beitrage zur Morphologie und Biologie des Pollens VII).
Beihefte zum Botanischen Centralblatt. Band LVII,
Abtailung A. Dresden. (Original not seen. Cited
by Sarvas, 1952).

POSTEK, M. T., and S. C. TUCKER. 1982. Foliar ontogeny
and histogenesis in _Magnolia_ _grandiflora_ I. Apical
organisation and early development. Amer. J. Bot.
69: 556 - 569.

POWELL, G. R. 1977a. Patterns of development in _Abies_
balsamea crowns and effects of megastrobilus
production on shoots and buds. Can J. For. Res.
7: 498 - 509.

POWELL, G. R. 1977b. Biennial strobilus production in
balsam fir: a review of its morphogenesis and a
discussion of its apparent physiological basis.
Can. J. For. Res. 7: 547 - 555.

POWELL, G. R. 1982. Shoot and bud development in Balsam
Fir: Implications for pruning of Christmas trees.
For. Chron. 58: 168 - 172.

POWELL, G. R., K. J. TOSH, and J. E. MACDONALD. 1982.
Indeterminate shoot extension and heterophylly
in _Acre saccharum_. Can. J. For. Res. 12: 166 - 170.

PRANTL, K. 1894. In Engler and Prantl. Die natürlichen
Pflanzen familien. Wilhelm Engelmann. Leipzig.
pp. 38 - 46.

PRATT, C., J. EINSET, and M. ZAHUR. 1959. Radiation
damage in apple shoot apices. Amer. J. Bot 46:
537 - 544.

QUIGLEY, K. L. and H. M. BABCOCK. 1969. Birch timber
resources of North America. In: Birch symp.
Proc.: 6 - 14. USDA For. Serv., Northeast Forest
Exp. Stn., Upper Darby, Pa.

RADFORD, A. E., W. C. DICKSON, J. R. MASSEY AND C. R. BELL,
1974. Vascular plant systematics. Harper and
Row. New York.

RADFORD, P. J. 1967. Growth analysis formulae - their
use and abuse. Crop Sci. 7: 171 - 175.

RAFALSKI, J. and A. WARDYN. 1939. Research on the
structural properties of wood: influence of
longitudinal perforation of wood on its resistance
to longitudinal compression. Roczn. Nauk. Rol. 46:
202 - 218.

RAMINA, A., W. P. HACKETT, and R. M. SACHS. 1979.
Flowering in _Bougainvillea_: a function of assimilate
supply and nutrient diversion. Platn Physiol. 64:
810 - 813.

RANGNEKAR, P. V., and D. F. FORWARD. 1973. Foliar
nutrition and wood growth in red pine: effects
of darkening and defoliation on the distribution
of ^{14}C photosynthate in young trees. Can. J. Bot.
51: 103 - 108.

RAUP, H. M. 1936. Phytogeographic studies in the
Athabaska-Great Slave Lake region I. Catalogue
of the vascular plants. J. Arnold Arbor. 17: 180 - 315.

REDMOND, D. R. 1951. Crown fungi of yellow and white
birch. Can. Dept. Agr., Forest Biol. Div. Bi-
monthly Prog. Report 7(6): 2.

REDMOND, D. R. 1955. Studies in forest pathology XV.
Rootlets, mycorrhiza and soil temperatures in relation
to birch dieback. Can. J. Bot. 33: 595 - 627.

REDMOND, D. R. 1957. The future of birch from the view-
point of diseases and insects. For. Chron. 33:
25 - 30.

REGEL, E. 1861. Monographia Betulacearum Huscuque
cognitarum. Mem. Soc. Natr. Moscou. 13. Not seen.

REGEL, E. 1865. Bemerkungen über die Gattungen Betula
und Alnus nebst Beschreibung einiger neuer Arten.
Bull. Soc. Imp. Nat. Moscou. 38: 388 - 434.

REHDER, A. 1940. Manual of cultivated trees and shrubs.
Macmillan Co., New York. 996 pp.

REINDERS-GOUWENTAK, C. A. 1965. Physiology of the cambium
and other secondary meristems of the shoot. Handb.
Pflanzenphysiol. 15: 1077 - 1105.

RICHARDS, J. H. and P. R. LARSON. 1981. Morphology and
    development of Populus deltoides branches in
    different environments. Bot Gaz. 142: 382 - 393.

RICHARDS, J. H., and P. R. LARSON. 1982. The initiation
    and development of secondary xylem in axillary
    branches of Populus deltoides. Ann Bot. NS 49:
    149 - 163.

ROBERTS, L. W. 1969. The initiation of xylem differentiation.
    Bot. Review 35: 201 - 205.

ROBERTS, R. H. 1920. Off-year apple bearing and apple
    spurr growth. Wisconsin Agr. Exp. Sta. Bull. 317.

ROGERS, R., and T. M. HINCKLEY. 1979. Foliar weight
    and area related to current sapwood area in oak.

ROGERS, W. S., and G. A. BOOTH. 1964. Relationship of
    crop and shoot growth in apple. Jour. Hort. Sci.
    39: 61 - 65.

ROMBERGER, J. A. 1963. Meristems, growth and development
    in woody plants. U. S. Dept. Agric. Tech. Bull.
    No. 1293. 214 pp.

ROSENDAHL, C. O. 1916. Observations on Betula in
    Minnesota. Minn. Botan. Studies. 4: 443 - 459.

ROSENDAHL, C. O. 1928. Evidence of the hybrid nature
    of Betula Sandbergi Rhodora 30: 125 - 129.

ROUFFA, A. S. and J. E. GUNCKEL. 1951. Leaf initiation,
    origin and pattern of pith development in the Rosaceae.
    Amer. J. Bot. 38: 301 - 307.

RUBINSTEIN, B., and M. A. NAGAO. 1976. Lateral bud
    outgrowth and its control by the apex. Bot. Rev.
    42: 83 - 113.

RUOTSALO, R. 1954. Uberdie vorblatter der Amentiferae.
    Archum Soc. zool. fenn. "Vanamo" 9: 79 - 84.

RUTTER, A. J. 1957. Studies in the growth of young
    plants of Pinus sylvestris L. I. The annual cycle
    of assimilation and growth. Ann. Bot. N. S. 21:
    399 - 426.

RYLE, G. J. A., and C. E. POWELL, 1972. The export and
    distribution of ^{14}C-labelled assimilates from
    each leaf on the shoot of Lolium temulentum
    during reproductive and vegetative growth. Ann.
    Bot., N. S. 36: 363 - 375.

SACHER, J. A. 1954. Structure and seasonal activity
    of the shoot apices of Pinus lambertiania and
    Pinus ponderosa. Amer. J. Bot. 41: 749 - 759.

SACHER, J. A. 1955. Dwarf shoot ontogeny in Pinus
    lambertiana. Amer. J. Bot. 42: 784 - 792.

SACHS, R. M. 1965. Stem elongation. Ann. Rev. Plant
    Physiol. 16: 73 - 96.

SACHS, R. M. 1977. Nutrient diversion: an hypothesis
    to explain chemical control of flowering. Hort.
    Sci. 12: 220 - 222.

SACHS, R. M. 1979. Metabolism and energetics in

    flowering. pp. 168 - 208 In: Physiologie de la

    floraison, R. Jacques and P. Champagnat, Eds.

    CNRS No. 285. Paris.

SACHS, R. M., C. F. BRETZ, and A. LANG. 1959. Shoot

    histogenesis: the early effects of gibberellin

    upon stem elongation in two rosette plants.

    Amer. J. Bot. 46: 376 - 384.

SACHS, T. 1981. The control of patterned differentiation

    of vascular tissues. In: Advances in Botannical

    Research Vol. 9. H. W. Woolhouse, Ed. Academic

    Press, London, New York. ISBN 0-12-005909-6.

    pp. 151-262.

SAKAI, W. S. 1973. Simple method for differential

    staining of paraffin embedded plant material

    using toluidine blue O.Stain Technol. 48: 247 - 249.

SANIO, C. 1863. Vergleichende Untersuchungen über

    die Zusammensetzung des Holzkorpers. Bot Zeit. 21:

    401 - 412.

SARGENT, C. S. 1896. The silva of North America. Vol. 9.

    Boston.

SARGENT, C. S. 1905. Manual of the trees of North

    America. Vol. 1. Dover Publications, Inc., New

    York, pp. 205 - 220.

SARGENT, C. S. 1922. Notes on North American trees.

    X. J. Arnold Arbor. 1: 61 - 65.

SARVAS, R. 1952. On the flowering of birch and the

    quality of the seed crop. Communcationes

    instituti Forestalis Fenniae. 40(7): 1 - 35.

SAUNDERS, H. W. 1969. Primary utilization of birch.

    In: Birch Symp. Proc. pp. 33 - 37. USDA For.

    Serv., Northeast. For. Exp. Stn., Upper Danby, Pa.

SCHNEIDER, C. K. 1916. Betulaceae. In: Plantae

    Wilsonianae, C. S. Sargent Ed., 2: 423 - 508.

SCHREINER, E. J. 1949. Creating better trees. For.

    Leaves 34: 3 - 4, 14.

SCHÜEPP, O. 1929. Untersuchungen zur beschreibenden

    und experimentellen Entwicklungsgeschichte von

    Acer pseudoplatanus L. Jährb. f. Wiss. Bot. 70:

    743 - 804. Original not seen. Abstract in

    Biological Abstracts.

SCHULTZ, J. C., P. J. NOTHNAGLE, and I. T. BALDWIN. 1982.

    Seasonal and individual variation in leaf quality

    of 2 northern hardwood tree species. Amer. J. Bot.

    69: 753 - 758.

SEWARD, A. C., and J. GOWAN. 1900. The maidenhair tree

    Ginkgo biloba L. Ann. Bot. (old series) 14:

    109 - 154.

SHARIK, T. L. 1970. Leaf-flushing and terminal growth

    cessation in populations of yellow birch (Betula

    alleghaniensis Britton) and sweet birch (Betula lenta L.)

    in the Appalachian Mountains. Ph.D. thesis, Univ.

    of Michigan. 64 pp.

SHARMAN, B. C. 1943. Tannic acid and iron alum with
safranin and orange-G in studies of the shoot
apex. Stain Technol. 18: 105 - 111.

SHIGO, A. L. 1969. Diseases of birch. In: Birch
Symp. Proc. pp. 147 - 150. USDA. For Serv.,
Northeast For. Exp. Stn., Upper Darby, Pa.

SHININGER, T. L. 1979. The control of vascular
development. Annual Rev. Plant Physiol. 30:
313 - 337.

SIMONS, R. K. 1956. Comparative anatomy of leaves and
shoots of Golden Delicious and Jonared apple trees
grown with high and low moisture supply. Proc.
Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 68: 20 - 26.

SIMPSON, L. J. M. 1932. Effect of the birch leaf
skeletonizer and sawfly leaf miner on the growth
of birches in New Brunswick. For. Chron. 8:
89 - 92.

SINNOTT, E. W. 1914. Investigations on the phylogeny
of the angiosperms I. The anatomy of the node as
an aid in the classification of angiosperms. Amer.
J. Bot. 1: 303 - 322.

SMITH, D. W., and P. R. TUMEY. 1982. Specific density
and caloric value of the trunk wood of white birch,
black cherry, and sugar maple and their relation to
forest succession. Can. J. For. Res. 12: 186 - 190.

SMITH, E. C., and NICHOLS, JR., C. 1941. Species
hybrids in forest trees. J. Arnold Arbor. 22:
443 - 454.

SMITH, R. F. 1967. The leaf dimorphism of Liquidambar
styraciflua. Amer. Mid. Nat. 77: 42 - 50.

SNEDECOR, G. W., and W. G. COCHRAN. 1980. Statistical
methods. Iowa University Press, Ames, 507 pp.

SOKAL, R. R., and F. J. ROHLF. 1981. Biometry: The
principles and practice of statistics in biological
research. 2nd Ed. W. H. Freeman and Company,
San Francisco. ISBN 0-7167-1254-7. 859 pp.

SOKOLOVA, N. P., and S. P. GHOSH. 1970. Comparative
anatomical characteristics in different types of
fruit-bearing shoot in the apple cv. Antonovka
Obyknovennaya. (Russian). Dokl. mosk. sel'.-khoz.
Akad. K. A. Timiryazeva, No. 165, pp. 42 - 46.

SOLEREDER, H. 1908. Systematic anatomy of the dicotyledons.
Transl. L. A. Boodle and F. E. Fritsch. Clarendon
Press, Oxford.

SPACH, E. 1841. Notes sur les Corylus. Ann. Sci. Nat.,
Bot. Ser. 2, 16: 98 - 108.

STEINGRAEBER, D. A. 1982. Heterophylly and neoformation
of leaves in Sugar maple (Acer saccharum). Amer.
J. Bot. 69: 1277 - 1282.

STREICHER, M. 1918. Zur Entwicklungsgeschichte des
Fruchtknotens der Birke. Denskchr. kais. Akad. Wiss.
Wein., m.-n. kgl. 95: 355 - 367.

SWEET, G. B. and P. F. WAREING. 1968a. A comparison
of the seasonal rates of dry matter production of
three coniferous species with contrasting patterns
of growth. Ann. Bot. N.S. 32: 721 - 734.

SWEET, G. B. and P. F. WAREING. 1968b. A comparison
of the rates of growth and photosynthesis in
first-year seedlings of four provenances
of Pinus contorta Dougl. Ann Bot N.S. 32: 735 - 751.

TABATA, H. 1964. Vessel element of Japanese birches
as viewed from ecology and evolution. Physiol.
Ecol. 12: 7 - 16.

TABATA, H. 1966. A contribution to the biology of
Japanese birches. Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto,
Ser. B. 32(3): 239 - 271.

TAKAHASHI, K. 1972. Difference among species with
respect to the transpiration ratio in different
soil moisture conditions. J. Japanese For. Soc.
54: 373 - 378.

TAKAHASHI, K. 1973. Effects of soil moisture and
light intensity on growth and water consumption of
birch seedlings. Transact. 84th annual meeting.
Japanese For. Soc. 166- 167.

TAKAHASHI, K. 1975. Effects of shading and soil
mointure conditions on transpiration and dry matter
production in Fir, spruce and birch seedlings.
J. Japanese For. Soc. 57: 95 - 99.

TANG, Z. C. , and T. T. KOZLOWSKI. 1982. Some

physiologcal and growth responses of <u>Betula</u>

<u>papyrifera</u> seedlings to flooding. Physiol. Plant.

55: 415 - 420.

THOMAS, R. G. 1961. Correlations between growth and

flowering in <u>Chenopodium</u> <u>amaranticolor</u> I.

Initiation of leaf and bud primordia. Ann. Bot.

N. S. 25: 138 - 151.

THOMAS, R. G. 1963. Correlations between growth

and flowering in <u>Chenopodium</u> <u>amaranticolor</u> II.

Leaf and Stem growth. Ann. Bot. N. S. 25:

255 - 269.

THUNNELL, B. 1942. Jämförande undersokning över vissa

hållfasthetsegenskaper los ask-, björk-och

bokvirke (Comparative investigation of certain

strength properties in Ash, Birch and Beech timber).

Tekn. Tidskr. 72 (Sec. E): 65 - 69.

TIPPO, O. 1938. Comparative anatomy of the Moraceae

and their presumed allies. Bot. Gaz. 100: 1 - 99.

TIPPO, O. 1946. The role of wood anatomy in phylogeny.

Amer. Midl. Nat. 36: 362 - 372.

TITMAN, P. W., and R. H. WETMORE, 1955. The growth of

long and short shoots in <u>Cercidiphyllum.</u> Amer.

J. Bot. 42: 364 - 372.

TKACHENKO, M. 1941. Note on forests and forestry in

the USSR. Forestry Abstracts 3(2): 93 - 94.

TRANQUILLINI, W. 1952. Der Ultrarotabsorption-

sschreiber im Dienste ökologischer Mesungen des

pflanzlichen CO_2-Umsatzes. Ber. Deut. Bot. Ges.

65: 102 - 112.

TROUGHTON, A. 1955. Agric. Prog. 30: 59. (Original

not seen, herein cited according to Hunt's (1978).

TSE, A. T. Y., A. RAMINA, W. P. HACKETT, and R. M. SACHS.

1974. Enhanced inflorescence development in

Bougainvillea "San Diego Red" by removal of young

leaves and cytokinin treatments. Plant Physiol. 54:

404 - 407.

TUBBS, C. H. 1965. Influence of temperature and early

spring conditions on sugar maple and yellow birch

germination in Upper Michigan. USDA For. Serv.

Res. Note LS-72, 2 pp. Lake States For. Exp. Stn.,

St. Paul, Minn.

TUCOVIC, A. and M. JOVANOVIC. 1969. Meduvrsna hibridizacija

breza sa istim i razlicitim brojem hromozoma. Zborn.

Inst. sumar. drvnu ind. 9: 215 - 226.

TUOMI, J., P. NIEMELÄ and R. MANNILA. 1982. Resource

allocation on dwarf shoots of birch (Betula pendula):

Reproduction and leaf growth. New Phytol. 91:

483 - 487.

USCIATI, M., M. CODACCIONI, and J. GUERN. 1972. Early

cytological and biochemical events induced by a

6-benzylamino-purine application in inhibited axillary

buds of Cicer arietinum plants. J. Exp. Bot. 23:

1009 - 1020.

VAARTAJA, O. 1957. Photoperiodic responses in seedlings

of northern tree species. Can. J. Bot. 35: 133 - 138.

VAARTAJA, O. 1959. Photoperiodic response in germination

of four species of Betula. Can. Dep. Agric., Div.

For. Biol., Bi-monthly Progr.Rep. 15(3): 2.

WANG, C-W. 1961. The forests of China. Maria Moors

Cabot Found., Cambridge, Mass. Publ. 5, 313 pp.

WANGERMANN, E. 1967. The effect of the leaf on differentiation

of primary xylem in the internode of Coleus blumei

Benth. New Phytol. 66: 747 - 754.

WAREING, P. F. 1954. Growth studies in woody species

VI. The locus of photoperiodic perception in

relation to dormancy. Physiol. Plant. 7: 261 - 277.

WARING, R. H., W. G. THIES, and D. MUSCATO. 1980.

Stem growth per unit of leaf area: A measure of

tree vigour. Forest Sci. 26: 112 - 117.

WEBSTER, B. D. and T. A. STEEVES. 1958. Morphogenesis

in Pteridium aquilinum (L) Kuhn. General morphology

ane growth habit. Phytomorph. 8: 30 - 41.

WEILAND, R. T., R. S. PETERSON, and G. M. PACE. 1983.

Redistribution of foliarly applied N in reproductive

soybeans. Plant Physiol. Suppl. 71: 5.

WELLS, S. D. and J. D. RUE. 1927. The suitability of

American woods for paper pulp. USDA. Dept. Bulletin

No. 1485. Washington, D. C.

WEST, C., G. E. BRIGGS, and F. KIDD. 1920. Methods
and significantrelations in the quantitative
analysis of plant growth. New Phytol. 19:
200 - 207.

WETMORE, R. H. 1956. Growth and development in the
shoot system of plants. In: Cellular mechanisms
in differentiation and growth. D. Rudnick, Ed.
Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J. pp. 173 - 190.

WHITE, D. J. B. 1954. The development of the runner-
bean leaf with special reference to the relation
between the sizes of the lamina and of the petiolar
xylem I. The relation between lamina area and
petiolar xylem. Ann. Bot. N. S. 18: 327 - 335.

WHITEHEAD, F. H., and P. J. MYERSCOUGH. 1962. Growth
analysis of plants. The ratio of mean relative
growth rate to mean relative rate of leaf area
increase. New Phytol. 61: 314 - 321.

WINKLER, H. 1930. Der gegenwartige Stand der Betula -
Systematik. Mitt. d. Deutsch. Dendr. Ges. 42,
S. 46 - 60. (Original not seen, cited by Kujala 1946).

WOLFE, J. A., D. M. HOPKINS, and E. B. LEOPOLD. 1966.
Tertiary stratigraphy and paleobotany of the Cook
Inlet Region, Alaska. U. S. Gel. Surv. Prof. Pap.
398A, 29 pp.

WOLFE, J. A., and E. B. LEOPOLD. 1967. Neogene and early
Quartenary vegetation of northwestern North America and
northeastern Asia. In: The Bering land bridge.
D. M. Hopkins, Ed. Stanford Univ. Press, Stanford. 193 - 206.

WOLPERT, V. J. 1910. Vergleichende Anatomie und Entwicklungsgeschichte von Alnus alnobetula und Betula. Flora 100: 37 - 67.

WOODWORTH, R. H. 1929. Cytological studies on the Betulaceae. I. Betula. Bot. Gaz. 87: 331 - 363.

WOODWORTH, R. H. 1930. Cytological studies on the Betulaceae IV. Betula, Carpinus, Ostrya, Ostryopsis. Bot. Gaz. 90: 108 - 115.

WOODWORTH, R. H. 1931. Polyploidy in the Betulaceae. J. Arnold Arbor. 12: 206 - 217.

YELENOSKY, G. 1961. Birch seeds will germinate under a water-light treatment without pre-chilling. USDA For. Serv., Northeast. For. Exp. Stn. Res. Note 124, 5 pp.

YOUNG, B. S. 1954. The effects of leaf primordia on differentiation in the stem. New Phytol. 53: 445 - 460.

ZAJACZKOWSKI, S., T. J. WODZICKI, and J. BRUINSMA. 1983. A possible for whole-plant morphogenesis. Physiol. Plant. 57: 306 - 310.

ZIESLIN, N., H. HAAZE, and A. H. HALEVY. 1976. Components of axillary bud inhibition in rose plants II. The effect of bud position on degree of inhibition. Bot. Gaz. 137: 297 - 300.

ZIMMERMANN, M. H. 1982. Functional xylem anatomy of angiosperm trees. In: New Perspectives in Wood Anatomy, P. Baas, Ed. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, The Hague, Boston, London. ISBN 90-247-2526-7. pp. 59 - 70.

ZIMMERMANN, M. H., and A. A. JEJE. 1981. Vessel-length distribution in stems of some American woody plants. Can. J. Bot. 59: 1882 - 1892.

ZIMMERMANN, M. H., and C. L. BROWN. 1971. Trees: Structure and function. Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin 336 pp.

ZIMMERMANN, M. H., and D. POTTER. 1982. Vessel-length distribution in branches, stem and roots of Acer rubrum L. IAWA Bulletin N. S., 3: 103 - 109.

ZIMMERMANN, W. 1922. Eigenartige Blütenverhältnisse bei Alnus und Beobachtungen an Betula, Corylus und Carpinus. Mitt. Deutsch. Dendrolog. Ges. 32: 112 - 134.